arXiv:hep-th/0311126v1 14 Nov 2003

Supersymmetric sigma models, gauge theories and vortices

William Machin

Dissertation submitted for the degree of Ph.D.
King's College, London
September 2002

Abstract

This thesis considers one and two dimensional supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models. First there is a discussion of the geometries of one and two dimensional sigma models, with rigid supersymmetry.

For the one-dimensional case, the supersymmetry is promoted to a local one and the required gauge fields are introduced. The most general Lagrangian, including these gauge fields, is found. The constraints of the system are analysed, and its Dirac quantisation is investigated.

In the next chapter we introduce equivariant cohomology which is used later in the thesis.

Then actions are constructed for (p,0)- and (p,1)- supersymmetric, $1 \leq p \leq 4$, two-dimensional gauge theories coupled to non-linear sigma model matter with a Wess-Zumino term.

The scalar potential for a large class of these models is derived. It is then shown that the Euclidean actions of the (2,0) and (4,0)-supersymmetric models without Wess-Zumino terms are bounded by topological charges which involve the equivariant extensions of the Kähler forms of the sigma model target spaces evaluated on the two-dimensional spacetime.

Similar bounds for Euclidean actions of appropriate gauge theories coupled to non-linear sigma model matter in higher spacetime dimensions are given which now involve the equivariant extensions of the Kahler forms of the sigma model target spaces and the second Chern character of gauge fields. It is found that the BPS configurations are generalisations of abelian

and non-abelian vortices.

Dedicated to George for his infinite patience and wisdom

Contents

1 Introduction **9**

1.1 History and Motivation 9

1.1.1 One-dimensional sigma models 10

1.1.2 Two-dimensional sigma models and Gauge theories . . 12

1.2 Outline 13

1.2.1 Supersymmetry in sigma models 13

1.2.2 Supergravity and one-dimensional sigma models . . . 14

1.2.3 Equivariant cohomology 15

1.2.4 New two dimensional gauge theories 16

1.2.5 Vortices and bounds 17

2 Sigma Models **20**

2.1 Two dimensional sigma models 20

2.1.1 The action 21

2.1.2 The Wess-Zumino term 21

2.1.3 Global aspects of torsion 22

2.1.4 Supersymmetric sigma models 23

2.1.5 (1,0) supersymmetric sigma models 23

2.1.6 (2,0) supersymmetry 26

2.1.7 (4,0) supersymmetry 28

2.1.8 (1,1) supersymmetry 29

  - 2.1.9 (2,1) supersymmetry 31
  - 2.1.10 (4,1) supersymmetry 33
- 2.2 One dimensional sigma models 34

3 Spinning particles and Supergravity 38

- 3.1 The $N = 1$ supersymmetric action 38
- 3.2 Supergravity in one dimension 40
- 3.3 Hamiltonian Analysis 43
- 3.4 Quantisation 46

4 Equivariant Cohomology 51

- 4.1 Equivariant cohomology 51
  - 4.1.1 Definition 51
- 4.2 The Weil Model 52
  - 4.2.1 The cohomology of BG 54
- 4.3 Weil model for equivariant cohomology 56
  - 4.3.1 Equivariant extensions 58
- 4.4 A physicist's approach to equivariant cohomology 59

5 New two dimensional gauge theories 61

- 5.1 Two-dimensional gauged sigma models with Wess-Zumino term 61
  - 5.1.1 Geometric Data and Action 61
  - 5.1.2 Conditions for gauge invariance 64
- 5.2 $(1,0)$ supersymmetric gauge theory 66
  - 5.2.1 The gauge multiplet 66
  - 5.2.2 Sigma model multiplets 67
  - 5.2.3 Supersymmetric action 68
  - 5.2.4 The action of (1,0)-model in components and scalar potential 69
- 5.3 $(2,0)$ supersymmetry 70
  - 5.3.1 The gauge multiplet 70
  - 5.3.2 The sigma model multiplet 71

- 5.3.3 The fermionic multiplet . . . 72
- 5.3.4 Action . . . 73
- 5.3.5 The gauge multiplet action . . . 73
- 5.3.6 The sigma model action . . . 75
- 5.3.7 The action of the fermionic multiplet . . . 75
- 5.3.8 The action in components . . . 76
- 5.3.9 Scalar potential and classical vacua . . . 78

5.4 $(4, 0)$ supersymmetry . . . 78

- 5.4.1 The gauge multiplet . . . 78
- 5.4.2 The sigma model multiplet . . . 79
- 5.4.3 The fermionic multiplet . . . 80
- 5.4.4 Action . . . 81
- 5.4.5 The gauge multiplet action . . . 82
- 5.4.6 The sigma model multiplet action . . . 83
- 5.4.7 The action of the fermionic multiplet . . . 83
- 5.4.8 The action in components and scalar potential . . . 84
- 5.4.9 Scalar Potential and Classical Vacua . . . 86

5.5 $(1, 1)$ supersymmetry . . . 87

- 5.5.1 The gauge multiplet . . . 87
- 5.5.2 The sigma model multiplet . . . 88
- 5.5.3 Action . . . 88
- 5.5.4 The gauge multiplet action . . . 89
- 5.5.5 The sigma model multiplet action and potential term 90
- 5.5.6 A generalisation of the action . . . 90
- 5.5.7 Scalar Potential . . . 91

5.6 $(2, 1)$ supersymmetry . . . 92

- 5.6.1 The gauge multiplet . . . 92
- 5.6.2 The sigma model multiplet . . . 93
- 5.6.3 Action . . . 94

5.6.4 The gauge multiplet action 94
5.6.5 The sigma model multiplet action and potential . . . 95
5.6.6 A generalisation 96
5.7 $(4,1)$ supersymmetry 97
5.7.1 The gauge multiplet 97
5.7.2 The sigma model multiplet 98
5.7.3 Action 99
5.7.4 The gauge multiplet action 99
5.7.5 The sigma model multiplet action and the potential . 100
5.7.6 A generalisation 101
5.8 Summary 102

6 Vortices and Equivariant cohomology 106

6.1 Introduction 106
6.2 A bound for vortices in the (2,0) model 108
6.3 A bound for vortices in the (4,0) model 111
6.4 Kähler manifolds and non-abelian vortices 113
6.5 Hyper-Kähler manifolds and non-abelian vortices 115

7 Conclusions 118

Chapter 1

Introduction

This thesis is on the theory of two dimensional sigma models. Sigma models have found a wide variety of applications in particle and theoretical physics. We shall first outline briefly the historical background and development of the theory, putting sigma models into their proper context and then motivating their study by making the reader aware of a small selection of their wide range of applications. We shall also outline the results of this thesis.

1.1 History and Motivation

Nonlinear sigma models have been used to describe the dynamics of relativistic and non-relativistic particles; their original motivation was the study of the propagation of such particles in curved space-times. Sigma models, in the modern sense, were first described in [1] as a model of high energy hadron physics; it was postulated that the pion field depended on a scalar meson called σ proposed in [2]. This idea was formalised in [3], where chiral invariant Lagrangians were also written down,

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \, \eta^{\mu\nu} g_{ij}(\phi) \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial_\nu \phi^j \tag{1.1.1}$$

where ϕ^i are coordinates of M and x^μ are coordinates of Ξ. The coupling g is a metric on the sigma model manifold I.

Today it is understood that strong interactions and hadron physics are described not by nonlinear sigma models but by the Standard Model. However, nonlinear two-dimensional ($\dim \Xi = 2$) sigma models have found new applications in string theory [4, 5]. The Lagrangian for this is a natural generalisation of (1.1.1), with the addition of supersymmetry.

Supersymmetry is a symmetry which rotates bosons into fermions. It improves the short distance behaviour of quantum theories and gives an elegant solution to the hierarchy problem. In string theory, the five known consistent string theories are supersymmetric.

Supersymmetric sigma models have a rich geometrical structure. This was first observed in [6] for four dimensional models and later developed by [7] for two dimensional models. In particular it was shown that $N = 1$ sigma models require the target space to be a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold, $N = 2$ requires the manifold to be Kähler and $N = 4$ requires the manifold to be hyper-Kähler. If the manifold has torsion, the model generalises to have chiral supersymmetry [8, 9, 10], where the number of left handed supersymmetries differs from the number of right handed supersymmetries. We will review this in the next chapter.

Massive supersymmetric sigma models were first constructed in [11] by the addition of potentials. The inclusion of a potential may impose additional restrictions on the target space geometry.

1.1.1 One-dimensional sigma models

The simplest examples of nonlinear sigma models are those where the worldspace is one-dimensional. The sigma models obtained can therefore be thought of as particles, where the dependence on the worldsheet signifies time dependence. Such sigma models describe the dynamics of point particles.

In the past the model that was mostly investigated was a relativistic or non-relativistic particle propagating in a Riemannian manifold with a metric g. These results were extended to $N = 1$ locally supersymmetric particle models in [12, 13]. Later the action of supersymmetric particles with extended supersymmetry was given in [14].

One-dimensional sigma models have many applications. The simplest example is that they form the basis for supersymmetric quantum mechanics, when $N = 4$ this can be associated [15, 16, 17] with $N = 1$, $d = 4$ supersymmetric field theories after an appropriate dimensional reduction.

The conditions on the target space required by supersymmetry in $d = 1$ manifolds is given by [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

In [18] it was found that rigid supersymmetry in one dimension allows for the construction of more general models than those considered in the past. In particular, the manifold that the particle propagates in can have torsion which is not a closed 3-form. Such models have been found to describe the effective theory of multi-black hole systems [24]. A scalar potential and a coupling to a magnetic field in the action of [18] was added to the sigma model formalism in [25], following the earlier work of [26, 27].

More recently, interest in sigma models has arisen in the context of the AdS/CFT conjecture. It has been observed [28, 29, 30] that supersymmetric sigma models describe the dynamics of a supersymmetric particle near the AdS horizon of an extreme Reissner-Nordström black hole.

In this case, superconformal supersymmetry is required, which imposes additional constraints on the target space geometry. Superconformal quantum mechanics may even provide a dual description of string theory on AdS_2 [22].

1.1.2 Two-dimensional sigma models and Gauge theories

Two dimensional sigma models are interesting in their own right because they have a rich mathematical structure. In addition to the fact that supersymmetry constrains the target space, they have improved UV behaviour and in $d = 2$, they have improved UV behaviour and power counting shows that they are renormalisable. In fact models with $N = 4$ supersymmetry are finite to all orders in perturbation theory.

In addition to string theory, two dimensional sigma models can be used to describe certain properties of four-dimensional gauge theories. For example, the magnetic monopole and dyon in the gauge theory correspond to the kink and Q-kink solution of sigma models. There are also applications to the theory of integrable systems and supersymmetric models have been used in the investigation of duality.

Two-dimensional sigma models and some two-dimensional gauge theories have been used to model the dynamics of fundamental and D-strings, respectively. The small fluctuations of strings which arise as intersections in various brane configurations are described by two-dimensional gauge theories coupled to scalars. Because of this, many of the properties and the various objects that arise in gauge theories coupled to scalars have a brane interpretation [31, 32, 33, 34]. Supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to linear sigma models have been constructed in [35] and they have been used to illuminate the relation between Landau-Ginzburg models and Calabi-Yau spaces. Recently a two-dimensional gauge theory coupled to a linear sigma model was used to investigate aspects of the dynamics of vortices using branes [36].

In two dimensions, the Wess-Zumino term has the same mass dimension as the kinetic term of sigma model scalars. Therefore two-dimensional supersymmetric gauged theories can couple to non-linear sigma model matter which also has a non-vanishing Wess-Zumino coupling. Such a theory is renormalizable. The gauging of supersymmetric two-dimensional non-linear sigma models with a Wess-Zumino term have been considered in [37, 38]. However in these papers the part of the action which involves the gauge field kinetic terms has not been given. It has been found in [37] that the Wess-Zumino term of a non-linear sigma model cannot always be gauged. The conditions for gauging a Wess-Zumino term have been identified as the obstructions to the extension of the closed form associated with the Wess-Zumino term to an element of the equivariant cohomology [39] of the sigma model target space [40, 41]. Scalar potentials for supersymmetric two-dimensional sigma models with Wess-Zumino term have been investigated in [25, 9, 10, 42].

1.2 Outline

1.2.1 Supersymmetry in sigma models

In the second chapter, we review one and two dimensional sigma model with various amounts of supersymmetry. We begin with a discussion of two-dimensional sigma models $\phi : \Xi \rightarrow M$ where the target space has a torsion H. The torsion is introduced into the action by a Wess-Zumino term, however this term is only defined locally on a given coordinate patch of M, therefore the action S is not globally defined; in particular the exponential $\exp(iS)$ that appears in the path integral may not be well defined. We will discuss the global aspects of torsion, for example we will derive a topological condition, analogous to Dirac's quantisation condition for strings, for $\exp(iS)$ to be well defined and therefore write the action in a globally defined way. Next we shall introduce the (p,q) supersymmetry model where $q = 0, 1$. The (1,0) model is enlarging the worldspace Ξ to the superspace $\Xi^{1,0}$ by adding a Grassman (anticommuting) degree of freedom; the sigma model map will then be a multiplet consisting of a scalar ϕ and a fermion field λ. We will write down the supersymmetric action as an action over the superspace $\Xi^{1,0}$ and construct the Noether supercharge Q_+ that generates the supersymmetry. On writing the action as an integral over the bosonic coordinates of the superspace only, ie. the coordinates of Ξ only, we will recover the original non-supersymmetric action plus fermion terms. Then we will introduce (p,0) supersymmetry for $p = 2, 4$. We will find that (2,0) supersymmetry requires that the target manifold M is a KT manifold and that (4,0) supersymmetry requires that M is HKT, demonstrating the relationship between supersymmetry and target space geometry. We will show that no other interesting cases for (p,0) supersymmetry exist. Next we will introduce (p,1) supersymmetry for $p = 1, 2, 4$. We will find that these models are analogous to the (p,0) models; in particular (2,1) supersymmetry requires that M is KT and (4,1) supersymmetry requires that M is HKT. We will demonstrate why it is not possible to define a (2,1) supersymmetric theory on a manifold with vanishing torsion. Finally we will introduce one dimensional sigma models, which are maps $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ where the (bosonic) dimension of the superspace is $\dim \Sigma = 1$. In this section we will also introduce a fermionic superfield χ, which can be thought of as belonging to the Yang-Mills sector of the theory. We will describe an $N = 1$ supersymmetric action for ϕ and χ and construct the Noether supercharge of the symmetry. We will briefly comment on the differences of the geometry of the target space between two-dimensional and one-dimensional sigma models with extended supersymmetry.

1.2.2 Supergravity and one-dimensional sigma models

In the third chapter, we will introduce the most general one dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric action with dimensionless couplings, and describe the action in components. We will then describe the Noether procedure, where the supersymmetry parameter ϵ is no longer assumed to be constant, but to depend on the coordinates of the worldspace. Such models are known as *supergravity* models because they can be shown to include gravity. We demonstrate the Noether technique explicitly on a simple Lagrangian, introducing gauge fields which cancel the variations proportional to derivatives of ϵ. We then describe the most general $N = 1$ action after gauging its supersymmetry and introducing the supergravity gauge fields. We derive the Hamiltonian of the theory and calculate the first and second class constraints; we show that these are conserved with time and express the Hamiltonian as a linear combination of the second class constraints. We then quantise the theory using the Dirac-Bergmann method; we calculate the Dirac operator and show that it squares to the Klein-Gordon operator. We will give two representations of the Dirac operator in terms of Dirac matrices, then finally we will comment on the existence of zero modes of the Dirac operator on curved manifolds.

1.2.3 Equivariant cohomology

In the fourth chapter, we review the results of equivariant cohomology that we will use in the following chapters. Equivariant cohomology describes the cohomology of gauge invariant forms that arise when a manifold M admits a group action with gauge group G; we will give a mathematician's definition in terms of the universal classifying space EG of G. We will then construct a model for the equivariant cohomology as follows. We define the Weil algebra, which can be thought of as the differential graded algebra generated by the gauge potential A and the field strength F. The Weil algebra is used to generalise the space of forms to include forms which are polynomial in A and F; the subspace invariant under gauge transformations is called the space of *basic forms* $\Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$. The cohomology of $\Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$ is the equivariant cohomology. We will define an equivariant extension of a form, and in a final section which can be read independently of the rest of the chapter, we will give a more useful, physicist's way of thinking about equivariant cohomology.

1.2.4 New two dimensional gauge theories

In the fifth chapter, we shall construct the actions of (p,0)- and (p,1)-supersymmetric, $1 \leq p \leq 4$, two-dimensional gauge theories coupled to non-linear sigma model matter and with non-vanishing Wess-Zumino term. In addition we shall also consider the scalar potentials that arise in these theories. This will generalise various partial results that have already appeared in the literature. To simplify the description of the results from here on, we shall use the term 'sigma models' instead of the term 'non-linear sigma models' unless otherwise explicitly stated. The method we shall use to construct the various actions of supersymmetric two-dimensional gauged theories coupled to sigma models is based on the superfields found in the context of supersymmetric sigma models [43, 44] and later used in the context supersymmetric gauged sigma models [38]. One advantage of this method is that it keeps manifest the various geometric properties of the couplings that appear in these theories. This will be used in the fourth chapter to construct of various bounds for vortices. Since the parts of the actions that we shall describe involving the kinetic term of the sigma model scalars, the Wess-Zumino term and their couplings to gauge fields are known, we shall focus on the kinetic term of the gauge fields and the scalar potentials of these theories. We shall allow the gauge couplings to depend on the sigma model scalars and we shall derive the various conditions on these couplings required by gauge invariance and supersymmetry. We shall find that the scalar potential of gauge theories coupled to sigma models in two dimensions, even in the presence of Wess-Zumino term, is the sum of a 'F' term or a 'D' term or both. The presence of a D-term, or Fayet-Iliopoulos term, may come as a surprise. This is because in the presence of a Wess-Zumino term the geometry of the target space, say, of (2,0)-supersymmetric models where such a term in expected, is *not* Kähler and but Kähler with torsion (KT). Thus the Kähler form is not closed and there are no obvious moment maps. However, it has been shown in [45] that KT geometries under certain conditions admit moment maps and are those that appear in the Fayet-Iliopoulos term of these models. Similar results hold for the (4,0)-supersymmetric models. We shall observe that the gauged (p,1), $p = 1, 2, 4$, multiplets are associated with scalar superfields. For the gauge theories with (2,1) and (4,1) supersymmetry, these scalar multiplets satisfy the same supersymmetry constraints as the associated sigma model multiplets. Therefore these gauge theories can be thought of as sigma models with target spaces $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^p$, $p = 1, 2, 4$. This will allow us to combine the (p,1) gauge multiplet and the standard sigma model $(p, 1)$ multiplet to a new sigma model multiplet. As a result, sigma model type of actions can be written for these gauge theories coupled to matter for which the associated couplings depend on the scalar fields of both the sigma model and gauge multiplets. This generalizes the results of [38].

1.2.5 Vortices and bounds

In the sixth chapter, we shall show that the Euclidean actions of (2,0)- and (4,0)-supersymmetric two-dimensional gauge theories coupled to sigma models with a Fayet-Iliopoulos term but with *vanishing* Wess-Zumino term admit bounds. In particular we shall find that the Euclidean action S_E of the (2,0)-supersymmetric theory is bounded by the absolute value of a topological charge $\mathcal{Q}$ which is the integral over the two-dimensional spacetime of the *equivariant extension of the Kähler form* of its sigma model target space, $S_E \geq |\mathcal{Q}|$. The sigma model manifold in the (4,0)-supersymmetric theory is hyper-Kähler and so there are three Kähler forms each having an equivariant extension. The Euclidean action S_E of the (4,0)-supersymmetric theory is bounded by the length of the three topological charges $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2, \mathcal{Q}_3$ each associated with the integral over the two-dimensional spacetime of the equivariant extensions of the three Kähler forms, $S_E \geq \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_1^2 + \mathcal{Q}_2^2 + \mathcal{Q}_3^2}$. This is another application of the equivariant cohomology in the context of two-dimensional gauged sigma models which is distinct from that found in [40, 41] and we have mentioned above. (For many other applications see for example [46].) The configurations that saturate these bounds are vortices and include the Nielsen-Olesen type of vortices [47] associated with gauge theories coupled to linear sigma models. In particular the bounds above generalise that found by Bogomol'nyi in [48] for the abelian vortices of a gauge theory coupled to a single linear complex scalar field.

We also find that similar bounds exist in higher dimensions for action type of functionals that involve maps between two Kähler manifolds of any dimension coupled to gauge fields or maps from a Kähler manifold into a hyper-Kähler manifold again coupled to gauge fields. The structure of these functionals is such that it includes the Euclidean actions of some supersymmetric gauge theories in higher dimensions coupled to sigma models with Fayet-Iliopoulos terms. In particular the first case, which involves maps between two-Kähler spaces, includes the Euclidean action of a four-dimensional $N = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theory coupled to a sigma model. The latter case, which involved maps from a Kähler manifold into a hyper-Kähler one, can be associated with the Euclidean action of a four-dimensional $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory coupled to a sigma model. Note that in $N = 1$ theories in four dimensions the sigma model target space is Kähler while in the $N = 2$ theories in four dimensions the sigma model target space is hyper-Kähler. In all these cases the action functionals are bounded by *topological charges* which involve the *equivariant extensions* of the Kähler forms of the sigma model target space as well as the second Chern character of the gauge fields. Our results are different from those of [49, 50] for non-abelian vortices which involve non-abelian gauge theories coupled to linear sigma model matter. Note that in the bound constructed in [49], the topological term involves the first class and second Chern character of the gauge fields instead of the equivariant extension of the Kähler form and the second Chern character of the gauge field that we find. It turns out that in the case of gauge theories coupled to *linear* sigma models of [35] the two different topological charges can be related, see also [51]. However this involves a partial integration procedure in which various surface terms are taken to vanish. We remark that in the construction of the bounds that involve the equivariant extensions of the Kähler forms, and also in [48], the topological terms are identified with what remains after writing the Euclidean actions of the theories as a sum of squares without the use of partial integrations. It is clear that our results can also be used to construct bounds for solitons in appropriate gauge theories coupled to sigma model matter in odd-spacetime dimensions. This is the usual situation where instantons in an n-dimensional theory can be thought of as static solitons of an (n+1)-dimensional theory. In particular, there is a bound for the energy of static configurations of a three-dimensional $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theory coupled to sigma model matter. This new bound is an extension to gauged theories of the of bounds found in [52] and generalizes that of [53].

Chapter 2

Sigma Models

A sigma model is defined by two manifolds: the *worldspace* (or *superspace*) (Ξ, h), the *target space* (M, g) and the *sigma model fields* which are maps $\phi : \Xi \to M$. The dimension of the sigma model is the dimension of Ξ; in this chapter we will describe the cases $\dim \Xi = 2$ and $\dim \Xi = 1$.

2.1 Two dimensional sigma models

In the two dimensional case, sigma model fields are maps $\phi : \Xi \to M$ where $\dim \Xi = 2$. It is usual to take Ξ to be a flat Minkowski manifold with coordinates $x^\mu = (x, t)$ and metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ because this represents objects with string-like degrees of freedom; we can think of the timelike direction t as proper time and the spacelike direction x as the degree of freedom along the stringlike object.

Since the Lorentz group acts on Ξ it is convenient to use the null coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}) = (x + t, x - t)$; with this choice of parameterisation the group action is diagonalised and the indices denote the Lorentz weights:

$$[M, v_{\ddagger}] = +v_{\ddagger} \qquad\qquad [M, v_{=}] = -v_{=} \tag{2.1.1}$$

where v_μ is a vector and M is an infinitesimal Lorentz group generator. An object is Lorentz invariant if its total weight is zero.

2.1.1 The action

The fields of interest satisfy an action principle with action

$$S[\phi] = \int_{\Xi} \mathrm{d}^2x \, g_{ij}(\phi) \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i \partial_{=} \phi^j \tag{2.1.2}$$

where g_{ij} is the metric of the target space manifold M. This action is invariant under the following transformations: (i) coordinate changes $\phi^i \rightarrow \phi'^i(\phi)$ of the target manifold M, (ii) Lorentz transformations on Ξ, and (iii) linear transformations of the field ϕ given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\ddagger} &\rightarrow x^{\ddagger} + \alpha^{\ddagger} \\ x^{=} &\rightarrow x^{=} + \alpha^{=} \\ \phi^i &\rightarrow \phi^i - \alpha^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi^i \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.3}$$

where $\alpha^{\mu} = (\alpha^{\ddagger}, \alpha^{=})$ is a real constant. The third set of transformations are generated by the Noether charge $P_{\mu} = (P_{\ddagger}, P_{=})$ associated with energy and momentum, and is realised on ϕ by $P_{\mu} = (-\partial_{\ddagger}, -\partial_{=})$. In fact the action (2.1.2) is also invariant under conformal transformations $\alpha^{\ddagger} = \alpha^{\ddagger}(x^{\ddagger})$, $\alpha^{=} = \alpha^{=}(x^{=})$.

2.1.2 The Wess-Zumino term

It is natural to add torsion to the theories described above, as we shall see this is essential in the formulation of certain supersymmetric theories. Other applications of torsion include the cancellation of anomaly terms, see for example [54].

The previous action with a Wess-Zumino term is

$$S[\phi] = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, (g_{ij} + b_{ij}) \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i \partial_{=} \phi^j \tag{2.1.4}$$

where b_{ij} is a two-form; the torsion of M is $H = \mathrm{d}b$.

The action with torsion (2.1.4) has the same invariances as before, ie. under (i) coordinate changes of M, (ii) Lorentz transformations of Ξ, and

(iii) linear translations of ϕ. However b_{ij} may change by a gauge transformation when we move between coordinate patches on M. Therefore b_{ij} and the action (2.1.4) may only be locally defined if the topology of M is non-trivial. Note though that $H = \mathrm{d}b$ is always globally defined. We discuss this in the next section.

2.1.3 Global aspects of torsion

The equations of motion are globally defined because they depend on the torsion H not the Wess-Zumino term b, which is locally defined. It is not possible classically for the action of the Wess-Zumino term to be globally defined. However in quantum theory, $\exp(iS_E)$ must be globally defined, where S_E is the Euclidean action.

Choose a 'background' map $\phi_0 : \Xi \rightarrow M$ which is homotopic to the sigma model map ϕ defined before. Then we define the Euclidean action of the Wess-Zumino term as

$$S_E[\tilde{\phi}] = \int_{I\times\Xi} \tilde{\phi}^* H \tag{2.1.5}$$

where $\tilde{\phi} : I \times \Xi \rightarrow M$, I is the interval $I = [0, 1]$, and $\tilde{\phi}$ are the interpolating maps with $\tilde{\phi}(0, x^\mu) = \phi_0$ and $\tilde{\phi}(1, x^\mu) = \phi(x^\mu)$.

S_E depends on the choice of $\tilde{\phi}$. To determine this dependence, take a second homotopy $\tilde{\phi}'$ between ϕ and ϕ_0 and calculate the difference,

$$\Delta S_E = S_E[\tilde{\phi}'] - S_E[\tilde{\phi}] \ . \tag{2.1.6}$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\Delta S_E = S_E[\tilde{\phi}''] = \int_{S^1\times\Xi} \tilde{\phi}''^* H \tag{2.1.7}$$

where

$$\phi''(t, x^\mu) = \begin{cases} \phi(2t, x^\mu) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \phi'(-2t + 2, x^\mu) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.1.8}$$

and satisfies $\phi''(0, x^\mu) = \phi''(1, x^\mu) = \phi_0$. The difference (2.1.8) is the integral of a closed three-form over a compact three-manifold without boundary and in general evaluates to a real number.

Therefore, if $[H]/2\pi \in H^3(M, \mathbb{Z})$ then $\Delta S_E = 2\pi n$ where n is an integer, so $\exp(iS_E)$ will be independent of the choice of the interpolation between ϕ_0 and ϕ and so well-defined.

2.1.4 Supersymmetric sigma models

We will introduce supersymmetry through its algebra. Let Q be the Noether (super)charge generating a supersymmetry, then the *supersymmetry algebra* is

$$[Q, Q]_+ = 2iP \tag{2.1.9}$$

where the brackets are anticommutators. Note that Q must be anticommuting (Grassman odd).

In components, $P = (P_{\ddagger}, P_{=})$ so Lorentz consistency requires that $Q = (Q_+, Q_-)$ with Lorentz weights $+1/2, -1/2$ respectively. Fields with an even number of plus or minus signs are bosonic (commuting); those with an odd number are fermionic (Grassman odd).

A (p, q) supersymmetric model has p left-handed supercharges Q_+ and q right-handed supercharges Q_-. We will construct various (p, q) supersymmetric models in the following sections.

2.1.5 (1,0) supersymmetric sigma models

In this section we will construct a two-dimensional sigma model which realises the (1,0) supersymmetry algebra symmetry algebra

$$[Q_+, Q_+]_+ = 2iP_{\ddagger}\ . \tag{2.1.10}$$

We begin with the superspace $\Xi^{1,0}$, which we take to be a supermanifold parameterised by $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^+)$ where $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=})$ are the null coordinates defined in section 2.1 and θ^+ is a real *Grassman* coordinate, which is anticommuting and in particular $(\theta^+)^2 = 0$. The index denotes the Lorentz weight, we define an upper $(\pm)$ index the same as a lower $(\mp)$ index.

The Grassman derivative $\partial_{\theta^+} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^+}$ satisfies $\partial_{\theta^+}\theta^+ = 1$, and we define the supercovariant derivative D_+ by $(D_+)^2 = i\partial_{\ddagger}$, in components this is

$$D_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^+} + i\theta^+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\ddagger}} \ . \tag{2.1.11}$$

To construct the Noether charges, P is realised exactly as before, $P = (P_{\ddagger}, P_{=}) = (-\partial_{\ddagger}, -\partial_{=})$. We may now define Q_+ by the property that

$$[Q_+, D_+]_+ = 0 \ , \tag{2.1.12}$$

in components this is

$$Q_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^+} - i\theta^+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\ddagger}} \ . \tag{2.1.13}$$

It may be checked that $P_{\ddagger}$ and Q_+ indeed obey the supersymmetry algebra (2.1.10).

The two-dimensional (1,0) supersymmetric sigma model is defined by the superspace (worldspace) $\Xi^{1,0}$, the target manifold (M, g) and the sigma model fields $\phi : \Xi^{1,0} \to M$. The fields satisfy an action principle with action

$$S = -i\int_{\Xi^{1,0}} \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+\,(g_{ij} + b_{ij})D_+\phi^i\partial_{=}\phi^j \ . \tag{2.1.14}$$

The integral $\int \mathrm{d}\theta^+ = \partial_{\theta^+}$ is the standard Berezin integration (we remind the reader that $\mathrm{d}\theta^+$ has the *opposite* Lorentz weight to θ^+); b is the Wess-Zumino term of the previous section.

The action (2.1.14) is invariant under the three transformations before: (i) coordinate changes of M (up to gauge transformations of b_{ij}), (ii) Lorentz transformations of $\Xi^{1,0}$ and (iii) linear transformations of ϕ generated by P. In addition, it is invariant under supersymmetries generated by Q_+, $\delta_\epsilon\phi^i = \epsilon_- Q_+\phi^i$ where ϵ_- is a constant anticommuting parameter. To see this, set $b = 0$, apply δ_ϵ to the fields, use $[D_+, Q_+]_+ = 0$ and expand Q_+ in components to give

$$S = -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+\,\epsilon_-\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^+} - i\theta^+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\ddagger}}\Big)g_{ij}D_+\phi^i\partial_=\phi^j\ . \tag{2.1.15}$$

which evaluates to a surface term that vanishes. In general an integral over the full superspace is manifestly supersymmetric.

For $b \neq 0$, under the conditions of section 2.1.3, the action may be written globally as

$$S[\phi] = -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+\,g_{ij}D_+\phi^i\partial_=\phi^j - i\int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+\mathrm{d}t\,H_{ijk}\partial_t\tilde{\phi}^iD_+\tilde{\phi}^j\partial_=\tilde{\phi}^k \tag{2.1.16}$$

which is manifestly supersymmetric.

It remains to describe the sigma model multiplet (superfield) $\phi = \phi(x^\mu, \theta^+)$. We calculate the Taylor expansion around $\theta^+ = 0$, which quickly terminates to give

$$\phi^i(x^\mu, \theta^+) = \phi^i(x^\mu) + \theta^+\lambda^i_+(x^\mu) \tag{2.1.17}$$

where the components are

$$\phi^i(x^\mu) = \phi^i(x^\mu,\theta^+)|_{\theta^+=0} \qquad \lambda^i_+(x^\mu) = D_+\phi^i(x^\mu,\theta^+)|_{\theta^+=0}\ , \tag{2.1.18}$$

which are the scalar and the fermion field. The fermion can be thought of as the superpartner of ϕ^i.

Under the supersymmetry transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\epsilon\phi^i(x^\mu) &= \epsilon_-\lambda^i_+(x^\mu)\\ \delta_\epsilon\lambda^i_+(x^\mu) &= i\epsilon_-\partial_{\ddagger}\phi^i(x^\mu)\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.19}$$

we see that supersymmetry swaps a field with its superpartner.

Evaluating the superspace integral in (2.1.14) gives the action for the components,

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x\,\big((g_{ij} + b_{ij})\partial_{\ddagger}\phi^i\partial_=\phi^j + ig_{ij}\lambda^i_+\nabla^{(+)}_=\lambda^j_+\big)\ , \tag{2.1.20}$$

where $\nabla^{(+)}_{=}\lambda^i_+ = \nabla_{=}\lambda^i_+ + \frac{1}{2}H^i{}_{jk}\partial_{=}\phi^j\lambda^k_+$, and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection; we see that H is a torsion three-form.

2.1.6 (2,0) supersymmetry

Now we will construct the (2,0) supersymmetry algebra,

$$[Q_{p+}, Q_{q+}]_+ = 2i\delta_{pq}P_{\ddagger} \tag{2.1.21}$$

where $p, q = 0, 1$. We begin with the sigma model from the previous section; recall that $\Xi^{1,0} = (x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^+)$, $\phi : \Xi^{1,0} \to M$ and that the action is

$$S = -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x\,(g_{ij} + b_{ij})D_+\phi^i\partial_{=}\phi^j\ . \tag{2.1.22}$$

We note that ϕ has the same component expansion as before (2.1.18) because it is defined in exactly the same way; the second supersymmetry will not introduce any new fields.

We relabel the previous supercharge as $Q_{0+} = Q_+$ and look for a second supercharge of the form

$$Q_{1+}\phi^i = J^i{}_jD_+\phi^j\ . \tag{2.1.23}$$

Lorentz covariance requires that $J^i{}_j$ is a (1,1) tensor on M. We require that Q_{1+} satisfies the supersymmetry algebra; we find

$${Q_{1+}}^2\phi^i = (J^i{}_{k,l}J^l{}_j - J^i{}_lJ^l{}_{k,j})D_+\phi^jD_+\phi^k - iJ^i{}_jJ^j{}_kD_+\phi^k\ , \tag{2.1.24}$$

and so closure of the algebra requires the constraints

$$J^i{}_jJ^j{}_k = -\delta^i{}_k \tag{2.1.25a}$$

$$N^i{}_{jk} \equiv \frac{1}{4}(J^i{}_{k,l}J^l{}_j - J^i{}_lJ^l{}_{k,j}) = 0\ , \tag{2.1.25b}$$

where N is the Nijenhuis tensor. These conditions imply that J is a complex structure, therefore (M, J) is a complex manifold; in particular its dimension is even.

Invariance of the action under the second supersymmetry requires that

$$g_{kl}J^k{}_i J^l{}_j = g_{ij} \tag{2.1.26}$$

$$\nabla^{(+)}_i J^j{}_k = 0 \ . \tag{2.1.27}$$

Therefore g is a Hermitian metric and J is covariantly constant with respect to $\nabla^{(+)} = \nabla + \frac{1}{2}H$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection and H is the torsion. This implies that M must be a KT (Kähler with Torsion) manifold, in particular $\nabla^{(+)}$ has $U(n)$ holonomy.

We observe that the amount of supersymmetry in the model constrains the target space geometry. The converse is also true; given a complex structure on a Hermitian manifold satisfying the constraints above, we can construct a second supersymmetry, and this is a general feature of two-dimensional supersymmetric sigma models.

We present a second, equivalent, formulation of the (2,0) model by introducing the (2,0) superspace $\Xi^{2,0}$ with coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta_{0+}, \theta_{1+})$; the sigma model fields are now maps $\phi : \Xi^{2,0} \to M$. Supercovariant derivatives D_{0+}, D_{1+} are defined similarly to the (1,0) case (2.1.11) and satisfy

$$D_{0+}{}^2 = D_{1+}{}^2 = i\partial_{\ddagger} \qquad\qquad [D_{0+}, D_{1+}]_+ = 0 \ . \tag{2.1.28}$$

We impose the constraint

$$D_{1+}\phi^i = J^i{}_j D_{0+}\phi^j \tag{2.1.29}$$

on the superfields. The independent components of ϕ are then

$$\phi^i = \phi^i|_{\theta_0^+=\theta_1^+=0} \qquad\qquad \lambda^i_+ = D_{0+}\phi^i|_{\theta_0^+=\theta_1^+=0} \ , \tag{2.1.30}$$

which correspond to those defined before in (2.1.18).

Consistency of the above constraint with (2.1.28) requires precisely the conditions we had before for the closure of the algebra (2.1.25); therefore J is a complex structure and M is a complex manifold.

The supercharges Q_{p+} are defined by $[Q_{p+}, D_{q+}]_+ = 0$; with the above constraint they are identical to those defined in the first part of this section, and in particular satisfy the supersymmetry algebra.

The action is

$$S = -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^{0+}\,(g_{ij}+b_{ij})D_{0+}\phi^i\partial_{=}\phi^j \ , \tag{2.1.31}$$

which is exactly as before (2.1.22) except that here the ϕ^i are (2,0) superfields. Invariance of this action under supersymmetry is an identical calculation to the previous case and leads to the same constraints (2.1.26); in particular, M is a KT manifold.

2.1.7 (4,0) supersymmetry

The results of the previous section are readily generalised to the case when we have more than two left-handed supersymmetries,

$$[Q_{p+}, Q_{q+}]_+ = 2i\delta_{pq}P_{\ddagger}, \qquad p,q = 0,\dots,n-1 \ . \tag{2.1.32}$$

Let $\Xi^{1,0} = (x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^+)$ be the usual (1,0) superspace and $\phi : \Xi^{1,0} \to M$ be the sigma model field. We consider the supercharges

$$Q_{0+}\phi^i = Q_+\phi^i \tag{2.1.33}$$

$$Q_{r+}\phi^i = J_r{}^i{}_j D_+\phi^j \tag{2.1.34}$$

where Q_+ was defined in (2.1.13) and $r = 1,\dots,n-1$. By an identical calculation (2.1.25) to the previous section, the requirement that the supersymmetry algebra is satisfied implies that each J_r is a complex structure. An extra constraint arises because the Q_{r+} anticommute; this is

$$J_r{}^i{}_k J_s{}^k{}_j + J_s{}^i{}_k J_r{}^k{}_j = 0 \ . \tag{2.1.35}$$

The action of the theory is

$$S = -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^{+}\,(g_{ij}+b_{ij})D_{+}\phi^i\partial_{=}\phi^j \ , \tag{2.1.36}$$

and as in the previous section, invariance of the action requires that

$$g_{kl} {J_r}^k{}_i {J_r}^l{}_j = g_{ij} \tag{2.1.37}$$

$$\nabla^{(+)}_i {J_r}^j{}_k = 0 \ . \tag{2.1.38}$$

Therefore the metric is Hermitian with respect to each complex structure, and each complex structure is covariantly constant. In particular, $\dim M = 4n$ and $\nabla^{(+)}$ has $Sp(n)$ holonomy.

From (2.1.35), if we have two complex structures J_1 and J_2, we may form a third $J_3 = J_1 \cdot J_2$, so (3,0) supersymmetry implies (4,0) supersymmetry. Furthermore, if there are more than three complex structures, then the target manifold M is reducible [7]. Thus (4,0) supersymmetry is the only additional interesting case, and the geometry of M is then HKT (Hyper-Kähler with Torsion); ie. there exists a metric connection with torsion whose holonomy is $Sp(n)$; $\dim M = 4n$.

2.1.8 (1,1) supersymmetry

We will now generalise the analysis of section 2.1.5 to have two supercharges of opposite chirality. The supersymmetry algebra is

$$[Q_+, Q_+]_+ = 2iP_{\ddagger} \qquad [Q_-, Q_-]_+ = 2iP_{=} \tag{2.1.39}$$

$$[Q_+, Q_-]_+ = 0 \ . \tag{2.1.40}$$

We define the (1,1) superspace $\Xi^{1,1} = (x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^+, \theta^-)$ where $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=})$ are the null coordinates defined in section 2.1 and θ^+, θ^- are Grassman coordinates of opposite chirality. We define the supercovariant derivatives D_+, D_- by ${D_+}^2 = i\partial_{\ddagger}$, ${D_-}^2 = i\partial_{=}$ and $[D_+, D_-]_+ = 0$; their component expansion is

$$D_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^+} + i\theta^+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\ddagger}} \ , \tag{2.1.41}$$

$$D_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^-} + i\theta^- \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{=}} \ . \tag{2.1.42}$$

The Noether supercharges are defined so that $[Q_+, D_\pm]_+ = 0$ and $[Q_-, D_\pm]_+ = 0$; their expansions in components are

$$Q_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^+} - i\theta^+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\ddagger}} \ , \tag{2.1.43}$$

$$Q_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^-} - i\theta^-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{=}} \tag{2.1.44}$$

and it may be checked that $P_{\ddagger}$, $P_{=}$, Q_+ and Q_- obey the supersymmetry algebra (2.1.39).

The two dimensional (1,1) supersymmetric sigma model is defined by the superspace $\Xi^{1,1}$, the target manifold (M, g) and sigma model maps $\phi : \Xi^{1,1} \rightarrow M$ which satisfy an action principle with action

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left((g_{ij} + b_{ij}) D_+\phi^i D_-\phi^j \right) \ . \tag{2.1.45}$$

This action is invariant under (i) coordinate changes of M (modulo gauge transformations of b), (ii) Lorentz transformations of $\Xi^{1,1}$ and (iii) the transformations of the fields generated by translations and supertranslations, ie.

$$\delta_\alpha\phi^i = \alpha^{\ddagger}P_{\ddagger}\phi^i + \alpha^{=}P_{=}\phi^i \tag{2.1.46}$$

$$\delta_\epsilon\phi^i = \epsilon^+Q_+\phi^i + \epsilon^-Q_-\phi^i \tag{2.1.47}$$

where α^μ is an infinitesimal (bosonic) constant and ϵ^+, ϵ^- are constant Grassman parameters. To show that this is the case, (i) and (ii) are manifest; for part (iii), invariance under $P_{\ddagger}, P_{=}$ follows similarly to section 2.1.1 and invariance under $Q_\pm$ follows from a similar calculation to (2.1.15).

The new right-handed supersymmetry introduces a second fermion to the theory to the sigma model multiplet; ϕ now has the components

$$\phi^i = \phi^i| \qquad\qquad F^i = \nabla^{(+)}_+ D_-\phi^i| \tag{2.1.48}$$

$$\lambda^i_+ = D_+\phi^i| \qquad\qquad \lambda^i_- = D_-\phi^i_+| \tag{2.1.49}$$

where the vertical line denotes evaluation at $\theta^+ = \theta^- = 0$.

The action in components is

$$
\begin{aligned}
S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big((g_{ij} + b_{ij}) \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i \partial_{=} \phi^j + i g_{ij} \lambda^i_+ \nabla^{(+)}_{=} \lambda^j_+ + i g_{ij} \lambda^i_- \nabla^{(-)}_{=} \lambda^j_- \\
- g_{ij} F^i F^j - \frac{1}{2} R^{(+)}_{ijkl} \lambda^i_+ \lambda^j_+ \lambda^k_- \lambda^l_- \big) \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1.50}
$$

where $\nabla^{(\pm)} = \nabla \pm \frac{1}{2} H$,

$$
R^{(+)}_{ijkl} = R_{ijkl} + \partial_i H_{jkl} - \partial_j H_{ikl} + H_{mjl} H^m{}_{ik} - H_{mil} H^m{}_{jk} \tag{2.1.51}
$$

is the curvature of $\nabla^{(+)}$ and R_{ijkl} is the Riemann tensor. We may eliminate F from the above action because its equation of motion is $F = 0$.

The components transform under the supersymmetry transformations as

$$
\delta_\epsilon \phi^i = \epsilon^+ \lambda^i_+ + \epsilon^- \lambda^i_- \tag{2.1.52}
$$

$$
\delta_\epsilon \lambda^i_+ = i \epsilon^+ \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i + \epsilon^- \big(\Gamma^i{}_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} H^i{}_{jk} \big) \lambda^j_+ \lambda^k_- \tag{2.1.53}
$$

$$
\delta_\epsilon \lambda^i_- = -\epsilon^+ \big(\Gamma^i{}_{jk} + \frac{1}{2} H^i{}_{jk} \big) \lambda^j_+ \lambda^k_- + i \epsilon^- \partial_{=} \phi^i \ , \tag{2.1.54}
$$

where we have eliminated the field F.

2.1.9 (2,1) supersymmetry

The (2,1) supersymmetry algebra is

$$
[Q_{p+}, Q_{q+}]_+ = 2i \delta_{pq} P_{\ddagger} \qquad [Q_-, Q_-]_+ = 2i P_{=} \tag{2.1.55}
$$

where $p, q = 0, 1$ and all other brackets vanish. We recall the definition of the (1,1) supersymmetric sigma model and relabel the supercharge as $Q_{0+} = Q_+$. The second supersymmetry is defined to be

$$
Q_{1+} \phi^i = J^i{}_j D_+ \phi^j \ . \tag{2.1.56}
$$

To satisfy the supersymmetry algebra, we must impose the same conditions on J as the (2,0) case (2.1.25), ie. that J is a complex structure. Invariance of the action

$$
S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \, (g_{ij} + b_{ij}) D_+ \phi^i D_- \phi^j \tag{2.1.57}
$$

requires that g is Hermitian with respect to J and that J is covariantly constant, ie.

$$g_{kl}J^k{}_iJ^l{}_j = g_{ij} \qquad\qquad \nabla^{(+)}_i J^j{}_k = 0\ . \tag{2.1.58}$$

Therefore M is a KT manifold.

The component form of the action (2.1.57) was given in the previous section (2.1.50).

A second equivalent formulation is to define the (2,1) superspace $\Xi^{2,1}$ with coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta_0^+, \theta_1^+, \theta^-)$. Supercovariant derivatives D_{p+}, D_- are defined to satisfy

$$D_{0+}{}^2 = D_{1+}{}^2 = i\partial_{\ddagger} \qquad [D_{0+}, D_{1+}]_+ = 0 \qquad [D_{p+}, D_-]_+ = 0\ ; \tag{2.1.59}$$

we constrain the sigma model maps $\phi : \Xi^{2,1} \rightarrow M$ by

$$D_{1+}\phi^i = J^i{}_j D_{0+}\phi^j\ . \tag{2.1.60}$$

Consistency of this constraint with the algebra (2.1.59) of supercovariant derivatives requires that J is a complex structure; invariance of the action (2.1.57) requires precisely the same constraints as before (2.1.58), which imply that M is a KT manifold.

We observe how the torsion of the theory allows us to define theories with differing numbers of right and left handed supersymmetries. For suppose we look for an additional *right* handed supersymmetry,

$$Q_{1-}\phi^i = K^i{}_j D_-\phi^j\ . \tag{2.1.61}$$

The supersymmetry algebra and invariance of the action then imply the conditions

$$K^i{}_j K^j{}_k = -\delta^i{}_k \tag{2.1.62}$$

$$N^i{}_{jk} \equiv \frac{1}{4}\left(K^i{}_{k,l}K^l{}_j - K^i{}_l K^l{}_{k,j}\right) = 0 \tag{2.1.63}$$

$$g_{kl}K^k{}_i K^l{}_j = g_{ij} \tag{2.1.64}$$

$$\nabla^{(-)}_i K^j{}_k = 0\ . \tag{2.1.65}$$

If the torsion vanishes, $H = 0$, the last condition above becomes $\nabla_i {K^j}_k = 0$ which is satisfied by taking $K = J$; therefore it is not necessary to introduce a second complex structure K. Hence on a Kähler manifold, (2,1) supersymmetry implies (2,2) supersymmetry.

We note that (2,2) supersymmetry on a KT manifold M requires two commuting complex structures J and K; in this case we can form a *product structure* ${\Pi^i}_j = {J^i}_k {K^k}_j$; using Π we may decompose M to be diffeomorphic (but not isometric) to product of two manifolds, $M_1 \times M_2$ where M_1 and M_2 are both KT. This allows the introduction of twisted multiplets, see for example [38].

2.1.10 (4,1) supersymmetry

The results of the previous section are readily generalised to the case when we have two or more left handed supersymmetries (and one right handed supersymmetry). From the section on (4,0) supersymmetry, we know that the only interesting case will be (4,1) supersymmetry.

The (4,1) supersymmetry algebra is

$$[Q_{p+}, Q_{q+}]_+ = 2i\delta_{pq} P_{\ddagger} \qquad [Q_-, Q_-]_+ = 2iP_= \tag{2.1.66}$$

where $p, q = 0, \ldots, 3$. We define the (4,1) superspace $\Xi^{4,1}$ with coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^+_p, \theta_-)$; the sigma model fields are maps $\phi : \Xi^{4,1} \to M$. We define the supercovariant derivatives D_{p+},D_- to satisfy

$${D_{p+}}^2 = i\partial_{\ddagger} \qquad [D_{p+}, D_{q+}]_+ = 0 \qquad [D_{p+}, D_-]_+ = 0 \tag{2.1.67}$$

and impose the following constraint on the sigma model fields,

$$D_{r+}\phi^i = {J_r}^i{}_j D_{0+}\phi^j \qquad r = 1, 2, 3 \ . \tag{2.1.68}$$

The supercharges are

$$Q_{0-}\phi^i = \left(\partial_{\theta_0-} - i\theta_0^-\partial_=\right)\phi^i \tag{2.1.69}$$

$$Q_{0+}\phi^i = \left(\partial_{\theta_0+} - i\theta_0^+\partial_{\ddagger}\right)\phi^i \tag{2.1.70}$$

$$Q_{r+}\phi^i = J_r{}^i{}_j D_{0+}\phi^j \tag{2.1.71}$$

where $r = 1, 2, 3$. The supercharges obey the supersymmetry algebra if and only if the algebra of supercovariant derivatives is satisfied; for this to hold we require

$$\begin{aligned} N_r{}^i{}_{jk} &\equiv \frac{1}{4}\left(J_r{}^i{}_{k,l}J_r{}^l{}_j - J_r{}^i{}_l J_r{}^l{}_{k,j}\right) = 0 \\ J_r{}^i{}_k J_s{}^k{}_j + J_s{}^i{}_k J_r{}^k{}_j &= -2\delta_{rs}\delta^i{}_j \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.72}$$

Therefore the J_r are anticommuting complex structures.

The action is

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta_0^+\mathrm{d}\theta^-\,(g_{ij} + b_{ij})D_+\phi^i D_-\phi^j \ ; \tag{2.1.73}$$

invariance of the action requires that g is Hermitian with respect to each J_r and that each J_r is covariantly constant,

$$g_{kl}J^k{}_i J^l{}_j = g_{ij} \qquad\qquad \nabla_i^{(+)}J^j{}_k = 0 \ . \tag{2.1.74}$$

Therefore M is a HKT manifold. We note that the torsion vanishes, $H = 0$, then we would be able to construct (4,4) supersymmetry in a similar way to the previous section.

2.2 One dimensional sigma models

Let Σ^1 be one dimensional $N = 1$ superspace with coordinates (t, θ) where where t is a real coordinate and θ is a Grassman coordinate. A one dimensional $N = 1$ sigma model superfield is a map $q : \Sigma^1 \rightarrow M$ where (M, g) is the target manifold; q can be thought of as a point particle with proper time t.

We introduce a second fermionic superfield $\chi^a(t,\theta)$ which is defined to be a section of the bundle $q^*\epsilon$ over Σ^1, where ϵ is the real vector bundle over M. It can be thought of as the Yang-Mills sector, and is used for example to introduce a potential into the model, see for example [11].

We define the supercovariant derivative D by the property $D^2 = \partial$ where $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, in components

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ . \tag{2.2.1}$$

Let h_{ab} be the fibre metric and $B_i{}^a{}_b$ the connection of the bundle. We define the covariant derivative

$$\nabla\chi^a = D\chi^a + Dq^i B_i{}^a{}_b\chi^b \ ; \tag{2.2.2}$$

without loss of generality we may assume that the fibre metric h_{ab} is compatible with the connection, $\nabla_i h_{ab} = 0$.

The two multiplets satisfy an action principle with action

$$S = -\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\theta \left(\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}Dq^i\partial q^j + \frac{1}{3!}c_{ijk}Dq^iDq^jDq^k - \frac{1}{2}h_{ab}\chi^a\nabla\chi^b\right) \ . \tag{2.2.3}$$

if c is closed then it may be interpreted as the torsion of M, however we will make no such restriction.

The action is invariant under (i) reparameterisations of the superspace Σ^1, (ii) reparameterisations of the target manifold M and (iii) linear translations of the field given by

$$t \to t + \alpha \tag{2.2.4}$$

$$q \to q - \alpha\partial_t q \tag{2.2.5}$$

where α is real and constant; these transformations generate the Noether charge $P = \partial$ associated with energy. The action is also invariant under supersymmetry generated by the supercharge Q defined by $[Q, D]_+ = 0$, in components this is

$$Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} + \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{2.2.6}$$

and acts on the fields as $\delta_\epsilon\phi^i = \epsilon Q\phi^i$, $\delta_\epsilon\chi^a = \epsilon Q\chi^a$, where ϵ is an constant Grassman parameter.

Taking the commutator of two such transformations,

$$[\delta_\zeta, \delta_\eta]q^\mu = 2\zeta\eta\dot{q}^\mu = 2\zeta\eta Pq^\mu \ . \tag{2.2.7}$$

which shows that Q and P satisfy the supersymmetry algebra,

$$[Q,Q]_+ = 2iP \ . \tag{2.2.8}$$

We expand the action in components defined by

$$q^i = q^i| \qquad\qquad \chi^a = \chi^a| \tag{2.2.9}$$

$$\lambda^i = Dq^i| \qquad\qquad y^a = \nabla\chi^a| \tag{2.2.10}$$

where the line means evaluation at $\theta = 0$. We are following the standard convention of using the same letter for a superfield and its lowest component. The field y^a is auxiliary and we will eliminate it later. Expanding the action (3.1.1) into component form, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}t\big(&\frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\dot{q}^i\dot{q}^j + \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}\lambda^i\nabla_t^{(+)}\lambda^j - \frac{1}{2}h_{ab}y^a y^b - \frac{1}{2}h_{ab}\chi^a\nabla_t\chi^b \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}G_{ijab}\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^a\chi^b + \frac{1}{3!}\nabla_{[i}c_{jkl]}\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k\lambda^l\big) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.11}$$

where G_{ijab} is the curvature of the vector bundle connection $B_i{}^a{}_b$,

$$G_{ij}{}^a{}_b = \partial_i B_j{}^a{}_b - \partial_j B_i{}^a{}_b + B_i{}^a{}_c B_j{}^c{}_b - B_j{}^a{}_c B_i{}^c{}_b \tag{2.2.12}$$

and $\nabla^{(+)}$ is the covariant derivative including the c term,

$$\nabla_t^{(+)}\lambda^i = \nabla_t\lambda^i + \frac{1}{2}c^i{}_{jk}\dot{q}^j\lambda^k \tag{2.2.13}$$

The $N = 1$ supersymmetry transformations of the component fields are

$$\delta_\epsilon q^i = \epsilon\lambda^i \tag{2.2.14}$$

$$\delta_\epsilon\lambda^i = -\epsilon\dot{q}^i \tag{2.2.15}$$

$$\delta_\epsilon\chi^a = \epsilon(y^a - \lambda^i B_i{}^a{}_b\chi^b) \tag{2.2.16}$$

$$\delta_\epsilon y^a = -\epsilon(\nabla_t\chi^a + \lambda^i B_i{}^a{}_b y^b) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^b G_{ij}{}^a{}_b \tag{2.2.17}$$

Extended supersymmetries, where there are $N > 1$ supercharges may be constructed in the same way as for two dimensional sigma models. We comment that the resulting models are broadly similar to the two dimensional case, except that the resulting geometry of the target manifold M is not so tightly constrained. For example, in [18] an $N = 3$ multiplet is constructed which does not imply $N = 4$, and $N = 2$ models are defined on manifolds with foliations.

Chapter 3

Spinning particles and Supergravity

3.1 The $N = 1$ supersymmetric action

The most general $N = 1$ spinning particle action with rigid supersymmetry is [55],

$$
\begin{aligned}
S = -\int \mathrm{d}t\,\mathrm{d}\theta \Big[&\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} Dq^\mu \partial_t q^\nu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha\beta} \chi^\alpha \nabla \chi^\beta + \frac{1}{3!} c_{\mu\nu\rho} Dq^\mu Dq^\nu Dq^\rho \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} m_{\mu\alpha\beta} Dq^\mu \chi^\alpha \chi^\beta + \frac{1}{2} n_{\mu\nu\alpha} Dq^\mu Dq^\nu \chi^\alpha + \frac{1}{3!} l_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \chi^\alpha \chi^\beta \chi^\gamma \\
&- f_{\mu\alpha} \partial_t q^\mu \chi^\alpha + A_\mu Dq^\mu + m s_\alpha \chi^\alpha \Big]
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1.1}
$$

We recall the definitions from the previous chapter; $D = \partial_\theta - \theta \partial_t$ and

$$
\nabla \chi^\alpha = D\chi^\alpha + Dq^\mu B_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta \chi^\beta \tag{3.1.2}
$$

where $B_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta$ is a connection of the bundle ϵ with fibre metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$. We may assume that the fibre metric $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is compatible with the connection, $\nabla_\mu h_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.

The action includes the c-term $c_{\mu\nu\rho}$ and an electromagnetic potential A_μ.

The potential of the theory is described in terms of s_α [25], $V(q) = ms_\alpha s^\alpha/2$. Also present are the Yukawa couplings $m_{\mu\alpha\beta}, n_{\mu\nu\alpha}, l_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $f_{\mu\alpha}$.

The action is invariant under worldline translations generated by $H = \partial_t$,

$$\delta_\epsilon^{(H)} q^\mu = \epsilon \dot{q}^\mu \qquad \delta_\epsilon^{(H)} \chi^\mu = \epsilon \dot{\chi}^\mu \tag{3.1.3}$$

and supersymmetry transformations generated by $Q = \partial_\theta + \theta\partial_t$,

$$\delta_\zeta q^\mu = \zeta Q q^\mu \qquad \delta_\zeta \chi^\alpha = \zeta Q \chi^\alpha \tag{3.1.4}$$

where ζ is anticommuting. These two transformations satisfy the $N = 1$ supersymmetry algebra $\{Q, Q\} = 2H$.

We expand the action in components defined by

$$q^\mu = q^\mu| \qquad \chi^\alpha = \chi^\alpha| \tag{3.1.5}$$

$$\lambda^\mu = Dq^\mu| \qquad y^\alpha = \nabla\chi^\alpha| \tag{3.1.6}$$

Expanding the action (3.1.1) into component form, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}t \Big[& \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \dot{q}^\mu \dot{q}^\nu + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \lambda^\mu \nabla_t^{(+)} \lambda^\nu - \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha\beta} y^\alpha y^\beta - \frac{1}{2} h_{\alpha\beta} \chi^\alpha \nabla_t \chi^\beta \\ & + \frac{1}{4} G_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \lambda^\mu \lambda^\nu \chi^\alpha \chi^\beta - \frac{1}{3!} \nabla_{[\mu} c_{\nu\rho\sigma]} \lambda^\mu \lambda^\nu \lambda^\rho \lambda^\sigma \\ & + \frac{1}{2} m_{\mu\alpha\beta} \dot{q}^\mu \chi^\alpha \chi^\beta + m_{\mu\alpha\beta} \lambda^\mu y^\alpha \chi^\beta - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{[\mu} m_{\nu]\alpha\beta} \lambda^\mu \lambda^\nu \chi^\alpha \chi^\beta \\ & + n_{\mu\nu\alpha} \dot{q}^\mu \lambda^\nu \chi^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} n_{\mu\nu\alpha} \lambda^\mu \lambda^\nu y^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{[\mu} n_{\nu\rho]\alpha} \lambda^\mu \lambda^\nu \lambda^\rho \chi^\alpha \\ & - \frac{1}{2} l_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \chi^\alpha \chi^\beta y^\gamma - \frac{1}{3!} \nabla_\mu l_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \lambda^\mu \chi^\alpha \chi^\beta \chi^\gamma \\ & + f_{\mu\alpha} \dot{q}^\mu y^\alpha + f_{\mu\alpha} \dot{\lambda}^\mu \chi^\alpha + \nabla_\mu f_{\nu\alpha} \lambda^\mu \dot{q}^\nu \chi^\alpha \\ & + A_\mu \dot{q}^\mu - \nabla_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} \lambda^\mu \lambda^\nu - m s_\alpha y^\alpha - m \nabla_\mu s_\alpha \lambda^\mu \chi^\alpha \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.7}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the curvature of the vector bundle connection ${B_\mu}^\alpha{}_\beta$,

$${G_{\mu\nu}}^\alpha{}_\beta = \partial_\mu {B_\nu}^\alpha{}_\beta - \partial_\nu {B_\mu}^\alpha{}_\beta + {B_\mu}^\alpha{}_\gamma {B_\nu}^\gamma{}_\beta - {B_\nu}^\alpha{}_\gamma {B_\mu}^\gamma{}_\beta \tag{3.1.8}$$

and $\nabla^{(+)}$ is the covariant derivative including the c-term,

$$\nabla_t^{(+)} \lambda^\mu = \nabla_t \lambda^\mu - \frac{1}{2} {c^\mu}_{\nu\rho} \dot{q}^\nu \lambda^\rho \tag{3.1.9}$$

The $N = 1$ supersymmetry transformations of the component fields are

$$\delta_\zeta q^\mu = \zeta\lambda^\mu \tag{3.1.10}$$

$$\delta_\zeta \lambda^\mu = -\zeta\dot{q}^\mu \tag{3.1.11}$$

$$\delta_\zeta \chi^\alpha = \zeta(y^\alpha - \lambda^\mu B_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta \chi^\beta) \tag{3.1.12}$$

$$\delta_\zeta y^\alpha = -\zeta(\nabla_t\chi^\alpha + \lambda^\mu B_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta y^\beta) + \frac{1}{2}\zeta\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu\chi^\beta G_{\mu\nu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta \tag{3.1.13}$$

3.2 Supergravity in one dimension

To construct the $N = 1$ supergravity action, we gauge the rigid supersymmetry by promoting the supersymmetry parameter ζ to a local parameter, $\zeta = \zeta(t)$. In general this will destroy invariance of (2.2.11) under supersymmetry, because we will get terms proportional to $\dot{\zeta}$. Therefore it is necessary to introduce gauge fields whose transformations will cancel with the $\dot{\zeta}$ terms arising from varying (2.2.11).

The method to find how these fields appear in the action, and their transformations, is the Noether technique.

To illustrate this technique we will gauge the supersymmetry for a simple Lagrangian in flat space, reproducing the results of [12, 13].

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{q}^\mu\dot{q}^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\lambda^\mu\dot{\lambda}^\nu \tag{3.2.1}$$

Taking the supersymmetry transformation, with $\zeta = \zeta(t)$,

$$\delta_\zeta\mathcal{L}_0 = \dot{\zeta}\eta_{\mu\nu}\lambda^\mu\dot{q}^\nu \tag{3.2.2}$$

up to surface terms, which vanish in the action. To cancel (3.2.2), consider the Lagrangian to first order in a parameter g,

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_0 + g\psi\eta_{\mu\nu}\lambda^\mu\dot{q}^\nu \tag{3.2.3}$$

where ψ is a gauge field with $\delta_\zeta\psi = -g^{-1}\dot{\zeta}$. Then the variation of the new Lagrangian vanishes to zeroth order in g.

Continuing this process for all orders of g, the Noether technique gives

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-1}\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{q}^\mu\dot{q}^\nu + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\lambda^\mu\dot{\lambda}^\nu + ge^{-1}\psi\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{q}^\mu\lambda^\nu \tag{3.2.4}$$

which is precisely the result of [12]. It proves necessary to introduce a second gauge field e which transforms under local supersymmetry as $\delta_\zeta e = 2\zeta\psi$. It is an einbein which is the gauge field associated with the diffeomorphisms of the worldline.

It is also necessary to modify the transformation for λ^μ to

$$\delta_\zeta\lambda^\mu = -e^{-1}\zeta(\dot{q}^\mu + g\psi\lambda^\mu) \tag{3.2.5}$$

Applying the Noether method to (3.1.7), the $N = 1$ supergravity action is

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}t \Big[& \frac{1}{2}e^{-1}g_{\mu\nu}(\dot{q}^\mu + \psi\lambda^\mu)(\dot{q}^\nu + \psi\lambda^\nu) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\lambda^\mu\nabla_t\lambda^\nu \\ & - \frac{1}{2}eh_{\alpha\beta}y^\alpha y^\beta - \frac{1}{2}h_{\alpha\beta}\chi^\alpha\nabla_t\chi^\beta \\ & + \frac{1}{4}eG_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu\chi^\alpha\chi^\beta \\ & + \frac{1}{2}c_{\mu\nu\rho}(\dot{q}^\mu + \frac{2}{3}\psi\lambda^\mu)\lambda^\nu\lambda^\rho - \frac{1}{3!}e\nabla_{[\mu}c_{\nu\rho\sigma]}\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu\lambda^\rho\lambda^\sigma \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m_{\mu\alpha\beta}\dot{q}^\mu\chi^\alpha\chi^\beta + em_{\mu\alpha\beta}\lambda^\mu y^\alpha\chi^\beta - \frac{1}{2}e\nabla_{[\mu}m_{\nu]\alpha\beta}\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu\chi^\alpha\chi^\beta \\ & + n_{\mu\nu\alpha}(\dot{q}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\psi\lambda^\mu)\lambda^\nu\chi^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}en_{\mu\nu\alpha}\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu y^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}e\nabla_{[\mu}n_{\nu\rho]\alpha}\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu\lambda^\rho\chi^\alpha \\ & - \frac{1}{2}el_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\chi^\alpha\chi^\beta y^\gamma - \frac{1}{3!}\psi l_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\chi^\alpha\chi^\beta\chi^\gamma - \frac{1}{3!}e\nabla_\mu l_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\lambda^\mu\chi^\alpha\chi^\beta\chi^\gamma \\ & + f_{\mu\alpha}(\dot{q}^\mu + \psi\lambda^\mu)y^\alpha + f_{\mu\alpha}\dot{\lambda}^\mu\chi^\alpha + \nabla_\mu f_{\nu\alpha}\lambda^\mu(\dot{q}^\nu + \psi\lambda^\nu)\chi^\alpha \\ & + A_\mu\dot{q}^\mu - e\nabla_{[\mu}A_{\nu]}\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu \\ & - ms_\alpha(ey^\alpha + \psi\chi^\alpha) - em\nabla_\mu s_\alpha\lambda^\mu\chi^\alpha \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.6}$$

We observe that the Lagrangian is not obtained by the simple minimal coupling rule $\dot{q}^\mu \to \dot{q}^\mu + \psi\lambda^\mu$.

The geometric interpretation of the couplings with the above action is manifest. The above action is similar to that of models with rigid supersymmetry in [18].

After various redefinitions of the couplings and the fields, one can recover the action constructed in [56] in the special case where $f_{\mu\alpha} = 0$. However, if $f_{\mu\alpha} \neq 0$ then (3.2.6) is more general.

The $N = 1$ supersymmetry transformations for the components fields become

$$\delta_\zeta q^\mu = \zeta\lambda^\mu \tag{3.2.7}$$

$$\delta_\zeta \lambda^\mu = -\zeta e^{-1}(\dot{q}^\mu + \psi\lambda^\mu) \tag{3.2.8}$$

$$\delta_\zeta \chi^\alpha = \zeta(y^\alpha - \lambda^\mu B_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta \chi^\beta) \tag{3.2.9}$$

$$\delta_\zeta y^\alpha = -e^{-1}\zeta(\nabla_t \chi^\alpha + \psi y^\alpha) - \zeta\lambda^\mu B_\mu{}^\alpha{}_\beta y^\beta + \frac{1}{2}\zeta\lambda^\mu\lambda^\nu\chi^\beta G_{\mu\nu}{}^\alpha{}_\beta \tag{3.2.10}$$

The einbein e and gravitino ψ transform as

$$\delta_\zeta e = 2\zeta\psi, \qquad \delta_\zeta \psi = -\dot{\zeta} \tag{3.2.11}$$

Checking the algebra of the new transformations (3.2.7),

$$[\delta_\zeta, \delta_\eta] q^\mu = 2e^{-1}\zeta\eta(\dot{q}^\mu + \psi\lambda^\mu) \qquad [\delta_\zeta, \delta_\eta]\lambda^\mu = 2e^{-1}\zeta\eta(\dot{\lambda}^\mu - e^{-1}\psi\dot{q}) \tag{3.2.12}$$

$$= 2e^{-1}(\delta^{(H)}_{\zeta\eta} + \delta_{\zeta\eta\psi})q^\mu \qquad = 2e^{-1}(\delta^{(H)}_{\zeta\eta} + \delta_{\zeta\eta\psi})\lambda^\mu \tag{3.2.13}$$

from which we obtain

$$[\delta_\zeta, \delta_\eta] = 2e^{-1}(\delta^{(H)}_{\zeta\eta} + \delta_{\zeta\eta\psi}) \tag{3.2.14}$$

and the same is true on the components χ^α, y^α.

For invariance of (3.2.6) under worldline diffeomorphisms, we need to specify the action of $\delta^{(H)}_\epsilon$ on the einbein and the gravitino,

$$\delta^{(H)}_\epsilon e = \partial_t(\epsilon e) \qquad \delta^{(H)}_\epsilon \psi = \partial_t(\epsilon\psi) \tag{3.2.15}$$

and those for $q^\mu, \lambda^\mu, \chi^\alpha$ and y^α are unchanged.

3.3 Hamiltonian Analysis

To investigate the Hamiltonian dynamics of the system described by (3.3.2), we follow the Dirac-Bergman procedure [57] to analyse the constraints. This will be important when we come to quantise the system in the next section.

At this stage we introduce vielbeins ${\rm e}_\mu{}^i$, ${\rm f}_\alpha{}^a$ so that $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ij}{\rm e}_\mu{}^i{\rm e}_\nu{}^j$ and $h_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{ab}{\rm f}_\alpha{}^a{\rm f}_\beta{}^b$ where η_{ij} and η_{ab} are the flat metrics on the manifold and vector bundle respectively. We will use latin letters for vielbein indices and greek letters otherwise. We take

$$\lambda^i = {\rm e}_\mu{}^i\lambda^\mu, \qquad\qquad \chi^a = {\rm f}_\alpha{}^a\chi^\alpha \tag{3.3.1}$$

as our new fermion fields. This ensures that in the next section, the Dirac brackets, hence commutation relations, between p and the fermions are zero. In the following analysis it is also necessary to set the Yukawa coupling $f_{\mu\alpha} = 0$.

Adopting this notation, and eliminating the auxiliary field y^α from (3.1.7) using its equation of motion, gives the action

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int {\rm d}t\Big[& \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}e^{-1}(\dot{q}^\mu + \psi{\rm e}^\mu{}_i\lambda^i)(\dot{q}^\nu + \psi{\rm e}^\nu{}_j\lambda^j) + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ij}\lambda^i\nabla_t\lambda^j - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ab}\chi^a\nabla_t\chi^b \\ & + \frac{1}{2}eh_{ab}Y^aY^b + \frac{1}{4}eG_{ijab}\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^a\chi^b \\ & + \frac{1}{2}c_{ijk}({\rm e}_\mu{}^i\dot{q}^\mu + \frac{2}{3}\psi\lambda^i)\lambda^j\lambda^k - \frac{1}{3!}e{\rm e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu c_{|jkl]}\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k\lambda^l \\ & + \frac{1}{2}m_{\mu ab}\dot{q}^\mu\chi^a\chi^b - \frac{1}{2}e{\rm e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu m_{|j]ab}\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^a\chi^b \\ & + n_{ija}({\rm e}_\mu{}^i\dot{q}^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\psi\lambda^i)\lambda^j\chi^a - \frac{1}{2}e{\rm e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu n_{|jk]a}\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k\chi^a \\ & - \frac{1}{3!}\psi l_{abc}\chi^a\chi^b\chi^c - \frac{1}{3!}e{\rm e}^\mu{}_i\nabla_\mu l_{abc}\lambda^i\chi^a\chi^b\chi^c \\ & + A_\mu\dot{q}^\mu - e{\rm e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu A_{|j]}\lambda^i\lambda^j - \psi m s_a\chi^a - em{\rm e}^\mu{}_i\nabla_\mu s_a\lambda^i\chi^a\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.2}$$

where for convenience we define

$$Y_a = m_{iab}\lambda^i\chi^b - \frac{1}{2}n_{ija}\lambda^i\lambda^j - \frac{1}{2}l_{abc}\chi^b\chi^c - ms_a \tag{3.3.3}$$

and the two covariant derivatives are with respect to the spin connection ${\omega_\mu}^k{}_l$ on the manifold and the spin connection${\Omega_\mu}^a{}_b$ on the vector bundle,

$$\nabla_t \lambda^i = \partial_t \lambda^i + \dot{q}^\mu {\omega_\mu}^i{}_k \lambda^k \tag{3.3.4}$$

$$\nabla_t \chi^a = \partial_t \chi^a + \dot{q}^\mu {\Omega_\mu}^a{}_b \chi^b \tag{3.3.5}$$

The canonical momenta for λ^i and q^i are

$$\pi_i = -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{ij}\lambda^j \tag{3.3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu = e^{-1} g_{\mu\nu}(\dot{q}^\nu + \psi \mathrm{e}^\nu{}_i \lambda^i) + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu jk}\lambda^j\lambda^k - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\mu ab}\chi^a\chi^b \\ + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}_\mu{}^i c_{ijk}\lambda^j\lambda^k + \frac{1}{2}m_{\mu ab}\chi^a\chi^b + \mathrm{e}_\mu{}^i n_{ija}\lambda^j\chi^a + A_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.7}$$

respectively. Similarly the canonical momenta for χ^a, e and ψ are

$$\pi_{\chi a} = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ab}\chi^b, \qquad \pi_e = 0, \qquad \pi_\psi = 0 \tag{3.3.8}$$

Clearly the system is constrained, as would be expected. The explicit constraints are

$$\phi_i = \pi_i + \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ij}\lambda^j \approx 0 \qquad \phi_{\chi a} = \pi_{\chi a} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ab}\chi^b \approx 0 \tag{3.3.9}$$

$$\phi_e = \pi_e \approx 0 \qquad \phi_\psi = \pi_\psi \approx 0 \tag{3.3.10}$$

where the $\approx$ denotes weak equality, in other words equality up to polynomial combinations of the other constraints.

The constrained Hamiltonian can then be found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_c = &\frac{1}{2}e\eta_{ij}P^iP^j - \psi\lambda^i(P_i + \frac{1}{3}c_{ijk}\lambda^j\lambda^k + \frac{1}{2}n_{ija}\lambda^j\chi^a) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}e\eta_{ab}Y^aY^b - \frac{1}{4}eG_{ijab}\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^a\chi^b \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!}e\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu c_{|jkl]}\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k\lambda^l + \frac{1}{2}e\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu m_{|j]ab}\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^a\chi^b \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}e\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu n_{|jk]a}\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k\chi^a + \frac{1}{3!}e\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_i\nabla_\mu l_{abc}\lambda^i\chi^a\chi^b\chi^c \\ &+ \frac{1}{3!}\psi l_{abc}\chi^a\chi^b\chi^c + e\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu A_{|j]}\lambda^i\lambda^j \\ &+ m\psi s_a\chi^a + e\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_i\nabla_\mu m s_a\lambda^i\chi^a \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.11}$$

where Y^a was defined in (3.3.3) and

$$\begin{aligned} P_i = {}& \mathrm{e}^\mu{}_i p_\mu - \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ijk}\lambda^j\lambda^k + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{iab}\chi^a\chi^b \\ & - \frac{1}{2}c_{ijk}\lambda^j\lambda^k - \frac{1}{2}m_{iab}\chi^a\chi^b - n_{ija}\lambda^j\chi^a - A_i \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.12}$$

The primary Hamiltonian is defined to be

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \mathcal{H}_c + \phi_i u^i + \phi_{\chi a}{u_\chi}^a + \phi_e u_e + \phi_\psi u_\psi \tag{3.3.13}$$

where the u are all Lagrange multipliers (and u^i, ${u_\chi}^a$, u_ψ are anticommuting).

We require that the constraints (3.3.9) hold for all time,

$$\dot{\phi}_i = \{\phi_i, \mathcal{H}_p\} \approx 0 \qquad \dot{\phi}_{\chi a} = \{\phi_{\chi a}, \mathcal{H}_p\} \approx 0 \tag{3.3.14}$$

$$\dot{\phi}_e = \{\phi_e, \mathcal{H}_p\} \approx 0 \qquad \dot{\phi}_\psi = \{\phi_\psi, \mathcal{H}_p\} \approx 0 \tag{3.3.15}$$

Each condition either determines a multiplier or leads to a new constraint. We assume the canonical Poisson brackets

$$\{q^\mu, p_\nu\} = \delta^\mu{}_\nu \tag{3.3.16}$$

$$\{\chi^a, \pi_{\chi b}\} = -\delta^a{}_b \tag{3.3.17}$$

$$\{\lambda^i, \pi_j\} = -\delta^i{}_j \tag{3.3.18}$$

$$\{e, \pi_e\} = 1 \tag{3.3.19}$$

$$\{\psi, \pi_\psi\} = -1 \tag{3.3.20}$$

Imposing (3.3.15) requires the secondary constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_e = {}& \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ij}P^iP^j - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ab}Y^aY^b - \frac{1}{4}G_{ijab}\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^a\chi^b \\ & + \frac{1}{3!}\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu c_{|jkl]}\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k\lambda^l + \mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu A_{|j]}\lambda^i\lambda^j \\ & + m\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_i\nabla_\mu s_a\lambda^i\chi^a + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu m_{|j]ab}\lambda^i\lambda^j\chi^a\chi^b \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu n_{|jk]a}\lambda^i\lambda^j\lambda^k\chi^a + \frac{1}{3!}\mathrm{e}^\mu{}_i\nabla_\mu l_{abc}\lambda^i\chi^a\chi^b\chi^c \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.21}$$

$$\varphi_\psi = \lambda^i(P_i + \frac{1}{3}c_{ijk}\lambda^j\lambda^k + \frac{1}{2}n_{ija}\lambda^j\chi^a) - \frac{1}{3!}l_{abc}\chi^a\chi^b\chi^c - ms_a\chi^a \tag{3.3.22}$$

In fact these are the charges of H, Q respectively. It can be checked that both of these are conserved over time,

$$\{\varphi_e, \mathcal{H}_p\} \approx 0 \qquad\qquad \{\varphi_\psi, \mathcal{H}_p\} \approx 0 \tag{3.3.23}$$

so they give rise to no new constraints.

The remaining conditions (3.3.14) determine

$$u^i = e\eta^{ij}\{\varphi_e, \phi_j\} + \psi\eta^{ij}\{\varphi_\psi, \phi_j\} \tag{3.3.24}$$

$$u_\chi{}^a = -e\eta^{ab}\{\varphi_e, \phi_{\chi b}\} - \psi\eta^{ab}\{\varphi_\psi, \phi_{\chi b}\} \tag{3.3.25}$$

Observe that the constrained Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_c$ can be written in terms of the secondary constraints

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \varphi_e e + \varphi_\psi \psi \tag{3.3.26}$$

Essentially this is because $\varphi_e = \{\pi_e, \mathcal{H}_c\}$ and $\varphi_\psi = \{\pi_\psi, \mathcal{H}_c\}$ and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_c$ is linear in the gauge fields e and ψ.

3.4 Quantisation

We observe that the constraints ϕ_i and $\phi_{\chi a}$ are both second class, whereas

$$\phi_e \tag{3.4.1}$$

$$\phi_\psi \tag{3.4.2}$$

$$\varphi'_e = \varphi_e + \eta^{ij}\{\varphi_e, \phi_i\}\phi_j - \eta^{ab}\{\varphi_e, \phi_{\chi a}\}\phi_{\chi b} \tag{3.4.3}$$

$$\varphi'_\psi = \varphi_\psi + \eta^{ij}\{\varphi_\psi, \phi_i\}\phi_j - \eta^{ab}\{\varphi_\psi, \phi_{\chi a}\}\phi_{\chi b} \tag{3.4.4}$$

are all first class. Defining the Dirac bracket as

$$\{A, B\}_D = \{A, B\} + \eta^{ij}\{A, \phi_i\}\{\phi_j, B\} - \eta^{ab}\{A, \phi_{\chi a}\}\{\phi_{\chi b}, B\} \tag{3.4.5}$$

then Poisson brackets between the primed constraints are weakly equal to Dirac brackets between the original unprimed constraints.

The extra terms on the right of (3.4.5) give rise to new relations

$$\{\lambda^i, \lambda^j\}_D = \eta^{ij} \tag{3.4.6}$$

$$\{\chi^a, \chi^b\}_D = -\eta^{ab} \tag{3.4.7}$$

We can check that the $N = 1$ supersymmetry algebra is still obeyed,

$$\{\varphi'_\psi, \varphi'_\psi\} \approx \{\varphi_\psi, \varphi_\psi\}_D = 2\varphi_e \approx 0 \tag{3.4.8}$$

and that all the brackets between secondary constraints vanish,

$$\{\phi_e, \varphi'_e\} \approx \{\phi_e, \varphi_e\}_D = 0 \qquad \{\phi_\psi, \varphi'_\psi\} \approx \{\phi_\psi, \varphi_\psi\}_D = 0 \tag{3.4.9}$$

$$\{\varphi'_e, \varphi'_e\} \approx \{\varphi_e, \varphi_e\}_D = 0 \qquad \{\varphi'_e, \varphi'_\psi\} \approx \{\varphi_e, \varphi_\psi\}_D = 0 \tag{3.4.10}$$

The Hamiltonian can be written in terms of these first class constraints. From (3.3.24) and (3.3.26),

$$\mathcal{H}_p = \varphi_e e + \varphi_\psi \psi + \phi_e u_e + \phi_\psi u_\psi \tag{3.4.11}$$

so it is vanishing weakly, as is expected for a gravitational system.

In Dirac's process of quantisation, fields become operators acting on some Hilbert space and second class constraints are imposed as operator conditions on the states. First class constraints generate unphysical degrees of freedom, so it is necessary to fix a gauge,

$$e = 1 \qquad\qquad \psi = 0 \tag{3.4.12}$$

Moving over to the quantised system, Dirac brackets become (anti) commutation relations. One realisation of this algebra is using the standard Clifford algebra generators

$$\{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} = 2\eta^{ij} \qquad\qquad \{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = 2\eta^{ab} \tag{3.4.13}$$

If the dimension of the manifold is even, for example $d = 4$, then we have an element of the algebra, γ^{d+1}, satisfying $(\gamma^{d+1})^2 = -1$ and $\{\gamma^{d+1}, \gamma^i\} = 0$

so the following realisation exists,

$$\hat{\lambda}^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma^i \otimes 1 \qquad\qquad \hat{\chi}^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma^{d+1} \otimes \gamma^a \tag{3.4.14}$$

The γ^{d+1} ensures that $\hat{\lambda}^i$ and $\hat{\chi}^a$ anticommute.

If the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is not even dimensional then the following realisation may be used,

$$\hat{\lambda}^i = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^i \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma_1 \qquad\qquad \hat{\chi}^a = \frac{1}{2}1 \otimes \gamma^a \otimes \sigma_2 \tag{3.4.15}$$

where σ_i are the Pauli spin matrices which satisfy $\{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$. For the N-extended case, see for example [58].

In the following we will assume that the dimension of $\mathcal{M}$ is even and use the first realisation given. Then the second class constraints are imposed as conditions on physical states,

$$\hat{Q}|\text{phys}\rangle = 0 \qquad\qquad \hat{H}|\text{phys}\rangle = 0 \tag{3.4.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Q} = &-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\gamma^i \otimes 1)\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\omega_{ijk}(\gamma^i\gamma^{jk} \otimes 1) - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\Omega_{iab}(\gamma^i \otimes \gamma^{ab}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}}c_{ijk}(\gamma^{ijk} \otimes 1) - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}m_{iab}(\gamma^i \otimes \gamma^{ab}) - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}n_{ija}(\gamma^{ij}\gamma^{d+1} \otimes \gamma^a) \\ &- \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}A_i(\gamma^i \otimes 1) + \frac{1}{12\sqrt{2}}l_{abc}(\gamma^{d+1} \otimes \gamma^{abc}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}ms_a(\gamma^{d+1} \otimes \gamma^a)\end{aligned} \tag{3.4.17}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{H} = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ij}\hat{P}^i\hat{P}^j - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{ab}\hat{Y}^a\hat{Y}^b + \frac{1}{16}R_{ijkl}(\gamma^{ij}\gamma^{kl}\otimes 1) + \frac{1}{16}G_{ijab}(\gamma^{ij}\otimes\gamma^{ab}) \\
+ \frac{1}{24}\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu c_{|jkl]}(\gamma^{ijkl}\otimes 1) - \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu m_{|j]ab}(\gamma^{ij}\otimes\gamma^{ab}) \\
+ \frac{1}{8}\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu n_{|jk]a}(\gamma^{ijk}\gamma^{d+1}\otimes\gamma^{a}) - \frac{1}{24}\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{i}\nabla_\mu l_{abc}(\gamma^{i}\gamma^{d+1}\otimes\gamma^{abc}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{[i|}\nabla_\mu A_{|j]}(\gamma^{ij}\otimes 1) + \frac{1}{2}m\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{i}\nabla_\mu s_a(\gamma^{i}\gamma^{d+1}\otimes\gamma^{a}) \\
- \omega^{mi}{}_{m}\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{1}{8}\omega^{mi}{}_{m}\omega_{ikl}(\gamma^{kl}\otimes 1) \\
- \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu\rho}g^{\nu\rho}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} + \frac{1}{24}c^{ijk}c_{ijk} - \frac{1}{24}l^{abc}l_{abc} \\
- \frac{1}{16}c_{ijk}\omega^{mi}{}_{m}(\gamma^{jk}\otimes 1) - \frac{1}{8}n_{ija}\omega^{mi}{}_{m}(\gamma^{j}\gamma^{d+1}\otimes\gamma^{a}) + \frac{1}{8}m_{iab}\omega^{mi}{}_{m}(1\otimes\gamma^{ab})
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4.18}
$$

The new terms which appear here include a Riemann tensor which vanishes classically because it is contracted with four fermions, and several terms involving a trace of the connection which arise because of the way we have chosen to order the equation.

In (3.4.17) and (3.4.18) we have defined as usual

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_i = & -\mathrm{e}^{\mu}{}_{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} - \frac{1}{4}\omega_{ijk}(\gamma^{jk}\otimes 1) - \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{iab}(1\otimes\gamma^{ab}) \\
& - \frac{1}{4}c_{ijk}(\gamma^{jk}\otimes 1) + \frac{1}{4}m_{iab}(1\otimes\gamma^{ab}) - \frac{1}{2}n_{ija}(\gamma^{j}\gamma^{d+1}\otimes\gamma^{a}) - A_i
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4.19}
$$

and

$$
\hat{Y}_a = \frac{1}{2}m_{iab}(\gamma^{i}\gamma^{d+1}\otimes\gamma^{b}) - \frac{1}{4}n_{ija}(\gamma^{ij}\otimes 1) + \frac{1}{4}l_{abc}(1\otimes\gamma^{ab}) - ms_a(1\otimes 1) \tag{3.4.20}
$$

It can be checked that

$$
\{\hat{Q},\hat{Q}\} = 2\hat{H} \tag{3.4.21}
$$

the familiar result that the square of the Dirac operator gives the Klein Gordon equation.

Finally we note that it is not always the case that the system will have physical states. This is because manifolds exist for which the Dirac-like operators do not have zero modes. However it is expected that most models will have a physical Hilbert space which is non-empty.

To see this, consider the case where the supersymmetry charge is the Dirac operator $\not\nabla$. Then

$$\not\nabla^2 = -\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{4}R \tag{3.4.22}$$

where R is the Ricci scalar. A physical state satisfies $\not\nabla\psi = 0$. Such a state cannot exist if $R > 0$, because the following partial integration argument.

$$\int (\psi, (-\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{4}R\psi) = \int [(\nabla\psi, \nabla\psi) + \frac{1}{4}R(\psi, \psi)] > 0 \tag{3.4.23}$$

Thus $(-\nabla^2 + \frac{1}{4}R)\psi \neq 0$ and so ψ cannot solve the Dirac equation, on the assumption that M is compact and without boundary.

However solutions exist if R is negative or indefinite.

Chapter 4

Equivariant Cohomology

4.1 Equivariant cohomology

Equivariant cohomology arises when the sigma model manifold M admits a Lie group action with gauge group G. We shall see that it generalises the de Rham complex $\Omega^*(M)$ to the complex $\Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$ which can be thought of as the space of gauge invariant forms generated by $\Omega^*(M)$, the gauge field A and field strength F, and therefore provides a natural framework for understanding the conditions needed in the gauging of forms, see for example [41, 40]. In this chapter we will summarise some of the facts about equivariant cohomology that we shall use later.

4.1.1 Definition

Let M be a manifold which admits an action by a group G. The equivariant cohomology H^*_G of M is defined as the de Rham cohomology of $M_G = (EG \times M)/G$ where EG is the universal bundle over the classifying space of the group G, ie.

$$H^*_G(M) \equiv H^*(M_G) \ . \tag{4.1.1}$$

If G acts freely on M, then

$$EG \hookrightarrow M_G \rightarrow M/G \tag{4.1.2}$$

is a fibration. Since EG is contractible, it follows that

$$H^*_G(M) = H^*(M/G) \ , \tag{4.1.3}$$

ie. the equivariant cohomology of M is identified with the standard cohomology of M/G.

In the other extreme, M is a point $M = \{p\}$, and we have $M_G = BG$ where BG is the classifying space $G \hookrightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$. Thus

$$H^*_G(M) = H^*(BG) \ . \tag{4.1.4}$$

In general there are many ways to compute the equivariant cohomology. For example, one such method is the use of spectral sequences for the fibrations

$$M \hookrightarrow M_G \rightarrow BG \ . \tag{4.1.5}$$

However for many applications a de Rham version of the equivariant cohomology is needed. Such a method was proposed in [39] using a model for the de Rham equivariant cohomology based on Weil's model of the cohomology of the universal classifying space

$$G \hookrightarrow EG \rightarrow BG \tag{4.1.6}$$

First we will give a mathematical definition and then (more usefully) we will give a physicist's way of thinking about it.

4.2 The Weil Model

First we need to define the Weil algebra $W(\mathfrak{g})$, which is used to capture the algebraic properties satisfied by the gauge field A and field strength F. Let

$\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. We define

$$W(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \tag{4.2.1}$$

where Λ is the exterior algebra of the dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and S is the symmetric algebra. We attach degree 1 to the element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ in the exterior algebra and degree 2 the corresponding element in the symmetric algebra.

Note that α and θ can be thought of as generators obeying the same algebraic relations as the gauge field A and field strength F respectively. $W(\mathfrak{g})$ is a graded commutative algebra freely generated by the basis $\{\alpha^a, \theta^a : a = 1 \dots \dim \mathfrak{g}\}$.

Next we define the following differential operator

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha^a &= \theta^a + \frac{1}{2} f^a{}_{bc} \alpha^b \alpha^c \\ d\theta^a &= -f^a{}_{bc} \alpha^b \theta^c \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.2}$$

where $f^a{}_{bc}$ are the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$. Because of the Jacobi identities, we can show that $d^2 = 0$ and that d defines a cohomology on the complex $W(\mathfrak{g})$. It can be shown that

$$H_d^*(W(\mathfrak{g})) = \mathbb{R} \tag{4.2.3}$$

The general proof is given in [39]; in fact $H_d^*(W(\mathfrak{g}))$ should be thought of as a model for the cohomology of EG. This is why it is trivial, but here we shall show this in some special cases only. For example, take $G = T^\ell$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^\ell$, and since T^ℓ is abelian,

$$d\alpha^a - \theta^a = 0 \tag{4.2.4}$$

$$d\theta^a = 0 \tag{4.2.5}$$

A degree zero element is clearly any constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $dc = 0$. Thus $H^0(W(\mathbb{R}^\ell)) = \mathbb{R}$. A general element of degree 1 is $v = v_a \alpha^a$. $dv = 0$ implies $v_a \theta^a = 0$ and therefore $v_a = 0$. This implies that $v = 0$, so we have $H^1(W(\mathbb{R}^\ell)) = 0$.

A general element of degree two is

$$w = \frac{1}{2} w_{ab} \alpha^a \alpha^b + u_a \theta^a \tag{4.2.6}$$

$dw = 0$ implies that $w_{ab} d\alpha^a \alpha^b + u_a d\theta^a = w_{ab} \theta^a \alpha^b = 0$ and this implies that $w_{ab} = 0$. Therefore the closed elements are

$$w = u_a \theta^a \ . \tag{4.2.7}$$

However these elements are exact because $w = du$ when $u = u_a \alpha^a$. Therefore $H^2(W(\mathbb{R}^\ell)) = 0$, again. In general $H^n(W(\mathbb{R}^\ell)) = 0$ for all $n > 0$.

4.2.1 The cohomology of BG

It is taken that $H^*_D(W(\mathfrak{g}))$ models the cohomology of EG. Now a model should be constructed for the cohomology of BG. We should identify the elements of $W(\mathfrak{g})$ which are associated with the cohomology BG. Thinking about the fibration $G \hookrightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$, the elements of EG which are forms associated with those that are pullbacks of BG should have two properties: (i) they should vanish when evaluated along the fibre directions and (ii) they should be invariant under the action of G. To model these two properties we define the inner derivation,

$$\iota_a \alpha^b = \delta_a{}^b \qquad\qquad \iota_a \theta^b = 0 \ . \tag{4.2.8}$$

We can also define a Lie derivative in the usual way,

$$\mathcal{L}_a = \iota_a d + d\iota_a \ . \tag{4.2.9}$$

Let $B\mathfrak{g}$ be all the elements of $W(\mathfrak{g})$ which have the property that

$$\iota_a \phi = 0 \qquad\qquad \mathcal{L}_a \phi = 0 \ , \tag{4.2.10}$$

such elements are called *basic*.

To interpret this condition, we note that a gauge transformation can be defined on ordinary forms $w \in \Omega^*(M)$ by

$$\delta_\lambda w = \mathrm{d}\lambda^a \iota_a w + \lambda^a \mathcal{L}_a w \ . \tag{4.2.11}$$

With the definitions (4.2.8), this formula extends to α and θ as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda \alpha^a &= \mathrm{d}\lambda^a - f^a_{\ bc}\lambda^b\alpha^c \\ \delta_\lambda \theta^a &= -f^a_{\ bc}\lambda^b\theta^c \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.12}$$

which are the familiar transformation laws for the gauge potential and field strength. Therefore (4.2.10) is equivalent to the condition for gauge invariance.

It is easy to see that in fact $B\mathfrak{g}$ can be identified with the polynomials in $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ which are invariant under the co-adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{g}^*$, ie.

$$B\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathrm{Inv}_{\mathfrak{g}} S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \ . \tag{4.2.13}$$

Moreover, in [39] it was shown that

$$B\mathfrak{g} \cong H^*(BG) \ . \tag{4.2.14}$$

To illustrate these, consider the special case where $G = T^\ell$ as before. The co-adjoint action of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}^\ell$ on $\mathbb{R}^{*l}$ is trivial because T^ℓ is abelian. Thus any $\phi \in W(\mathbb{R}^\ell)$ satisfies the condition $\mathcal{L}_a\phi = 0$.

It remains to find the restrictions imposed by the other condition $\iota_a\phi = 0$. Any ϕ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi = &\sum_{k\geq 1} v_{a_1\dots a_k b_1\dots b_l}\alpha^{a_1}\cdots\alpha^{a_k}\theta^{b_1}\cdots\theta^{b_l} \\ &+ w_{b_1\dots b_m}\theta^{b_1}\cdots\theta^{b_m} \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.15}$$

Now

$$\iota_a\phi = \sum_{k\geq 1} k v_{a a_1\dots a_{k-1} b_1\dots b_l}\alpha^{a_1}\cdots\alpha^{a_{k-1}}\theta^{b_1}\cdots\theta^{b_l} \tag{4.2.16}$$

and so $\iota_a \phi = 0$ implies that $v_{a_1 \ldots a_k b_1 \ldots b_l} = 0$. Therefore $\iota_a \phi = 0$ implies that $\phi \in S(\mathbb{R}^{*\ell})$.

The result (4.2.14) implies that the cohomology of BT^ℓ is generated by $\theta^1, \ldots, \theta^l$ as a polynomial, ie.

$$\begin{array}{ll} H^0(BT^\ell) & 1 \\ H^1(BT^\ell) & 0 \\ H^2(BT^\ell) & \theta^1, \theta^2, \ldots, \theta^\ell \\ H^3(BT^\ell) & 0 \\ H^4(BT^\ell) & (\theta^1)^2, (\theta^2)^2, \ldots, (\theta^\ell)^2, \\ & \theta^1\theta^2, \theta^1\theta^3, \ldots \end{array} \tag{4.2.17}$$

This can be confirmed by an independent calculation using, say, the spectral sequence of the fibration $T^\ell \hookrightarrow ET^\ell \to BT^\ell$.

This concludes the discussion of the Weil model for the cohomology of BG.

4.3 Weil model for equivariant cohomology

A model for the equivariant cohomology of M can be defined as follows.

First we consider the complex

$$\Omega^*(M) \otimes W(\mathfrak{g}) \ . \tag{4.3.1}$$

For example, a degree one element of $\Omega^*(M) \otimes W(\mathfrak{g})$ is

$$\phi = r_i \mathrm{d}x^i + r_a \alpha^a \tag{4.3.2}$$

where r_i and r_a are functions on M. A degree two element is

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} v_{ij} \mathrm{d}x^i \mathrm{d}x^j + v_{ai} \alpha^a \mathrm{d}x^i + \frac{1}{2} w_{ab} \alpha^a \alpha^b + u_a \theta^a \tag{4.3.3}$$

and so on.

One can define the inner derivation with respect to an element of $\mathfrak{g}$ by contracting $\phi \in \Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$ with the associated vector field on M and using the contractions (4.2.8) defined in the Weil model. For example, for the degree two element above, we have

$$\iota_b\phi = {\xi_b}^i v_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^j + v_{bi}\mathrm{d}x^i + v_{ai}\alpha^a{\xi_b}^i + w_{ba}\alpha^a \tag{4.3.4}$$

We define an exterior derivative d by a combinations of the exterior derivative d of $\Omega^*(M)$ and that defined in the previous section on $W(\mathfrak{g})$. Denote this exterior derivative by d. We can also define a Lie derivative as

$$\mathcal{L}_a = \iota_a\mathrm{d} + \mathrm{d}\iota_a \ . \tag{4.3.5}$$

Now the forms of M_G in $\Omega^*(M)\otimes W(\mathfrak{g})$ are those for which

$$\iota_a\phi = 0 \qquad\qquad \mathcal{L}_a\phi = 0\ , \tag{4.3.6}$$

ie. the *basic* (gauge invariant) forms, and we write $\Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$ for the space that they generate.

Let us illustrate what this means for the case $G = T^\ell$ and degree 2. Since we have done the calculation of $\iota_b\phi$ above, we set $\iota_b\phi = 0$ to find

$$\begin{align}{\xi_b}^i v_{ij} + v_{bj} &= 0 \tag{4.3.7}\\ v_{ai}{\xi_b}^i + w_{ba} &= 0\ . \tag{4.3.8}\end{align}$$

Substituting into (4.3.3),

$$\begin{align}\phi &= \frac{1}{2}v_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j - {\xi_a}^i v_{ij}\alpha^a\mathrm{d}x^j + \frac{1}{2}v_{ij}{\xi_a}^i{\xi_b}^j\alpha^a\alpha^b + u_a\theta^a \tag{4.3.9}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}v_{ij}(\mathrm{d}x^i - {\xi_a}^i\alpha^a)(\mathrm{d}x^j - {\xi_b}^j\alpha^b) + u_a\theta^a\ . \tag{4.3.10}\end{align}$$

The condition $\mathcal{L}_a\phi = 0$ implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_a\big(\frac{1}{2}v_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j\big) = \mathcal{L}_a(v_{bi}\mathrm{d}x^i) = \mathcal{L}_a w_{cd} = \mathcal{L}_a u_b = 0 \tag{4.3.11}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_a$ is the usual Lie derivative on forms in $\Omega^*(M)$. Thus a basic degree-two from is given by (4.3.10) provided that all the components are invariant under the action of T^ℓ on M.

Similarly a basic degree-one form is given by

$$\omega = r_i(\mathrm{d}x^i - \xi_a{}^i\alpha^a) \tag{4.3.12}$$

where the one-form $r_i\mathrm{d}x^i$ satifies $\mathcal{L}_a(r_i\mathrm{d}x^i) = 0$.

The cohomology of M_G is given by $H^*_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}(M))$. It can be shown that under certain conditions, this is the equivariant cohomology,

$$H^*_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)) = H^*(M_G) \ . \tag{4.3.13}$$

To understand some of the elements that represent $H^*_{\mathrm{d}}(\Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M))$, let us find the closed form in $\Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$ of degree two for the case $G = T^\ell$. Computing the exterior derivative of ϕ in (4.3.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}\phi = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad & \mathrm{d}(v_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j) = 0 \\ \text{and} \quad & \xi_a{}^i v_{ij} + \partial_j u_a = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3.14}$$

This form is exact if $\phi = \mathrm{d}\omega$ where ω is a basic form of degree one, so from (4.3.12) we obtain

$$\mathrm{d}\omega = \partial_{[i}r_{j]}(\mathrm{d}x^i - \xi_a{}^i\alpha^a)(\mathrm{d}x^j - \xi_b{}^j\alpha^b) - r_i\xi_a{}^i\theta^a \tag{4.3.15}$$

Thus ϕ is exact *if and only if* the two-form $\frac{1}{2}v_{ij}\mathrm{d}x^i\mathrm{d}x^j$ is exact and $u_a = -r_i\xi_a{}^i$.

4.3.1 Equivariant extensions

Given a closed form v in M which is invariant under the G-action, we say that v has an *equivariant extension* if there exists a closed basic form $\phi \in \Omega^*_{\mathfrak{g}}(M)$ satisfying

$$v = \phi|_{\alpha=0,\theta=0} \ . \tag{4.3.16}$$

Note that $\iota_a v = 0$ is not a necessary condition for the existence of an equivariant extension as we have seen.

4.4 A physicist's approach to equivariant cohomology

As we shall see later, we need to construct the equivariant extensions of closed forms on a manifold M. Suppose that M has a G-action, with associated vector fields ${\xi_a}^i\partial_i$.

Let r be a closed form and write $r = \frac{1}{k!}r_{i_1\ldots i_k}\mathrm{d}x^{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x^{i_k}$. We introduce a connection A and gauge the form by performing a 'minimal' substitution $\mathrm{d}x^i \to \mathrm{d}x^i - {\xi_a}^i A^a$.

Thus we construct

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{1}{k!}r_{i_1\ldots i_k}(\mathrm{d}x^{i_1} + {\xi_a}^{i_1}A^a)\wedge\ldots\wedge(\mathrm{d}x^{i_k} + {\xi_b}^{i_k}A^b)\ . \tag{4.4.1}$$

This form is invariant under gauge transformations, but we have lost the property that $\tilde{r}$ is closed. To 'repair' this, we add an extra piece as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{r} = {} & \frac{1}{k!}r_{i_1\ldots i_k}\nabla x^{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\nabla x^{i_k} \\ & + \frac{1}{(k-2)!}r_{ai_1\ldots i_{k-2}}F^a\wedge\nabla x^{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\nabla x^{i_{k-2}} \\ & + \frac{1}{(k-4)!}r_{a_1a_2i_1\ldots i_{k-4}}F^{a_1}\wedge F^{a_2}\wedge\nabla x^{i_1}\wedge\ldots\wedge\nabla x^{i_{k-4}} \\ & + \ldots\end{aligned} \tag{4.4.2}$$

where $\nabla x^i = \mathrm{d}x^i - {\xi_a}^i A^a$.

Gauge invariance of this form implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_b r_{a_1\ldots a_l i_1\ldots i_k} = {} & f^c_{\ ba_1}r_{ca_2\ldots a_l i_1\ldots i_k} \\ & + \text{cyclic in } a_1a_2\ldots a_l.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4.3}$$

Since r is gauge invariant, we can write $\mathrm{d}r = \nabla r$ and use $\nabla^2 x^i = -F^a{\xi_a}^i$.

Now, if r is closed then $\nabla r = 0$ which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_a{}^i r_{ij_1\ldots j_{k-1}} + (k-1)\nabla_{[j_1} r_{|a|j_2\ldots j_{k-1}]} &= 0 \\
\xi_b{}^i r_{aij_1\ldots j_{k-3}} + (k-3)\nabla_{[j_1} r_{|ab|j_2\ldots j_{k-3}]} &= 0 \qquad (4.4.4) \\
&\ldots
\end{aligned}$$

and so on. These are the conditions for an *equivariant extension.*

We shall apply these results to sigma models.

Chapter 5

New two dimensional gauge theories

5.1 Two-dimensional gauged sigma models with Wess-Zumino term

5.1.1 Geometric Data and Action

To describe two-dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma model matter with a Wess-Zumino term, it is instructive to begin with a non-supersymmetric system as a toy example. Let Ξ be the two-dimensional Minkowski spacetime with light-cone coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=})$. The fields of the model that we shall consider here are the following: a gauge potential A with gauge group G, sigma model matter fields ϕ which are locally maps from Ξ into a sigma model manifold or target space M and real fermions ψ_- and λ_+ on Ξ of opposite chirality.

The couplings of two-dimensional gauged sigma model are described by a Riemannian metric g on M and the Wess-Zumino term which is a locally-defined two-form b on M; $H = \mathrm{d}b$ is a globally defined closed three-form on M. In addition, the gauge group G acts on M leaving invariant both the metric and the Wess-Zumino term, ie

$$\mathcal{L}_a g = 0 \qquad\qquad \mathcal{L}_a H = 0 \tag{5.1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_a$ is the Lie-derivative with respect to the vector fields $\{\xi_a : a = 1, \ldots, \dim \mathfrak{g}\}$ generated by the action of the gauge group G on M and $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G. Therefore, we have

$$[\xi_a, \xi_b]^i = f_{ab}{}^c \xi_c{}^i \ , \tag{5.1.2}$$

where f are the structure constants of G; $a, b, c = 1, \ldots, \dim \mathfrak{g}$ are gauge indices. The first condition in (5.1.1) implies that ξ_a are Killing vectors, ie

$$\nabla_i \xi_{aj} + \nabla_j \xi_{ai} = 0 \tag{5.1.3}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g and $i, j = 1, \ldots, \dim M$. The second condition in (5.1.1) together with $\mathrm{d}H = 0$ imply that $i_a H$ is closed and so

$$\xi_a{}^i H_{ijk} = 2\partial_{[j} w_{k]a} \tag{5.1.4}$$

for some locally defined one-form w_a.

A sigma model with rigid transformation symmetries can be thought of as a trivial principal G-bundle $P = \Xi \times G$. The sigma model fields ϕ are sections of an associated bundle $P \times_G M \to \Xi$, and since P is trivial, these are precisely the maps $\Xi \to M$.

Promoting the gauge transformation parameters ϵ^a to be *local*, ie. functions $\epsilon^a = \epsilon^a(x)$ defined on Ξ, then P becomes an arbitary G-bundle, $P = P(\Xi, G)$. The sigma model fields, which are sections of an associated bundle, can be represented locally as maps $\phi : \Xi \to M$. The gauge potential A can be represented locally as the pull back of the connection one-form of P onto an open neighbourhood of Ξ.

To describe the couplings of the fermions, we consider two vector bundles E and F over M equipped with connections B and C and with fibre metrics h

and k, respectively. The fermions ψ_- and λ_+ can be thought of as sections of $S_- \otimes E$ and $S_+ \otimes F$, respectively, where S_- and S_+ are spin bundles over Ξ associated to the two inequivalent real representation of $Spin(1,1)$. Note that in two dimensions there are Majorana-Weyl fermions and so two inequivalent one-dimensional real spinor representations of $Spin(1,1)$. In addition we shall assume that the connections B and C as well as the fibre metrics h and k are invariant under the action of the gauge group on M. These conditions imply that

$$\mathcal{L}_a B_i{}^A{}_B = -\nabla_i U_a{}^A{}_B \tag{5.1.5}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_c h_{AB} = -U_a{}^C{}_A h_{CB} - U_a{}^C{}_B h_{AC} \ , \tag{5.1.6}$$

where

$$\nabla_i U_a{}^A{}_B = \partial_i U_a{}^A{}_B + B_i{}^A{}_C U_a{}^C{}_B - U_a{}^A{}_C B_i{}^C{}_B \ , \tag{5.1.7}$$

and U_a are infinitesimal gauge transformations, $A, B, C = 1, \ldots, \operatorname{rank} E$, and similarly for the connection C and fibre metric k. The above conditions on the connection B have appeared in [59]. An action for the fields A, ϕ, ψ_- and λ_+ is

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int \mathrm{d}^2x \left(u_{ab} F^a_{\ddagger=} F^b_{\ddagger=} + g_{ij} \nabla_\ddagger \phi^i \nabla_= \phi^j - V(\phi)\right) \\ & + \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}t \left(H_{ijk} \partial_t \phi^i \nabla_\ddagger \phi^j \nabla_= \phi^k - w_{ia} \partial_t \phi^i F^a_{\ddagger=}\right) \\ & + \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, (i h_{AB} \psi_-^A \tilde{\nabla}_\ddagger \psi_-^B - i k_{A'B'} \lambda_+^{A'} \tilde{\nabla}_= \lambda_+^{B'}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.8}$$

where $u_{ab} = u_{ab}(\phi)$ are the gauge couplings which in general depend on ϕ, V is a scalar potential and

$$F_{\ddagger=} = [\nabla_\ddagger, \nabla_=] \ , \tag{5.1.9}$$

where we have suppressed gauged indices. The covariant derivatives in the action above are defined as follows,

$$\nabla_\mu \phi^i = \partial_\mu \phi^i + A^a_\mu \xi^i_a \tag{5.1.10}$$

and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \psi_-^A = \partial_\mu \psi_-^A + \nabla_\mu \phi^i B_i{}^A{}_B \psi_-^B + A_\mu^a U_a{}^A{}_B \psi^B \ , \tag{5.1.11}$$

$\mu = \mathbin{\pm\!\!\!\!=}, =$, and similarly for $\tilde{\nabla}\lambda_+^{A'}$. The latter can be rewritten as

$$\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \psi_-^A = \partial_\mu \psi_-^A + \partial_\mu \phi^i B_i{}^A{}_B \psi_-^B + A_\mu^a \mu_a{}^A{}_B \psi^B \ . \tag{5.1.12}$$

where

$$\mu_a{}^A{}_B = U_a{}^A{}_B + \xi_a{}^i B_i{}^A{}_B \ . \tag{5.1.13}$$

Observe that the part of the action involving the Wess-Zumino term has been written as an integral over a three-dimensional space. The conditions for this term to be written in a two-dimensional form as well as the conditions for the gauge invariance of the action will be investigated in the next section, see also [37].

5.1.2 Conditions for gauge invariance

The gauge transformations of the fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\epsilon A_\mu^a &= -\nabla_\mu \epsilon^a \\ \delta_\epsilon \phi^i &= \epsilon^a \xi_a^i \\ \delta_\epsilon \psi_-^A &= \epsilon^a U_a{}^A{}_B \psi_-^B \\ \delta_\epsilon \lambda_+^{A'} &= \epsilon^a V_a{}^{A'}{}_{B'} \lambda_+^{B'} \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.14}$$

where ϵ is the parameter of infinitesimal gauge transformations. Some of the conditions required for the invariance of the action (5.1.8) have been incorporated as part of the geometric data of the sigma model in the previous section. In particular, in addition to the conditions (5.1.1) and (5.1.5), we require that (i) w_a is a globally defined one-form on M which (ii) satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_a w_b = -f_{ab}{}^c w_c \ . \tag{5.1.15}$$

To write the Wess-Zumino part of the action in a two-dimensional form, it is necessary for the relevant three form to be closed. This in addition requires that

$$\xi^i_a w_{ib} + \xi^i_b w_{ia} = 0 \ . \tag{5.1.16}$$

Then the three-dimensional part of the action (5.1.8) can be written locally [37] as

$$\begin{aligned} S' = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(& b_{ij}\partial_{\ddagger}\phi^i\partial_{=}\phi^j - A^a_{\ddagger} w_{ia}\nabla_{=}\phi^i \\ & + A^a_{=} w_{ia}\nabla_{\ddagger}\phi^i + A^a_{\ddagger}A^b_{=}\xi_b{}^i w_{ai}\big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.1.17}$$

The conditions above that require (i) H to be invariant under the group action, (ii) w_a to be globally defined on M, (iii) (5.1.15) and (iv) (5.1.16) are those for the closed form H to have an extension, i.e. equivariant extension [39], as a closed form in $M \times_G EG$ [40, 41].

Next let us consider the conditions for gauge invariance of part of the action (5.1.8) involving the fermions. We find that this requires that

$$\mathcal{L}_c u_{ab} + u_{db} f^d{}_{ca} + u_{ad} f^d{}_{cb} = 0 \tag{5.1.18}$$

for the gauge couplings u_{ab},

$$\mathcal{L}_a \mu_b - [U_a, \mu_b] = -f_{ab}{}^c \mu_c \tag{5.1.19}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_a V = 0 \ . \tag{5.1.20}$$

We have not assumed that $\nabla_i h = 0$. However given a connection on a vector bundle with a fibre metric h, there always exist another connection ∇' such that $\nabla'_i h = 0$. Suppose that the ∇' is used for the fermionic couplings. If this is the case, the gauge group is $O(N)$ and therefore the right-hand-side of (5.1.6) vanishes.

Observe that the equation (5.1.5) can be written in a more covariant form as

$$\xi^j_a G_{ij}{}^A{}_B = \nabla_i \mu_a{}^A{}_B \ . \tag{5.1.21}$$

In what follows we shall assume that the conditions stated in this section by requiring gauge invariance of the non-supersymmetric model described by the action (5.1.8) hold. We shall see that for supersymmetric sigma models more conditions are necessary.

5.2 $(1,0)$ supersymmetric gauge theory

The (1,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model involves the coupling of three different (1,0)-multiplets. To simplify the construction of this model we shall describe each multiplet and the conditions for supersymmetry and gauge invariance separately. There are different ways of approaching this problem. Here we shall use 'standard' (1,0)-superfields. The action will be constructed using (1,0)-superspace methods.

5.2.1 The gauge multiplet

The (1,0)-superspace $\Xi^{1,0}$ has coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^{+})$, where $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=})$ are bosonic light-cone coordinates and θ^{+} is a Grassmann odd-coordinate. The (1,0)-supersymmetric Yang-Mills multiplet with gauge group G is described by a connection A in superspace which has components $(A_{\ddagger}, A_{=}, A_{+})$. In addition, it is required that these satisfy the supersymmetry constraints [38]

$$\begin{gathered}
[\nabla_+, \nabla_+] = 2i\nabla_{\ddagger} \qquad\qquad [\nabla_{\ddagger}, \nabla_{=}] = F_{\ddagger=} \\
[\nabla_+, \nabla_{=}] = W_- \ ,
\end{gathered} \tag{5.2.1}$$

where $F_{\ddagger=}$, W_- are the components of the curvature of the superspace connection A. (The gauge indices have been suppressed.) Jacobi identities imply that

$$\nabla_+ W_- = iF_{\ddagger=} \tag{5.2.2}$$

Therefore the independent components of the gauge multiplet are

$$\chi^a_- = W_-|_{\theta^+=0} \qquad\qquad F^a_{\ddagger=} = -i\nabla_+ W^a_-|_{\theta^+=0} \tag{5.2.3}$$

where χ^a_- is the gaugino and F^a is the two-form gauge field strength.

5.2.2 Sigma model multiplets

To describe the sigma model multiplet that couples to the above gauge field, we introduce a Riemannian manifold M with metric g and a locally defined two form b. In addition we assume that M admits a vector bundle E with fibre metric h, connection B and a section s. The data required for the description of the sigma model multiplet are the same as those given in section 5.1.1. In addition we take the section s to satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_a s_A = -U_a{}^B{}_A s_B \ . \tag{5.2.4}$$

The $(1,0)$-supersymmetric sigma model multiplet is described by a real scalar superfield ϕ and a fermionic *superfield* ψ_-. The superfield ϕ is a map from the superspace $\Xi^{1,0}$ into a sigma model manifold M and the fermionic superfield ψ_- is a section of the bundle $\phi^* E \otimes S_-$; S_- is a spin bundle over $\Xi^{1,0}$.

The components of the superfields ϕ, ψ_- are

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^i &= \phi^i|_{\theta^+=0} & \lambda^i_+ &= \nabla_+\phi^i|_{\theta^+=0} \\ \psi^A_- &= \psi^A_-|_{\theta^+=0} & \ell^A &= \tilde{\nabla}_+\psi^A_-|_{\theta^+=0} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.5}$$

where the covariant derivatives are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_+\phi^i &= D_+\phi^i + A^a_+ \xi^i_a \\ \tilde{\nabla}_+\psi^A_- &= D_+\psi^A_- + \nabla_+\phi^i B_i{}^A{}_B \psi^B_- + A^a_+ U_a{}^A{}_B \psi^B_- \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.6}$$

where D_+ is the usual flat superspace derivative, $D^2_+ = i\partial_{\ddagger}$.

5.2.3 Supersymmetric action

It is straightforward to couple the gauge multiplet to $(1,0)$-supersymmetric sigma model matter. The full action is

$$S = S_g + S_\sigma + S_f + S_p \tag{5.2.7}$$

where

$$S_g = -\int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+ \left(u_{ab}W_-^a\nabla_+W_-^b - iz_aW_-^a\right) , \tag{5.2.8}$$

where $u_{ab} = u_{ab}(\phi)$ and u_{ab} is not necessarily symmetric in the gauge indices and z_a is a θ-type of term which may depend on the scalar field ϕ.

The gauge covariant supersymmetric action for the fields ϕ is [38]

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = & -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+\, g_{ij}\nabla_+\phi^i\nabla_=\phi^j \\ & -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\theta^+ \left(H_{ijk}\partial_t\phi^i\nabla_+\phi^j\nabla_=\phi^k - w_{ia}\partial_t\phi^iW_-^a\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.9}$$

which as in section 5.1.1 can also be written as an integral over $\Xi^{1,0}$ superspace provided that H admits an equivariant extension. In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = -i\int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+ \big(& g_{ij}\nabla_+\phi^i\nabla_=\phi^j + b_{ij}D_+\phi^i\partial_=\phi^j \\ & - A_+^a w_{ia}\partial_=\phi^i + A_=^a w_{ia}D_+\phi^i + A_+^aA_=^b\xi^i_{[b}w_{a]i}\big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.10}$$

The action of the gauged fermionic multiplet is [59]

$$S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+\, h_{AB}\psi_-^A\tilde{\nabla}_+\psi_-^B \ . \tag{5.2.11}$$

The definition of the covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}_+$ is similar to the one given in section (5.1.1) for the covariant derivative $\tilde{\nabla}_{\ddagger}$.

The action for the potential term is

$$S_p = \int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta^+\, ms_A\psi_-^A \tag{5.2.12}$$

which is similar to that of the ungauged model in [25].

The superfields transform under the gauge group G as

$$\delta A_\mu = -\nabla_\mu \epsilon^a \qquad \delta\phi^i = \epsilon^a \xi_a{}^i(\phi) \qquad \delta\psi_-^A = \epsilon^a U_a{}^A{}_B \psi_-^B \ . \tag{5.2.13}$$

where $\mu = \ddagger, =, +$ is a $\Xi^{1,0}$ superspace index and ϵ^a is an infinitesimal gauge transformation parameter. Gauge invariance of the action (5.2.7) requires, in addition to the conditions given in section (5.1.2), the condition (5.2.4) and

$$\mathcal{L}_a z_b = -f_{ab}{}^c z_c \ . \tag{5.2.14}$$

5.2.4 The action of (1,0)-model in components and scalar potential

The action of (1,0)-supersymmetric two-dimensional gauged sigma model described by the action (5.2.7) can be easily written in components by performing the θ^+ integration and using the definition of the various component fields of the (1,0)-multiplets which we have described in the previous sections. In particular we find for the part of the action involving the kinetic term of the gauge multiplet that

$$\begin{aligned} S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(& u_{ab} F^a_{\ddagger=} F^b_{\ddagger=} + z_a F^a_{\ddagger=} \\ & - i u_{ab} \chi^a_- \nabla_\ddagger \chi^b_- + i\partial_i u_{ab} \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_- F^b_{\ddagger=} + i\partial_i z_a \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_- \big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.15}$$

Next we find that

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(& g_{ij} \nabla_\ddagger \phi^i \nabla_= \phi^j + b_{ij} \partial_\ddagger \phi^i \partial_= \phi^j + i\lambda^i_+ \tilde{\nabla}^{(+)}_= \lambda^j_+ \\ & + i w_{ia} \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_- - A^a_\ddagger w_{ia} \partial_= \phi^i + A^a_= w_{ia} \partial_\ddagger \phi^i \\ & - A^a_\ddagger A^b_= \xi_{[b}{}^i w_{a]i} \big) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.16}$$

where $\nabla^{(\pm)}$ are the usual metric connections with torsion $\pm H$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^{(\pm)}$ are the associated connections involving also the gauge connection A. For the fermionic multiplet we have

$$S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \left(-ih_{AB}\psi_-^A \tilde{\nabla}_{+\!\!\!+}\psi_-^B + h_{AB}\ell^A\ell^B - \frac{1}{2}G_{ijab}\psi_-^A\psi_-^B\lambda_+^i\lambda_+^j\right) \qquad (5.2.17)$$

and

$$S_p = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \left(\nabla_i s_A \lambda_+^i + s_A\ell^A\right) \; . \qquad (5.2.18)$$

The scalar potential in these models is precisely that of the ungauged (1,0) sigma models in [25], ie.

$$V = \frac{1}{4}m^2 h^{AB} s_A s_B \; . \qquad (5.2.19)$$

So we find that only 'F-terms' contribute to the potential. This is because the gauge multiplet does not have a auxiliary field. Therefore the classical vacua of the theory are the points of the sigma model manifold M for which the section s vanishes. If there is such a point, then s will vanish at the orbit of the gauge group G in M which contains that point. This is because the section s is invariant under the action of the gauge group. So in general the theory will not have isolated vacua unless they are fixed points of the group action of G on M.

5.3 $(2,0)$ supersymmetry

5.3.1 The gauge multiplet

The $(2,0)$ superspace $\Xi^{2,0}$ has coordinates $(x^{+\!\!\!+}, x^{=}, \theta_0^+, \theta_1^+)$ where $(x^{+\!\!\!+}, x^{=})$ are the usual light-cone coordinates and $\{\theta_p^+ : p = 0,1\}$ are anticommuting coordinates. The (2,0)-supersymmetric Yang-Mills multiplet is described by a connection A in $\Xi^{2,0}$ superspace with components $(A_{+\!\!\!+}, A_{=}, A_{p+})$, $p = 0, 1$. In addition it is required that these satisfy the supersymmetry constraints [38]

$$[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{q+}] = 2i\delta_{pq}\nabla_{+\!\!\!+} \quad [\nabla_{+\!\!\!+}, \nabla_{=}] = F_{+\!\!\!+=} \quad [\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{=}] = W_{p-} \; , \qquad (5.3.1)$$

where $p, q = 0, 1$. Jacobi identities imply that

$$\nabla_{p+}W_{q-} + \nabla_{q+}W_{p-} = 2i\delta_{pq}F_{\ddagger=} \tag{5.3.2}$$

The components of the gauge multiplet are

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{0-} &= W_{0-}| & \chi_{1-} &= W_{1-}| \\ iF_{\ddagger=} &= \nabla_{0+}W_{0-}| & f &= \nabla_{0+}W_{1-}| \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.3}$$

The components, (χ_{0-}, χ_{1-}), are the gaugini which are real chiral fermions in two dimensions, $F_{\ddagger=}$ is the field strength and f is an auxiliary field. (We have suppressed the gauge indices.)

5.3.2 The sigma model multiplet

We recall that the target manifold M of a (2,0)-supersymmetric ungauged sigma model is a Kähler manifold with torsion (KT). Therefore M is a hermitian manifold with metric g and equipped with a complex structure J which is parallel with respect to the $\nabla^{(+)}$ connection. (For the definition of these geometries see [60, 19]). To gauge the model, we assume as in section 5.1.1 that the gauge group G acts on M and leaving invariant the metric g and the Wess-Zumino term H. In addition we require that the action of the group G is holomorphic. This means that

$$\mathcal{L}_a J = 0 \ , \tag{5.3.4}$$

where the Lie derivative is along vector fields ξ_a generating the group action of G. The sigma model fields are maps $\phi : \Xi^{2,0} \to M$ into a complex manifold M which in addition satisfy

$$\nabla_{1+}\phi^i = J^i{}_j \nabla_{0+}\phi^j \ , \tag{5.3.5}$$

where $\nabla_{p+}\phi = D_{p+}\phi^i + A^a_{p+}\xi^i_a$. Note that the requirement for M to be a complex manifold can be derived from the above condition.

The components of the sigma model multiplet ϕ are as follows,

$$\phi^i = \phi^i| \qquad \lambda^i_+ = \nabla_{0+}\phi^i| \ . \tag{5.3.6}$$

5.3.3 The fermionic multiplet

Let E be a vector bundle over M equipped with a connection B and a fibre (almost) complex structure I. The fermionic multiplet ψ_- is a section of $\phi^* E \otimes S_-$ over the $\Xi^{2,0}$, where S_- is a spin bundle over $\Xi^{2,0}$. In addition we require that the fermionic multiplet ψ_- satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{1+}\psi^A_- = I^A{}_B\tilde{\nabla}_{0+}\psi^B_- + \frac{1}{2}mL^A \tag{5.3.7}$$

where L is a section of E and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{p+}\psi^A_- = D_{p+}\psi^A + \nabla_{p+}\phi^i B_i{}^A{}_B\psi^B_- + A^a_{p+}U_a{}^A{}_B \ , \tag{5.3.8}$$

for $p = 0, 1$.

Compatibility of the condition (5.3.7) with gauge transformations requires that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a I^A{}_B &= U_a{}^A{}_C I^C{}_B - I^A{}_C U_a{}^C{}_B \\ \mathcal{L}_a L^A &= U_a{}^A{}_B L^B \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.9}$$

These are the conditions for the gauge transformations and the (2,0)-supersymmetry transformations to commute.

The compatibility of this constraint with the algebra of covariant derivatives ∇ implies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{kl}{}^A{}_B J^k{}_u J^l{}_j &= G_{ij}{}^A{}_B \\ J^k{}_i\nabla_k L^A - I^A{}_B\nabla_i L^B &= 0 \\ J^k{}_i\nabla_k I^A{}_B - I^A{}_C\nabla_i I^C{}_B &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.10}$$

These are precisely the conditions required for the off-shell closure of (2,0) supersymmetry algebra.

It is always possible to find a connection B on the bundle E such that $\nabla I = 0$. In such case the last condition in (5.3.10) is satisfied. Decomposing $E \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as $E \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{E} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ using I, the first condition implies that $\mathcal{E}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle. Then the second condition in (5.3.10) implies that the section L is the real part of a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E}$.

The components of the fermionic multiplet are as follows:

$$\psi^A_- = \psi^A_-| \qquad\qquad \ell^A = \tilde{\nabla}_{0+}\psi^A_-| \ , \tag{5.3.11}$$

where ψ_- is a two-dimensional real chiral fermion and ℓ is an auxiliary field.

5.3.4 Action

The action of the (2,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model can be written as

$$S = S_g + S_\sigma + S_f \ , \tag{5.3.12}$$

where S_g is the action of the gauge multiplet, S_σ is the action of the sigma-model multiplet and S_f is the action of the fermionic multiplet. We shall describe each term separately.

5.3.5 The gauge multiplet action

The most general action for the (2,0)-supersymmetric gauge multiplet up to terms quadratic in the field strength is

$$S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+_0 \left(-u^0_{ab}\delta^{pq}W^a_{p-}\nabla_{0+}W^b_{q-} + u^1_{ab}\delta^{pq}W^a_{p-}\nabla_{1+}W^b_{q-} + iz^p_a W^a_{p-} \right) \ , \tag{5.3.13}$$

where u^0 and u^1 are the gauge coupling constants which in general depend on the superfield ϕ and similarly for the theta terms z^p. Both u^0 and u^1 are not necessarily symmetric in the gauge indices. The above action can be written in different ways. However there are always field and coupling constant redefinitions which can bring the action to the above form.

Observe that this action is not an integral over the full $\Xi^{2,0}$ superspace. Therefore it is not manifestly (2,0)-supersymmetric. The requirement of invariance under (2,0) supersymmetry imposes the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} J^j{}_i \partial_j u^0 &= -\partial_i u^1 \\ J^j{}_i \partial_j z^1 &= -\partial_i z^0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.14}$$

This is most easily seen by verifying that the Lagrangian density is independent of θ_1^+ up to $\theta_0^+, x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}$-surface terms. The conditions (5.3.14) are the Cauchy-Riemann equations which imply that $u^0 + iu^1$ and $z^1 + iz^0$ are *holomorphic*.

Indeed provided that the holomorphicity conditions (5.3.14) hold, the action (5.3.13) apart from the theta terms can be written as an integral over the $\Xi^{2,0}$ superspace as

$$\begin{aligned} S_g = \int d^2x d\theta_0^+ d\theta_1^+ \big(&\alpha u^0_{ab} W^a_{0-} W^b_{1-} + (\alpha - 1) u^1_{ab} W^a_{0-} W^b_{0-} \\ &- \alpha u^1_{ab} W^a_{1-} W^b_{1-} + (\alpha - 1) u^0_{ab} W^a_{1-} W^b_{0-}\big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.15}$$

for any constant α. After integrating over the odd coordinate θ_1^+ we recover the action (5.3.13). Observe that the action (5.3.15) simplifies if one takes u^0, u^1 to be symmetric matrices. In particular one finds that

$$S_g = \int d^2x d\theta_0^+ d\theta_1^+ \, u^0_{ab} W^a_{0-} W^b_{1-} \ . \tag{5.3.16}$$

Invariance of the action (5.3.13) under gauge transformations requires that the couplings u^0, u^1 and z^p satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a u^0_{bc} &= -f^e{}_{ab} u^0_{ec} - f^e{}_{ac} u^0_{be} \\ \mathcal{L}_a u^1_{bc} &= -f^e{}_{ab} u^1_{ec} - f^e{}_{ac} u^1_{be} \\ \mathcal{L}_a z^p_b &= -f^c{}_{ab} z^p_c \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.17}$$

The gauge transformations of the gauge multiplet and the sigma model multiplet that are required to derive the above result are as in the (1,0)-supersymmetric models studied in the previous sections.

5.3.6 The sigma model action

The part of the action which describes the coupling of the sigma model (2,0)-multiplet to the gauge multiplet has already been given in [38]. This action is

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = &- i \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \left(g_{ij} \nabla_{0+} \phi^i \nabla_{=} \phi^j + \nu_a W^a_{1-} \right) \\ &- i \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \left(H_{ijk} \partial_t \phi^i \nabla_{0+} \phi^j \nabla_{=} \phi^k - w_{ia} \partial_t \phi^i W^a_{0-} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.18}$$

where ν_a is a function on M, possibly locally defined, given by

$$I^j{}_i (\xi_{aj} + w_{aj}) = -\partial_i \nu_a \tag{5.3.19}$$

Under certain conditions [45] the maps ν can be thought of as the moment maps of KT geometry.

Gauge invariance of the above part of action requires in addition to the conditions on w, which we have already mentioned in section 5.1.2, that ν_a is globally defined on M and that

$$\mathcal{L}_a \nu_b = -f_{ab}{}^c \nu_c \ . \tag{5.3.20}$$

5.3.7 The action of the fermionic multiplet

This part of the action is

$$S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \left(h_{AB} \psi_-^A \tilde{\nabla}_{0+} \psi_-^B + m s_A \psi_-^A \right) \tag{5.3.21}$$

Gauge invariance of this part of the action requires the same conditions as those appearing for the couplings of (1,0)-multiplet in (5.1.19).

The conditions required by (2,0)-supersymmetry on the couplings of the above action are the same as those of the ungauged model and have been given in [8]. These can be easily derived by requiring that the Lagrangian density is independent from θ_1^+ up to $x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta_0^+$ surface terms. In particular, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{CB}I^C{}_A + h_{CA}I^C{}_B &= 0 \\ J^j{}_i\nabla_j h_{AB} + \nabla_i h_{AC}I^C{}_B &= 0 \\ J^j{}_i\nabla_j s_A - \nabla_i(s_B I^B{}_A) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i h_{AB}L^B &= 0 \\ s_A L^A &= \text{const} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.22}$$

The first condition implies that the fibre metric is hermitian with respect to the fibre complex structure. It is always possible to choose such a fibre metric given a fibre complex structure on a bundle vector bundle E. In the context of sigma models this has been explained in [44]. The rest of the conditions can be considerably simplified if the connection B is chosen such that $\nabla I = \nabla h = 0$. Such connection always exists on a hermitian vector bundle E. In such case, the third equation in (5.3.22) implies that s is the real part of a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{E}^*$.

5.3.8 The action in components

It is straightforward to write the action S of the (2,0)-supersymmetric gauge sigma model in components. In particular we find that the component action of the gauge multiplet (5.3.13) is

$$\begin{aligned} S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(& u^0_{ab}F^a_{\ddagger=}F^b_{\ddagger=} + z^0_a F^a_{\ddagger=} - u^0_{ab}f^a f^b + iz^1_a f^a \\ & + iu^0_{ab}\chi^a_{0-}\nabla_{\ddagger}\chi^b_{0-} + iu^0_{ab}\chi^a_{1-}\nabla_{\ddagger}\chi^b_{1-} \\ & - 2iu^1_{[ab]}F^a_{\ddagger=}f^b + 2iu^1_{[ab]}\chi^a_{[0-}\nabla_{\ddagger}\chi^b_{1]-} \\ & + i\partial_i z^0_a \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_{0-} + i\partial_i z^1_a \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_{1-} \\ & - \lambda^i_+ \partial_i u^0_{ab}(i\chi^a_{0-}F^b_{\ddagger=} + \chi^a_{1-}f^b) \\ & + \lambda^i_+ \partial_i u^1_{ab}(-\chi^a_{0-}f^b + i\chi^a_{1-}F^b_{\ddagger=}) \big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.23}$$

The component action of the sigma model part is

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(& g_{ij} \nabla_{\ddagger} \phi^i \nabla_{=} \phi^j + b_{ij} \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i \partial_{=} \phi^j + i \lambda_+^i \tilde{\nabla}_{=}^{(+)} \lambda_+^j \\ & - i \partial_i \lambda_+^i \nu_a \chi_{1-}^a - i \nu_a f^a + i g_{ij} \lambda_+^i \chi_{0-}^a {\xi_a}^j + i w_{ia} \lambda_+^i \chi_{0-}^a \\ & - A_{\ddagger}^a w_{ia} \partial_{=} \phi^i + A_{=}^a w_{ia} \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i - A_{\ddagger}^a A_{=}^b {\xi_{[b}}^i w_{a]i} \big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.24}$$

and the component action of the fermionic multiplet is

$$\begin{aligned} S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(& - i h_{AB} \psi_-^A \tilde{\nabla}_{\ddagger} \psi_-^B + h_{AB} \ell^A \ell^B \\ & - \frac{1}{2} h_{AB} \psi_-^A \psi_-^B \lambda_+^i \lambda_+^j G_{ijAB} + m \nabla_i s_A \lambda_+^i \psi_-^A + m s_A \ell^A \big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.25}$$

Eliminating the auxiliary fields of the gauge and fermionic multiplets, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(& g_{ij} \nabla_{\ddagger} \phi^i \nabla_{=} \phi^j + b_{ij} \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i \partial_{=} \phi^j + u_{ab}^0 F_{\ddagger=}^a F_{\ddagger=}^b \\ & + i u_{ab}^0 \chi_{0-}^a \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi_{0-}^b + i u_{ab}^0 \chi_{1-}^a \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi_{1-}^b \\ & + i g_{ij} \lambda_+^i \tilde{\nabla}_{=}^{(+)} \lambda_+^j - i h_{AB} \psi_-^A \tilde{\nabla}_{\ddagger} \psi_-^B + z_a^0 F_{\ddagger=}^a \\ & - \frac{1}{4} m^2 h^{AB} s_A s_B - \frac{1}{4} u_0^{ab} (\nu_a - z_a^1)(\nu_b - z_b^1) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} h_{AB} \psi_-^A \psi_-^B \lambda_+^i \lambda_+^j G_{ijAB} + m \nabla_i s_A \lambda_+^i \psi_-^A \\ & - A_{\ddagger}^a w_{ia} \partial_{=} \phi^i + A_{=}^a w_{ia} \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i - A_{\ddagger}^a A_{=}^b {\xi_{[b}}^i w_{a]i} \\ & - u_0^{ab} (\nu_a - z_a^1) u_{[cb]}^1 F_{\ddagger=}^c - u_0^{ab} u_{[ac]}^1 u_{[bd]}^1 F_{\ddagger=}^c F_{\ddagger=}^d \\ & + i \partial_i z_a^0 \lambda_+^i \chi_{0-}^a + i \partial_i z_a^1 \lambda_+^i \chi_{1-} \\ & - i \partial_i u_{ab}^0 \lambda_+^i \chi_{0-}^a F_{\ddagger=}^b + i \partial_i u_{ab}^1 \lambda_+^i \chi_{1-}^a F_{\ddagger=}^b \\ & - i \partial_i \nu_a \lambda_+^i \chi_{1-}^a + i g_{ij} \lambda_+^i \chi_{0-}^a {\xi_a}^j + i w_{ia} \lambda_+^i \chi_{0-}^a \\ & + \frac{1}{2} i u_0^{ab} (\nu_a - z_a^1)(\partial_i u_{cb}^0 \chi_{1-}^c + \partial_i u_{cb}^1 \chi_{0-}^c) \lambda_+^i \\ & - \frac{1}{4} u_0^{ab} (\partial_i u_{ca}^0 \chi_{1-}^c + \partial_i u_{cb}^1 \chi_{0-}^c)(\partial_i u_{db}^0 \chi_{1-}^d + \partial_i u_{db}^1 \chi_{0-}^d) \lambda_+^i \lambda_+^j \\ & - i u_0^{ab} u_{[ac]}^1 F_{\ddagger=}^c (\partial_i u_{db}^0 \chi_{1-}^d + \partial_i u_{db}^1 \chi_{0-}^d) \lambda_+^i \\ & + 2 i u_{[ab]}^1 \chi_{[0-}^a \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi_{1]-}^b \big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.26}$$

where u_0^{ab} is the matrix inverse of $u^0_{(ab)}$,

$$u_0^{ab} u^0_{(bc)} = \delta^a{}_c \ . \tag{5.3.27}$$

Note that we have assumed that u^0 is invertible.

5.3.9 Scalar potential and classical vacua

The scalar potential of the (2,0)-supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma model matter is is

$$V = \frac{1}{4} u_0^{ab} (\nu_a - z_a^1)(\nu_b - z_b^1) + \frac{1}{4} m h^{AB} s_A s_B \ . \tag{5.3.28}$$

The scalar potential in these models is written as a sum of a 'D' and an 'F' term. The classical supersymmetric vacua of the theory are those for which

$$\nu_a - z_a^1 = 0 \qquad\qquad s_A = 0 \ . \tag{5.3.29}$$

The inequivalent classical vacua are the space of orbits of the gauge group on the zero set of the section s and $\nu - z^1$. If the section s and z^1 vanish, then the space of inequivalent vacua is the KT reduction $M//G$ of the sigma model target space M. It has been shown in [45] that the space of vacua inherits the KT structure of the sigma model manifold M and under certain assumptions is a smooth manifold. However the three-form of the Wess-Zumino term on $M//G$ is not necessarily closed.

5.4 $(4,0)$ supersymmetry

5.4.1 The gauge multiplet

The $(4,0)$ superspace $\Xi^{4,0}$ has coordinates $(x^{+}, x^{=}, \theta^{+}_p)$, where θ^{+}_p, $p = 0,1,2,3,$ are the odd coordinates. The (4,0)-supersymmetric Yang-Mills multiplet is described by a connection A in superspace with components

$(A_{\ddagger}, A_{=}, A_{p+})$, $p = 0, 1, 2, 3$. In addition it is required that these satisfy the supersymmetry constraints [38]

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{q+}] &= 2i\delta_{pq}\nabla_{\ddagger} \\
[\nabla_{\ddagger}, \nabla_{=}] &= F_{\ddagger=} \\
[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{=}] &= W_{p-} \\
\nabla_{p+}W_{q-} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'}\nabla_{p'+}W_{q'-} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4.1}$$

where $p, q, p'q' = 0, \ldots, 3$ and $p \neq q$ in the last condition. (We have suppressed gauge indices.) Jacobi identities imply that

$$\nabla_{p+}W_{q-} + \nabla_{q+}W_{p-} = 2i\delta_{pq}F_{\ddagger=} \tag{5.4.2}$$

The components of the gauge multiplet are

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_{p-} = W_{p-}| \qquad\qquad & iF_{\ddagger=} = \nabla_{0+}W_{0-}| \\
f_r = \nabla_{0+}W_{r-}| \quad & (r = 1, 2, 3)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4.3}$$

The first four fields, $(\chi_{p-} : p = 0, 1, 2, 3)$, are the gaugini which are real chiral fermions in two dimensions, $F_{\ddagger=}$ is the field strength and $\{f_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ are the auxiliary fields.

5.4.2 The sigma model multiplet

Let M be a hyper-Kähler manifold with torsion (HKT). This implies that M admits a hypercomplex structure $\{J_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ the metric g on M is tri-hermitian and the hypercomplex structure is parallel with respect to a metric connection with as torsion the three-form H, $\nabla^{(+)}J_r = 0$; (see [60, 19] for more details). In addition we assume that the gauge group G acts on M preserving the metric, three-form H and the hypercomplex structure. The latter condition implies that

$$\mathcal{L}_a J_r = 0 \ , \tag{5.4.4}$$

where the Lie derivative is along vector fields ξ_a generated by the action of G on M. The sigma model fields are maps $\phi : \Xi^{4,0} \to M$ into the HKT manifold M which in addition satisfy

$$\nabla_{r+}\phi^i = J_r{}^i{}_j \nabla_{0+}\phi^j \ , \tag{5.4.5}$$

where $\nabla_{p+}\phi = D_{p+}\phi^i + A^a_{p+}\xi^i_a$ and $r = 1, 2, 3$. We remark that the algebra of (4,0) supersymmetry transformations closes as a consequence of the HKT condition we imposed on M.

The components of the sigma model multiplet ϕ are as follows,

$$\phi^i = \phi^i| \qquad\qquad \lambda^i_+ = \nabla_{0+}\phi^i| \ . \tag{5.4.6}$$

5.4.3 The fermionic multiplet

Let E be a vector bundle over M equipped with a connection B and a fibre almost hypercomplex structure $\{I_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$. The fermionic multiplet ψ_- is a section of $\phi^* E \otimes S_-$ over the $\Xi^{4,0}$, where S_- is a spin bundle over $\Xi^{4,0}$. In addition the fermionic multiplet ψ_- satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{r+}\psi^A_- = I_r{}^A{}_B \tilde{\nabla}_{0+}\psi^B_- + \frac{1}{2} m L^A_r \tag{5.4.7}$$

where $\{L_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ are sections of E and

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{p+}\psi^A_- = D_{p+}\psi^A + \nabla_{p+}\phi^i B_i{}^A{}_B \psi^B_- + A^a_{p+} U_a{}^A{}_B \ , \tag{5.4.8}$$

$p = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Compatibility of the condition (5.4.7) with gauge transformations requires that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a I^A_r{}_B &= U_a{}^A{}_C I^C_r{}_B - I^A_r{}_C U_a{}^C{}_B \\ \mathcal{L}_a L^A_r &= U_a{}^A{}_B L^B_r \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.9}$$

These are the conditions for the gauge transformations and the (2,0)-supersymmetry transformations to commute.

The conditions required for the closure of the (4,0) supersymmetry algebra are similar to those found for the ungauged (4,0) model in [25, 43]. Here to simplify the analysis, we shall in addition assume that the fibre hypercomplex structure is parallel with respect to ∇, ie $\nabla I_r = 0$. (See [44] for a discussion on the conditions required for the existence of such a connection ∇ on the vector bundle E.) The more general case can be easily derived but we shall not use these results later. In the special case, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{kl}{}^{A}{}_{B} J_r{}^{k}{}_{i} J_s{}^{l}{}_{j} + G_{kl}{}^{A}{}_{B} J_s{}^{k}{}_{i} J_r{}^{l}{}_{j} &= 2\delta_{rs} G_{ij}{}^{A}{}_{B} \\ J_r{}^{j}{}_{i} \nabla_j L^A_s + J_s{}^{j}{}_{i} \nabla_j L^A_r - I_r{}^{A}{}_{B} \nabla_i L^B_s - I_s{}^{A}{}_{B} \nabla_i L^B_r &= 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.10}$$

The first condition implies that the curvature G of the vector bundle E is a (1,1)-form with respect to all three complex structures J_r. Observe that the diagonal relation $r = s$ implies all the rest. The diagonal part of the second condition implies that each section L_r is a holomorphic section with respect to the pair (J_r, I_r).

The components of the fermionic multiplet are as follows:

$$\psi^A_- = \psi^A_-| \qquad\qquad \ell^A = \tilde{\nabla}_{0+} \psi^A_-|\ , \tag{5.4.11}$$

where ψ_- is a two-dimensional real chiral fermion and ℓ is an auxiliary field.

5.4.4 Action

The action of the (4,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model can be written as

$$S = S_g + S_\sigma + S_f\ , \tag{5.4.12}$$

where S_g is the action of the gauge multiplet, S_σ is the action of the sigma-model multiplet and S_f is the action of the fermionic multiplet. We shall describe each term separately.

5.4.5 The gauge multiplet action

The most general action for the (4,0)-supersymmetric gauge multiplet up to terms quadratic in the field strength is

$$S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \left(-u^0_{ab}\delta^{pq}W^a_{p-}\nabla_{0+}W^b_{q-} + \sum_{r=1}^{3} u^r_{ab}\delta^{pq}W^a_{p-}\nabla_{r+}W^b_{q-} + iz^p_a W^a_{-p} \right) \tag{5.4.13}$$

where $\{u^p : p = 0,1,2,3\}$ and $\{z^p : p = 0,1,2,3\}$ are the gauge coupling constants which in general depend on the superfield ϕ and u^p are not necessarily symmetric in the gauge indices. The above action can be written in different ways. However there are always field and coupling constant redefinitions which bring the action to the above form.

Observe that this action is not an integral over the full $\Xi^{4,0}$ superspace. Therefore it is not manifestly (4,0)-supersymmetry. Define ${J_0}^i{}_j = \delta^i{}_j$. The requirement of invariance the action (5.4.13) under (4,0) supersymmetry imposes the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} {J_p}^j{}_i\partial_j u_q &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'}{J_{p'}}^j{}_i\partial_j u_{q'} \quad (p \neq q) \\ \partial_i u_0 = {J_1}^j{}_i\partial_j u_1 &= {J_2}^j{}_i\partial_j u_2 = {J_3}^j{}_i\partial_j u_3 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.14}$$

and $\{u^r : r = 1,2,3\}$ are *symmetric* in the gauge indices. In addition

$$\begin{aligned} {J_r}^j{}_i\partial_j z^r &= -\partial_i z^0 \\ {J_p}^j{}_i\partial_j z_q &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'}{J_{p'}}^k{}_i\partial_k z_{q'} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.15}$$

The conditions (5.4.14) and (5.4.15) imply that in fact $\{u^p : p = 0,\ldots,3\}$ and $\{z^p : p = 0,\ldots,3\}$ are constant, i.e. independent from the sigma model superfield ϕ. The above conditions are most easily derived by verifying that the Lagrangian density is independent of θ_r^+ up to surface terms in $x^{\ddagger}$, $x^{=}$ and θ_0^+. In addition gauge invariance requires that the coupling constants u^p and z^p satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} f^d{}_{ab}u^p_{dc} + f^d{}_{ac}u^p_{bd} &= 0 \\ f^c{}_{ab}z^p_c &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.16}$$

In particular u^r should be proportional to an invariant quadratic form on the Lie algebra of the gauge group G. If G semi-simple, the condition on z^p implies that $z^p = 0$. If G is abelian, then the above conditions are satisfied for any constants u^p and z^p.

5.4.6 The sigma model multiplet action

This part of the action has already been described in [38]. Here we shall summarise the some of results relevant to this chapter. The action of this multiplet is

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = & -i \int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \left(g_{ij}\nabla_{0+}\phi^i\nabla_{=}\phi^j + \sum_{r=1}^{3}\nu_{ra}W^a_{r-}\right) \\ & -i \int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \left(H_{ijk}\partial_t\phi^i\nabla_{0+}\phi^j\nabla_{=}\phi^k - w_{ia}\partial_t\phi^i W^a_{0-}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.17}$$

where ν_a is a function on M, possibly locally defined, given by

$$I_r{}^j{}_i(\xi_{aj} + w_{aj}) = -\partial_i\nu_{ra} \tag{5.4.18}$$

It has been shown in [45] that under certain conditions ν is the moment map of HKT geometry.

The gauge transformations of the superfield ϕ are

$$\delta\phi^i = \epsilon^a\xi_a{}^i(\phi) \tag{5.4.19}$$

Gauge invariance of the above action requires that the one-form w should satisfy the conditions mentioned in section 5.1.2, the moment maps should be globally defined on the sigma model target space M and

$$\mathcal{L}_a\nu_{ra} = -f_{ab}{}^c\nu_{rc}\ . \tag{5.4.20}$$

5.4.7 The action of the fermionic multiplet

This part of the action is

$$S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^2x\mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \left(h_{AB}\psi_-^A\tilde{\nabla}_{0+}\psi_-^B + ms_A\psi_-^A\right) \tag{5.4.21}$$

Gauge invariance of this part of the action requires the same conditions as those appearing for the couplings of (1,0)-multiplet in (5.1.19).

The conditions required by (4,0)-supersymmetry on the couplings of the above action are the same as those of the ungauged model and have been given in [43]. These can be easily derived by requiring that the Lagrangian density is independent from θ^+_r up to $x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^+_0$ surface terms. In particular, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{CB} I_r{}^C{}_A + h_{CA} I_r{}^C{}_B &= 0 \\ J_r{}^j{}_i \nabla_j h_{AB} + \nabla_i h_{AC} I_r{}^C{}_B &= 0 \\ J_r{}^j{}_i \nabla_j s_A - \nabla_i (s_B I_r{}^B{}_A) - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i h_{AB} L_r^B &= 0 \\ s_A L_r^A &= \text{const} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.22}$$

To derive the above conditions we have used that $\nabla I_r = 0$ as we have assumed in the construction of the fermionic multiplet. The first condition implies that the fibre metric is tri-hermitian. These conditions can be further simplified if the connection B is chosen such that $\nabla h = 0$. In such case, the third equation in (5.4.22) implies that s is the real part of three holomorphic sections of $\mathcal{E}^*$ each with respect to the three doublets (J_r, I_r) of complex structures, ie s is triholomorphic.

5.4.8 The action in components and scalar potential

The part of the action of the theory involving the kinetic term of the gauge multiplets (5.4.13) can be easily expanded in components as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2 x \, \big(& u^0_{ab} F^a_{\ddagger=} F^b_{\ddagger=} + i u^0_{ab} \delta^{pq} \chi^a_{p-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{q-} \\ & + z^0_a F^a_{\ddagger=} - \sum_r (u^0_{ab} f^a_r f^b_r + i z^r_a f^a_r) \\ & + 2i u^1_{ab} \chi^a_{[0-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{1]-} + 2i u^1_{ab} \chi^a_{[2-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{3]-} \\ & + 2i u^2_{ab} \chi^a_{[0-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{2]-} + 2i u^2_{ab} \chi^a_{[1-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{3]-} \\ & + 2i u^3_{ab} \chi^a_{[0-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{3]-} + 2i u^3_{ab} \chi^a_{[1-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{2]-} \big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.23}$$

To derive this we have used that $\{u^p : 0, \dots, 3\}$ are constant and $\{u^r : 1, 2, 3\}$ symmetric in the gauge indices.

The part of the action that contains the kinetic term and the Wess-Zumino term of the sigma model fields in components is as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = \int \mathrm{d}^2 x \, \big(& g_{ij} \nabla_{\ddagger} \phi^i \nabla_{=} \phi^j + b_{ij} \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i \partial_{=} \phi^j + i g_{ij} \lambda^i_+ \tilde{\nabla}^{(+)}_{=} \lambda^j_+ \\ & - i \sum_r (\nu_{ra} f^a_r + \partial_i \nu_{ra} \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_{r-}) \\ & + i g_{ij} \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_{0-} \xi_a{}^j + i w_{ia} \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_{0-} \\ & - A^a_{\ddagger} w_{ia} \partial_{=} \phi^i + A^a_{=} w_{ia} \partial_{\ddagger} \phi^i - A^a_{\ddagger} A^b_{=} \xi_{[b}{}^i w_{a]i} \big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.24}$$

The part of the action that contains the kinetic term the fermionic multiplet in components is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^2 x \, \big(& - i h_{AB} \psi^A_- \tilde{\nabla}_{\ddagger} \psi^B_- + h_{AB} \ell^A \ell^B - \frac{1}{2} h_{AB} \psi^A_- \psi^B_- \lambda^i_+ \lambda^j_+ G_{ijAB} \\ & + m \nabla_i s_A \lambda^i_+ \psi^A_- + m s_A \ell^A \big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.25}$$

After eliminating the auxiliary fields of both the gauge multiplet and the fermionic multiplet, we find that the action of (4,0)-supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma models is

$$\begin{aligned} S_g + S_f = \int \mathrm{d}^2 x \, \big(& u^0_{ab} F^a_{\ddagger=} F^b_{\ddagger=} - i h_{AB} \psi^A_- \tilde{\nabla}_{\ddagger} \psi^B_- \\ & + i \delta^{pq} u^0_{ab} \chi^a_{p-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{q-} \\ & + 2 i u^1_{ab} \chi^a_{[0-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{1]-} + 2 i u^1_{ab} \chi^a_{[2-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{3]-} \\ & + 2 i u^2_{ab} \chi^a_{[0-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{2]-} + 2 i u^2_{ab} \chi^a_{[1-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{3]-} \\ & + 2 i u^3_{ab} \chi^a_{[0-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{3]-} + 2 i u^3_{ab} \chi^a_{[1-} \nabla_{\ddagger} \chi^b_{2]-} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} h_{AB} \psi^A_- \psi^B_- \lambda^i_+ \lambda^j_+ G_{ijAB} + m \nabla_i s_A \lambda^i_+ \psi^A_- \\ & - \frac{1}{4} u_0^{ab} \sum_r (\nu_{ra} - z^r_a)(\nu_{rb} - z^r_b) - \frac{1}{4} m^2 h^{AB} s_A s_B \\ & + z^0_a F^a_{\ddagger=} + \sum_p \partial_i z^p_a \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_{r-} \big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.26}$$

It is straightforward to write the action S of the (2,0)-supersymmetric gauge sigma model in components. In particular we find the following:

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \,\big(& g_{ij}\nabla_{\ddagger}\phi^i\nabla_{=}\phi^j + b_{ij}\partial_{\ddagger}\phi^i\partial_{=}\phi^j + u^0_{ab}F^a_{\ddagger=}F^b_{\ddagger=} \\ & + iu^0_{ab}\delta^{pq}\chi^a_{p-}\nabla_{\ddagger}\chi^b_{q-} \\ & + i\lambda^i_+\tilde{\nabla}^{(+)}_{=}\lambda^j_+ - ih_{AB}\psi^A_-\tilde{\nabla}_{\ddagger}\psi^B_- + z^0_aF^a_{\ddagger=} \\ & - \frac{1}{4}m^2h^{AB}s_As_B - \frac{1}{4}u^{ab}_0\sum_r(\nu_{ra} - z^r_a)(\nu_{rb} - z^r_b) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}h_{AB}\psi^A_-\psi^B_-\lambda^i_+\lambda^j_+G_{ijAB} + m\nabla_is_A\lambda^i_+\psi^A_- \\ & - A^a_{\ddagger}w_{ia}\partial_{=}\phi^i + A^a_{=}w_{ia}\partial_{\ddagger}\phi^i - A^a_{\ddagger}A^b_{=}\xi_{[b}{}^iw_{a]i} \\ & + i\partial_iz^0_a\lambda^i_+\chi^a_{0-} \\ & + ig_{ij}\lambda^i_+\chi^a_{0-}\xi_a{}^j + iw_{ia}\lambda^i_+\chi^a_{0-} + i\sum_r\partial_i(z^r_a - \nu_{ra})\lambda^i_+\chi^a_{r-}\big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.27}$$

5.4.9 Scalar Potential and Classical Vacua

The scalar potential of the (4,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma models is

$$V = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{r=1}^{3}u^{ab}_0\nu_{ra}\nu_{rb} + \frac{1}{4}mh^{AB}s_As_B\ , \tag{5.4.28}$$

where we have absorbed the constants z^r_a into the definition of the moment maps ν_{ra}. The scalar potential in these models is written as a sum of a 'D' and an 'F' term. The classical supersymmetric vacua of the theory are those for which

$$\nu_{ra} = 0 \qquad\qquad s_A = 0\ . \tag{5.4.29}$$

The inequivalent classical vacua are the space of orbits of the gauge group on the zero set of the section s and the HKT moment maps ν_r. If the section s vanishes, then the space of inequivalent vacua is the theory is the HKT reduction $M//G$ of the sigma model target space M. It has been shown in [45] that under certain assumptions the space of vacua inherits the HKT structure of the sigma model manifold M and it is a smooth space. However the three-form of the Wess-Zumino term on $M//G$ is not necessarily closed.

5.5 $(1,1)$ supersymmetry

5.5.1 The gauge multiplet

The $(1,1)$ superspace $\Xi^{1,1}$ has coordinates $(x^{+\!\!\!+}, x^{=}, \theta^{+}, \theta^{-})$, where $\theta^{\pm}$ are Grassman valued odd coordinates. The (1,1)-supersymmetric Yang-Mills multiplet is described by a connection A in superspace with components $(A_{+\!\!\!+}, A_{=}, A_{+}, A_{-})$. In addition it is required that these satisfy the supersymmetry constraints [38]

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\nabla_+, \nabla_-] = W && [\nabla_{+\!\!\!+}, \nabla_{=}] = F_{+\!\!\!+=} \\
&[\nabla_+, \nabla_+] = 2i\nabla_{+\!\!\!+} && [\nabla_-, \nabla_-] = 2i\nabla_{=}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5.1}$$

(We have suppressed the gauge indices.) The Jacobi identities imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\nabla_+, \nabla_{=}] = i\nabla_- W \qquad\qquad [\nabla_-, \nabla_{+\!\!\!+}] = i\nabla_+ W \\
&\qquad\qquad F_{+\!\!\!+=} = \nabla_+\nabla_- W
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5.2}$$

We mention that the (1,1)-supersymmetric gauge multiplet can be constructed from a scalar superfield. This allows for the possibility of non-linear couplings between the sigma model multiplet and the gauge multiplet. The components of the gauge multiplet are

$$\begin{aligned}
&W = W| && F_{+\!\!\!+=} = \nabla_+\nabla_- W| \\
&\chi_+ = \nabla_+ W| && \chi_- = \nabla_- W|
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5.3}$$

The field, W, is a scalar, χ_+,χ_- are gaugini which are real chiral fermions in two dimensions, and $F_{+\!\!\!+=}$ is the field strength.

5.5.2 The sigma model multiplet

Let M be a Riemannian manifold with metric g and locally defined two-form b. We take the gauge group G to act on M with isometries preserving the Wess-Zumino three-form $H = \mathrm{d}b$ and generating the vector fields ξ_a as in section 5.1.1. In addition we assume that the one-form w satisfies the conditions of section 5.1.2.

The sigma model (1,1) multiplet ϕ is a map from the (1,1) superspace $\Xi^{1,1}$ into the Riemannian manifold M. The components of ϕ are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^i &= \phi^i| & \qquad \ell^i &= \nabla_+\nabla_-\phi^i| \\ \lambda^i_+ &= \nabla_+\phi^i| & \qquad \lambda^i_- &= \nabla_-\phi^i| \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.5.4}$$

where $\nabla_+\phi^i = D_+\phi^i + A^a_+\xi^i_a$ and similarly for ∇_-. Observe that the first two components of $(1,1)$ superfield ϕ can be identified with the two components of a $(1,0)$ superfield ϕ while the latter two components can be identified with those of a $(1,0)$ fermionic superfield ψ_-. The vector bundle associated with this fermionic multiplet is the tangent bundle of M.

5.5.3 Action

The action of the (1,1)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model can be written as sum of three terms,

$$S = S_g + S_\sigma + S_p \ , \tag{5.5.5}$$

where S_g is the action of the gauge multiplet, S_σ is the action of the sigma-model multiplet and S_p is a potential term. We shall describe each term separately.

5.5.4 The gauge multiplet action

An action of the (1,1)-supersymmetric gauge multiplet is most easily written in (1,1) superspace. In particular we have

$$S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(-u_{ab} \nabla_+ W^a \nabla_- W^b + \frac{1}{2} v_{ab} W^a W^b + z_a W^a \right) , \qquad (5.5.6)$$

where $u_{ab} = u_{ab}(\phi)$, u is not necessarily symmetric in the gauge indices, $v_{ab} = v_{ab}(\phi)$ and the theta term $z_a = z_a(\phi)$. Of course this action is manifestly (1,1)-supersymmetric because it is an integral over full superspace. Gauge invariance imposes the additional conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a u_{bc} &= -f^d{}_{ab} u_{dc} - f^d{}_{ac} u_{bd} \\ \mathcal{L}_a v_{bc} &= -f^d{}_{ab} v_{dc} - f^d{}_{ac} v_{bd} \\ \mathcal{L}_a z_b &= -f^d{}_{ab} z_d \end{aligned} \qquad (5.5.7)$$

on the couplings u,v and z.

The action (5.5.6) can be easily expanded in components to find

$$\begin{aligned} S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \big(& + u_{ab} F^a_{\ddagger=} F^b_{\ddagger=} - u_{ab} \nabla_\ddagger W^a \nabla_= W^b \\ & + i u_{ab} \nabla_\ddagger \chi^a_- \chi^b_- - i u_{ab} \chi^a_+ \nabla_= \chi^b_+ \\ & + \partial_i u_{ab} F^a_{\ddagger=} \lambda^i_+ \chi^b_- - \partial_i u_{ab} \lambda^i_- \chi^a_+ F^b_{\ddagger=} \\ & + i \partial_i u_{ab} \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_+ \nabla_= W^b + i \partial_i u_{ab} \lambda^i_- \nabla_\ddagger W^a \chi^b_- \\ & + u_{ab} f^b{}_{cd} \chi^a_+ \chi^c_- W^d - \nabla_i \partial_j u_{ab} \lambda^i_+ \lambda^j_- \chi^a_+ \chi^b_- - \partial_i u_{ab} \ell^i \chi^a_+ \chi^b_- \\ & + v_{ab} \chi^a_+ \chi^b_- + v_{ab} W^a F^b_{\ddagger=} \\ & + \partial_i v_{ab} \lambda^i_+ W^a \chi^b_- - \partial_i v_{ab} \lambda^i_- \chi^a_+ W^b \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_i \partial_j v_{ab} \lambda^i_+ \lambda^j_- W^a W^b + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i v_{ab} \ell^i W^a W^b \\ & + z_a F^a_{\ddagger=} + \partial_i z_a \lambda^i_+ \chi^a_- - \partial_i z_a \lambda^i_- \chi^a_+ \\ & + \ell^i \partial_i z_a W^a + \lambda^i_+ \lambda^j_- \nabla_i \partial_j z_a W^a \big) \ . \end{aligned} \qquad (5.5.8)$$

5.5.5 The sigma model multiplet action and potential term

A (1,1)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model action has been given in [38]. This action can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = & \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \, g_{ij} \nabla_+ \phi^i \nabla_- \phi^j \\ & + \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(H_{ijk} \partial_t \phi^i \nabla_+ \phi^j \nabla_- \phi^k - w_{ia} \partial_t \phi^i W_-^a \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.5.9}$$

This can be written without the t integration as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \, \big(& g_{ij} \nabla_+ \phi^i \nabla_- \phi^j + b_{ij} D_+ \phi^i D_- \phi^j \\ & - A_+^a w_{ia} D_- \phi^i - A_-^a w_{ia} D_+ \phi^j + A_-^a A_+^b \xi^i_{[b} w_{a]i} \big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.5.10}$$

It is straightforward to add a potential term to the above actions as

$$S_p = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \, h \tag{5.5.11}$$

where $h = h(\phi)$ is a function of the superfield ϕ. Gauge invariance of the above action requires that w should satisfy the conditions stated in section 5.1.2.

5.5.6 A generalisation of the action

The action of (1,1)-supersymmetric gauge theory presented above can be generalized by allowing the various couplings of the theory to depend on the scalar component of the gauge multiplet superfield. Supersymmetry then requires additional fermionic couplings. The new theory can be organised as a (1,1)-supersymmetric sigma model which has target space $L = M \times \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of the gauge group G. The various allowed couplings are restricted by two-dimensional Lorentz invariance, supersymmetry and gauge invariance as usual. The sigma model multiplet is maps $Z = (\phi, W)$ from the (1,1) superspace $\Xi^{1,1}$ into L, where ϕ is the usual (1,1) sigma model superfield and W is the (1,1) gauge theory multiplet. We again allow the gauge group G to act on L with a group action on M and the adjoint action on $\mathfrak{g}$. The vector fields generated by such a group action are

$$\xi_a = \xi_a^A \partial_A = \xi_a^i \partial_i + W^b f^c{}_{ab} \partial_c \tag{5.5.12}$$

where $A = (i, a)$, the component ξ^i is allowed to depend on both ϕ and W, and the partial derivative with the gauge index denotes differential with respect to W.

Next we introduce a metric g and a Wess-Zumino term H on L and assume that the gauge group G acts on L with isometries leaving the Wess-Zumino term H invariant. We also define w as $i_{\xi_a} H = \mathrm{d}w_a$, where ξ_a is the new Killing vector field (5.5.12). Then an action can be written for this new sigma model as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = & \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(g_{AB} \nabla_+ Z^A \nabla_- Z^B + h \right) \\ & + \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(H_{ABC} \partial_t Z^A \nabla_+ Z^B \nabla_- Z^C - w_{Ba} \partial_t Z^B W_-^a \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.5.13}$$

where h is a function which depends on Z. This action is clearly supersymmetric because it is a full (1,1) superspace integral. Gauge invariance requires that w above satisfies all the conditions stated in section 5.1.2 but for the group action (5.5.12) and the Wess-Zumino term in L. In addition, it requires that

$$\mathcal{L}_a h = 0 \tag{5.5.14}$$

where the Lie derivative is with respect to the vector field (5.5.12).

5.5.7 Scalar Potential

To compute the scalar potential we express the action in components and eliminate the auxiliary field of the sigma model superfield ϕ from the action using the field equations. The scalar potential is

$$V(W, \phi) = \frac{1}{4} g^{ij} \partial_i h \partial_j h \ , \tag{5.5.15}$$

where g^{ij} is the inverse of the restriction of the metric of L on M. Observe that V depends on both the sigma model scalar ϕ and the gauge multiplet scalar W. The classical supersymmetric vacua of the theory are those values of (ϕ, W) for which $\partial_i h = 0$. For example, for the special (1,1)-supersymmetric model investigated in the beginning of the section, $V = \frac{1}{4} g^{ij}(\partial_i h + \partial_i z_a W^a)(\partial_j h + \partial_j z_b W^b)$, where in this case $h = h(\phi)$.

5.6 $(2,1)$ supersymmetry

5.6.1 The gauge multiplet

The $(2,1)$ superspace $\Xi^{2,1}$ has coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^{+}_{p}, \theta^{-})$, where $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=})$ are the even and $(\theta^{+}_{0}, \theta^{+}_{1}, \theta^{-})$ are odd coordinates. The (2,1)-supersymmetric Yang-Mills multiplet is described by a connection A in superspace with components $(A_{\ddagger}, A_{=}, A_{p+}, A_{-})$, $p = 0, 1$. In addition it is required that these satisfy the supersymmetry constraints [38]

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{-}] = W_p && [\nabla_{\ddagger}, \nabla_{=}] = F_{\ddagger =} \\
&[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{q+}] = 2i\delta_{pq}\nabla_{\ddagger} && [\nabla_{-}, \nabla_{-}] = 2i\nabla_{=}\ .
\end{aligned} \qquad (5.6.1)$$

We have suppressed the gauge indices. We remark that W_p are scalar superfields. The Jacobi identities imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{=}] = i\nabla_{-}W_p && [\nabla_{-}, \nabla_{\ddagger}] = i\nabla_{0+}W_0 \\
&F^{a}_{\ddagger =} = \nabla_{0+}\nabla_{-}W^{a}_{0} && \nabla_{1+}W_1 = \nabla_{0+}W_0 \\
&\nabla_{1+}W_0 + \nabla_{0+}W_1 = 0\ .
\end{aligned} \qquad (5.6.2)$$

The two scalar superfields (W_0, W_1) can be viewed as a map W from the (2,1) superspace $\Xi^{2,1}$ into $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of the group G. Next introduce a complex structure $I = \mathrm{Id} \otimes \epsilon$ in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ where ϵ is the constant complex structure in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\epsilon^{0}{}_{1} = -1$. The last two conditions in

(5.6.1) can be expressed as

$$\nabla_{1+}W^{ap} = \epsilon^{p}{}_{q}\nabla_{0+}W^{aq} \ . \tag{5.6.3}$$

In fact this implies that W is a covariantly chiral superfield, $(\nabla_{1+} + i\nabla_{0+})(W_1 + iW_0) = 0$.

The components of the gauge superfields W_p are

$$\begin{aligned} W_p &= W_p| & F_{\ddagger=} &= \nabla_{0+}\nabla_{-}W_0| & f &= \nabla_{0+}\nabla_{-}W_1| \\ \chi_{0+} &= \nabla_{0+}W_0| & \chi_{1+} &= \nabla_{0+}W_1| & \chi_{p-} &= \nabla_{-}W_p| \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.4}$$

where W_p are scalars, χ_{p+} and χ_{p-} are the gaugini which are real chiral fermions in two dimensions, $F_{\ddagger=}$ is the field strength and f is a real auxiliary field. As in the (1,1)-supersymmetric gauge theory, the gauge multiplet is determined by scalar superfields. This will lead again to non-linear interactions because the various couplings of the theory can depend on them.

5.6.2 The sigma model multiplet

Let M be a KT manifold with metric g and complex structure J. We in addition assume that the gauge group G acts on M with isometries which furthermore preserve the complex structure J and the Wess-Zumino term H. These conditions are the same as in the case of (2,0)-supersymmetric model. The (2,1) sigma model superfield ϕ is a map from the (2,1) superspace $\Xi^{2,1}$ into the sigma model manifold M. In addition it is required that

$$\nabla_{1+}\phi^{i} = J^{i}{}_{j}\nabla_{0+}\phi^{j} \ , \tag{5.6.5}$$

where $\nabla_{p+}\phi^i = D_{p+}\phi^i + A^a_{p+}\xi^i_a$ and ξ_a are the vector fields on M generated by the group action. As we have seen, the (2,1) gauge multiplet satisfies the condition (5.6.3) similar to (5.6.5). The superfield ϕ is also covariantly chiral, as can be seen by choosing complex coordinates on the sigma model manifold M. These results will be used later for the construction of actions of (2,1)-supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma models.

The components of the sigma model multiplet ϕ are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^i &= \phi^i| & \ell^i &= \nabla_{0+}\nabla_-\phi^i| \\ \lambda^i_+ &= \nabla_{0+}\phi^i| & \lambda^i_- &= \nabla_-\phi^i| \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.6}$$

where ϕ is a scalar, λ_+ and λ_- are real fermions, and ℓ is an auxiliary field.

5.6.3 Action

An action of a (2,1)-supersymmetric gauge theory coupled to sigma model matter can be written as

$$S = S_g + S_\sigma + S_p \ , \tag{5.6.7}$$

where S_g is the action of the gauge multiplet, S_σ is the action of the sigma-model multiplet and S_p contains the potential term. We shall describe each term separately.

5.6.4 The gauge multiplet action

An action for the (2,1)-supersymmetric gauge multiplet is

$$\begin{aligned} S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+_0 \mathrm{d}\theta^- \big(& - u^0_{ab}\delta^{pq}\nabla_{0+}W^a_p\nabla_-W^b_q \\ & + u^1_{ab}\delta^{pq}\nabla_{1+}W^a_p\nabla_-W^b_q + z^p_a W^a_p \big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.8}$$

where u^0, u^1 are the gauge coupling constants and z^p are theta term type of couplings. All the couplings are allowed to depend on the superfield ϕ. We shall assume that both u^0, u^1 are symmetric in the gauge indices but this restriction can be lifted.

Observe that this action is not an integral over the full $\Xi^{2,1}$ superspace. Therefore it is not manifestly (2,1)-supersymmetric. The requirement of invariance under (2,1) supersymmetry imposes the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} J^j{}_i\partial_j u^0_{ab} &= -\partial_i u^1_{ab} \\ J^j{}_i\partial_j z^1_a &= -\partial_i z^0_a \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.9}$$

Therefore the couplings $u^0 + iu^1$ and $z^1 + iz^0$ are holomorphic.

In addition gauge invariance of the action (5.6.8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a u^p_{bc} &= -f^d{}_{ab} u^p_{dc} - f^d{}_{ac} u^p_{bd} \\ \mathcal{L}_a z^p_b &= -f^d{}_{ab} z^p_d \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.10}$$

5.6.5 The sigma model multiplet action and potential

The action of the gauged (2,1)-supersymmetric sigma model with Wess-Zumino term has been given in [38]. Here we shall summarise some of results relevant to this chapter. The action of this multiplet is

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = &\int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+_0 \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(g_{ij} \nabla_{0+}\phi^i \nabla_-\phi^j + \nu_a W^a_1 \right) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(H_{ijk} \partial_t \phi^i \nabla_{0+}\phi^j \nabla_-\phi^k - w_{ia} \partial_t \phi^i W^a_- \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.11}$$

This can be written without the t integration as

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \big(& g_{ij} \nabla_+\phi^i \nabla_-\phi^j + b_{ij} D_+\phi^i D_-\phi^j \\ & - A^a_+ w_{ia} D_-\phi^i - A^a_- w_{ia} D_+\phi^j + A^a_- A^b_+ \xi^i_{[b} w_{a]i} \big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.12}$$

The gauge transformations of ϕ are $\delta\phi^i = \epsilon^a \xi_a{}^i(\phi)$. Gauge invariance of the above action requires that w should satisfy the conditions described in section 5.1.2. As in the case of (2,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model, ν should satisfy $\mathcal{L}_a \nu_b = -f_{ab}{}^c \nu_c$. In fact ν is a moment map associated with the action of the gauge group on the KT manifold M.

The part of the action involving the potential is

$$S_p = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+_0 \mathrm{d}\theta^- \, h \ , \tag{5.6.13}$$

where $h = h(\phi)$ Invariance under (2,1) supersymmetry requires that

$$\partial_i h = J^k{}_i \partial_k h^1 \tag{5.6.14}$$

where $h^1 = h^1(\phi)$. This implies that h is the real part of a holomorphic function on M.

The scalar potential of (2,1)-supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma models described above is

$$V = \frac{1}{4}u_0^{ab}(\nu_a + z_a^1)(\nu_b + z_b^1) + \frac{1}{4}g^{ij}(\partial_i h + \partial_i z_a^p W_p^a)(\partial_j h + +\partial_j z_a^p W_p^a) \ . \tag{5.6.15}$$

5.6.6 A generalisation

As we have shown both the (2,1) gauge multiplet and the (2,1) sigma model multiplet are constructed from covariantly chiral scalar superfields, ie both satisfy the conditions (5.6.5) and (5.6.3). Because of this, these two superfields can be combined to a single superfield $Z = (W_0, W_1, \phi)$ which is a map from the $(2,1)$ superspace $\Xi^{2,1}$ into $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2) \times M$. In addition we can take Z to satisfy a chirality condition which is the combination of (5.6.3) and (5.6.5). Next we can take the gauge group G to act on $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2) \times M$ with the adjoint action in the first factor and a group action on M. The vector fields associated by such a group action are

$$\xi_a = \sum_p f^c{}_{ab} W_p^b \frac{\partial}{\partial W_p^c} + \xi^i \partial_i \ . \tag{5.6.16}$$

Treating the (2,1)-supersymmetric gauge theory coupled to sigma model matter as a sigma model with superfield Z, which satisfies (5.6.3) and (5.6.5), we can write the action

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = &\int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(g_{AB} \nabla_{0+} Z^A \nabla_- Z^B + \nu_a W_1^a + h \right) \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(H_{ABC} \partial_t Z^A \nabla_{0+} Z^B \nabla_- Z^C - w_{Ba} \partial_t Z^B W_{\underline{-}}^a \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.6.17}$$

where now all the couplings are defined using the geometry of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2) \times M$. Of course (2,1)-supersymmetric requires that $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2) \times M$ is a KT manifold with respect to the complex structure $(J, \mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon)$. In particular the metric and the rest of the couplings depend on the coordinates of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2) \times M$. The conditions for gauge invariance are easily determined from those of the

(2,1)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model. We remark that the couplings of (5.6.17) can be arranged such that the $SO(2)$ R-symmetry of the $(2,1)$-supersymmetry algebra is broken. In particular the $SO(2)$ rotation that rotate the W_p scalar components is not a symmetry of the action. However if one insists in preserving the R-symmetry, then the KT manifold $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{R}^2)\times M$ should admit a $SO(2)$ action preserving all the geometric data.

5.7 $(4,1)$ supersymmetry

5.7.1 The gauge multiplet

The $(4,1)$ superspace $\Xi^{4,1}$ has coordinates $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=}, \theta^+_p, \theta^-)$, where $(x^{\ddagger}, x^{=})$ are the even and $\{\theta^-, \theta^+_p, p = 0, \dots, 3\}$ are the odd coordinates. The (4,1)-supersymmetric Yang-Mills multiplet is described by a connection A in $\Xi^{4,1}$ superspace with components $(A_{\ddagger}, A_{=}, A_{p+}, A_{-})$ with $p = 0, \dots 3$. In addition it is required that these satisfy the supersymmetry constraints [38]

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_-] = W_p && [\nabla_{\ddagger}, \nabla_{=}] = F_{\ddagger=} \\
&[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{q+}] = 2i\delta_{pq}\nabla_{\ddagger} && [\nabla_-, \nabla_-] = 2i\nabla_{=} \\
&\nabla_{p+}W_q = \epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'}\nabla_{p'+}W_{q'}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7.1}$$

(We have suppressed all the gauge indices.) The Jacobi identities imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{=}] &= i\nabla_- W_p \\
[\nabla_-, \nabla_{\ddagger}] &= i\nabla_{0+}W_0 \\
F^a_{\ddagger=} &= \nabla_{0+}\nabla_- W^a_0 \\
\nabla_{p+}W_q + \nabla_{q+}W_p &= 0 \quad (p \neq q) \\
\nabla_{0+}W_0 = \nabla_{1+}W_1 &= \nabla_{2+}W_2 = \nabla_{3+}W_3
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7.2}$$

The (4,1) gauge multiplet is determined by four scalar superfields. Some of the conditions on these superfields given in (5.7.1), like in the (2,1) model previously, can be expressed as conditions of a (4,1) sigma model multiplet.

For this view the four-scalar superfields W_p as maps from the superspace $\Xi^{4,1}$ into $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^4$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of the gauge group G. Then introduce three constant complex structures $\{I_r\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^4$ such that $(I_r)^0{}_s = \delta_{rs}$ and $(I_r)^s{}_t = -\epsilon_{rst}$ where $r, s, t = 1, 2, 3$. The conditions on W_p in (5.7.1) and (5.7.1) can be expressed as

$$\nabla_{r+} W_p^a = I_r{}^q{}_p \nabla_{0+} W_q^a \ . \tag{5.7.3}$$

The components of the gauge multiplet are

$$\begin{aligned} W_p &= W_p| & F_{\ddagger=} &= \nabla_{0+}\nabla_- W_0| \\ \chi_{p-} &= \nabla_- W_p| & \chi_{p+} &= \nabla_{0+} W_p| \\ & f_r = \nabla_{0+}\nabla_- W_r| \quad r = 1,2,3 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.7.4}$$

where W_p are scalars, χ_{p+},χ_{p-} are the gaugini which are real chiral fermions in two dimensions, $F_{\ddagger=}$ is the field strength and $\{f_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ are auxiliary fields. The $SO(4)$ R-symmetry of the (4,1)-supersymmetric gauge theory rotates both the scalars and the fermions of the gauge multiplet.

5.7.2 The sigma model multiplet

Let M be a HKT manifold with metric g and hypercomplex structure $\{J_r; r = 1, 2, 3\}$. We in addition assume that the gauge group G acts on M with isometries which in addition preserve the hypercomplex structure J_r and the Wess-Zumino term H. These conditions are the same as in the case of (4,0)-supersymmetric model. The (4,1) sigma model superfield ϕ is a map from the (4,1) superspace $\Xi^{4,1}$ into the sigma model manifold M. In addition it is required that

$$\nabla_{r+}\phi^i = J_r{}^i{}_j \nabla_{0+}\phi^j \ , \tag{5.7.5}$$

where $\nabla_{p+}\phi^i = D_{p+}\phi^i + A^a_{p+}\xi^i_a$ and ξ are the vector fields on M generated by the group action. As we have seen the (4,1) gauge multiplet satisfies the condition (5.7.3) similar to (5.7.5). These results will be used later for the construction of actions of (4,1)-supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma models.

The components of the sigma model (4,1) multiplet ϕ are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^i &= \phi^i| & \ell^i &= \nabla_{0+}\nabla_-\phi^i| \\ \lambda^i_+ &= \nabla_{0+}\phi^i| & \lambda^i_- &= \nabla_-\phi^i| \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.7.6}$$

where ϕ is a scalar, λ_+ and λ_- are real fermions, and ℓ is an auxiliary field.

5.7.3 Action

The action of a (4,1)-supersymmetric gauged theory coupled to sigma model matter can be written as

$$S = S_g + S_\sigma + S_p \ , \tag{5.7.7}$$

where S_g is the action of the gauge multiplet, S_σ is the action of the sigma-model multiplet and S_p is the potential. We shall describe each term separately.

5.7.4 The gauge multiplet action

An action for the (4,1)-supersymmetric gauge multiplet is

$$\begin{aligned} S_g = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta^+_0 \big(&- u^0_{ab}\delta^{pq}\nabla_{0+}W^a_p\nabla_-W^b_q \\ &+ u^r_{ab}\delta^{pq}\nabla_{r+}W^a_p\nabla_-W^b_q + z^p_aW^a_p\big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.7.8}$$

where $\{u^p\} = \{u^0, u^r\}$ and z^p are the gauge coupling constants and theta type of terms, respectively, which in general depend on the superfield ϕ. We shall assume that both u^p are symmetric in the gauge indices but this restriction can be lifted.

Observe that this action is not an integral over the full $\Xi^{4,1}$ superspace. Therefore it is not manifestly (4,1)-supersymmetric. The requirement of invariance under (4,1) supersymmetry imposes the condition that u^p and z^p

are constant. This is similar to the condition that arises in (4,0) supersymmetric gauge theories. Gauge invariance of the action (5.7.8) in addition requires

$$\begin{aligned} f^d{}_{ab}u^p_{dc} + f^d{}_{ac}u^p_{bd} &= 0 \\ f^d{}_{ab}z^p_d &= 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.7.9}$$

Thus u^p must be invariant quadratic forms on the Lie algebra of the group G and z^p must be invariant elements of the Lie algebra. Of course $z^p = 0$, if G is semi-simple.

5.7.5 The sigma model multiplet action and the potential

An action for the (4,1) sigma model multiplet coupled to gauge fields has been given in [38]. Here we shall summarise the some of results relevant to this chapter. The action of this multiplet is

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = &\int d^2x d\theta^+ d\theta^- \left(g_{ij}\nabla_+\phi^i\nabla_-\phi^j + \sum_r \nu^r_a W^a_r\right) \\ &+ \int d^2x dt d\theta^+ d\theta^- \left(H_{ijk}\partial_t\phi^i\nabla_+\phi^j\nabla_-\phi^k - w_{ia}\partial_t\phi^i W^a_-\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.7.10}$$

The gauge transformations of ϕ are $\delta\phi^i = \epsilon^a\xi_a{}^i(\phi)$. Gauge invariance of the above action requires that w should satisfy the conditions described in section 5.1.2. As in the case of (4,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model, ν^r should satisfy $\mathcal{L}_a\nu^r_b = -f_{ab}{}^c\nu^r_c$. In fact ν^r is a moment map associated with the action of the gauge group G on the HKT manifold M.

The part of the action involving the potential is

$$S_p = \int d^2x d\theta^+_0 d\theta^-\, h\ , \tag{5.7.11}$$

where $h = h(\phi)$. Invariance under (4,1) supersymmetry requires that

$$\partial_i h = J_r{}^k{}_i\partial_k h^r \tag{5.7.12}$$

where $h^r = h^r(\phi)$. This implies that h is the real part of three holomorphic functions on M, ie h is tri-holomorphic.

The scalar potential of (4,1)-supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma models is

$$V = \frac{1}{4} u_0^{ab} \sum_{r=1}^{3} \nu_a^r \nu_b^r + \frac{1}{4} g^{ij} \partial_i h \partial_j h \ , \tag{5.7.13}$$

where we have shifted the moment maps ν_r by a constant z_r.

5.7.6 A generalisation

As we have shown both the (4,1) gauge multiplet and the (4,1) sigma model multiplet are constructed from scalar superfields which satisfy the similar constraints (5.7.3) and (5.7.5). Because of this, these two superfields can be combined to a single superfield $Z = (W, \phi)$ which is a map from the $(4,1)$ superspace $\Xi^{4,1}$ into $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^4) \times M$. In addition we can take Z to satisfy a condition which is the combination of (5.7.5) and (5.7.3). Next we can take the gauge group G to act on $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^4) \times M$ with the adjoint action in the first factor and a group action on M. The vector fields associated by such a group action are

$$\xi_a = \sum_p f^c{}_{ab} W_p^b \frac{\partial}{\partial W_p^c} + \xi^i \partial_i \ . \tag{5.7.14}$$

Treating the (4,1)-supersymmetric gauge theory coupled to sigma model matter as a sigma model with superfield Z, which satisfies (5.7.3) and (5.7.5), we can write the action

$$\begin{aligned} S_\sigma = & \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}\theta_0^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(g_{AB} \nabla_{0+} Z^A \nabla_- Z^B + \sum_r \nu_a^r W_r^a + h \right) \\ & + \int \mathrm{d}^2x \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\theta^+ \mathrm{d}\theta^- \left(H_{ABC} \partial_t Z^A \nabla_{0+} Z^B \nabla_- Z^C - w_{Ba} \partial_t Z^B W_-^a \right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.7.15}$$

where now all the couplings are defined using the geometry of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^4) \times M$. Of course (4,1)-supersymmetry requires that $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^4) \times M$ is a HKT manifold with respect to the hypercomplex structure (J_r, I_r). In particular the metric and the rest of the couplings depend on the coordinates of $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{R}^2) \times M$.

The conditions for gauge invariance are easily determined from those of the (4,1)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model. We remark that the couplings of (5.7.15) can be arranged such that the $SO(4)$ R-symmetry of the $(4,1)$-supersymmetry algebra is broken. In particular the $SO(4)$ rotation that rotates the W_p scalar components is not a symmetry of the action. However if one insists in preserving the R-symmetry, then the HKT manifold $(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{R}^4)\times M$ should admit a $SO(4)$ action preserving all the geometric data.

5.8 Summary

We now summarise the conditions given in this chapter. The conditions for the general case are

$$\mathcal{L}_a g = 0 \tag{5.8.1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_a H = 0 \tag{5.8.2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_a B_i{}^A{}_B = -\nabla_i U_a{}^A{}_B \tag{5.8.3}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_c h_{AB} = -U_a{}^C{}_A h_{CB} - U_a{}^C{}_B h_{AC} \tag{5.8.4}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_a w_b = -f_{ab}{}^c w_c \tag{5.8.5}$$

$$\xi^i_a w_{ib} + \xi^i_b w_{ia} = 0 \tag{5.8.6}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_c u_{ab} + u_{db} f^d{}_{ca} + u_{ad} f^d{}_{cb} = 0 \tag{5.8.7}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_a \mu_b - [U_a, \mu_b] = -f_{ab}{}^c \mu_c \tag{5.8.8}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_a V = 0 \tag{5.8.9}$$

The conditions in the cases of $(p,0)$ and (p,q) supersymmetry are given in the following tables.

Supersymmetry	Multiplets	Extra Constraints
(1,0)	(ϕ^i, λ^i_+)	$[\nabla_+, \nabla_+] = 2i\nabla_{+\!\!\!+}$
	$(\chi^a_-, F_{+\!\!\!+=})$	$[\nabla_{+\!\!\!+}, \nabla_=] = F_{+\!\!\!+=}$
	(ψ^A_-, ℓ^A)	$[\nabla_+, \nabla_=] = W_-$
	$F^a_{+\!\!\!+=}$	$\mathcal{L}_a s_A = -U_a{}^B{}_A s_B$
		$\mathcal{L}_a z_b = -f_{ab}{}^c z_c$
(2,0)	(ϕ^i, λ^i_+)	$[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{q+}] = 2i\delta_{pq}\nabla_{+\!\!\!+}$
	(ψ^A_-, ℓ^A)	$[\nabla_{+\!\!\!+}, \nabla_=] = F_{+\!\!\!+=}$
	$(\chi^a_{0-}, \chi^a_{1-}, F^a_{+\!\!\!+=}, f^a)$	$[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_=] = W_{p-}$
		$\nabla_{1+}\phi^i = J^i{}_j \nabla_{0+}\phi^j$
		$\mathcal{L}_a J = 0$
		$\tilde{\nabla}_{p+}\psi^A_- = D_{p+}\psi^A + \nabla_{p+}\phi^i B_i{}^A{}_B \psi^B_-$
		$+A^a_{p+} U_a{}^A{}_B$
		$\mathcal{L}_a I^A{}_B = U_a{}^A{}_C I^C{}_B - I^A{}_C U_a{}^C{}_B$
		$\mathcal{L}_a L^A = U_a{}^A{}_B L^B$
		$G_{kl}{}^A{}_B J^k{}_u J^l{}_j = G_{ij}{}^A{}_B$
		$J^k{}_i \nabla_k L^A - I^A{}_B \nabla_i L^B = 0$
		$J^k{}_i \nabla_k I^A{}_B - I^A{}_C \nabla_i I^C{}_B = 0$

Supersymmetry	Multiplets	Extra Constraints
(4,0)	(ϕ^i, λ^i_+)	$[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{q+}] = 2i\delta_{pq}\nabla_{\ddagger}$
	(ψ^A_-, ℓ^A)	$[\nabla_{\ddagger}, \nabla_{=}] = F_{\ddagger=}$
	$(\chi_{0-}, \chi_{1-}, \chi_{2-}, \chi_{3-},$	$[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{=}] = W_{p-}$
	$F^a_{\ddagger=}, f^a_1, f^a_2, f^a_3)$	$\nabla_{p+}W_{q-} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'}\nabla_{p'+}W_{q'-}$
		$\nabla_{r+}\phi^i = J_r{}^i{}_j\nabla_{0+}\phi^j$
		$\mathcal{L}_a I^A_r{}_B = U_a{}^A{}_C I^C_r{}_B - I^A_r{}_C U_a{}^C{}_B$
		$\mathcal{L}_a L^A_r = U_a{}^A{}_B L^B_r$
		$G_{kl}{}^A{}_B J_r{}^k{}_i J_s{}^l{}_j + G_{kl}{}^A{}_B J_s{}^k{}_i J_r{}^l{}_j$
		$= 2\delta_{rs}G_{ij}{}^A{}_B$
		$J_r{}^j{}_i\nabla_j L^A_s + J_s{}^j{}_i\nabla_j L^A_r$
		$-I_r{}^A{}_B\nabla_i L^B_s - I_s{}^A{}_B\nabla_i L^B_r = 0$
		$J_p{}^j{}_i\partial_j u_q = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'}J_{p'}{}^j{}_i\partial_j u_{q'} \quad (p \neq q)$
		$\partial_i u_0 = J_1{}^j{}_i\partial_j u_1 = J_2{}^j{}_i\partial_j u_2 = J_3{}^j{}_i\partial_j u_3$
		$J_r{}^j{}_i\partial_j z^r = -\partial_i z^0$
		$J_p{}^j{}_i\partial_j z_q = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{pq}{}^{p'q'}J_{p'}{}^k{}_i\partial_k z_{q'}$
		$f^d{}_{ab}u^p_{dc} + f^d{}_{ac}u^p_{bd} = 0$
		$f^c{}_{ab}z^p_c = 0$
		$\mathcal{L}_a\nu_{ra} = -f_{ab}{}^c\nu_{rc}$
		$h_{CB}I^C_r{}_A + h_{CA}I^C_r{}_B = 0$
		$J^j_r{}_i\nabla_j h_{AB} + \nabla_i h_{AC}I^C_r{}_B = 0$
		$J^j_r{}_i\nabla_j s_A - \nabla_i(s_B I^B_r{}_A) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_i h_{AB}L^B_r = 0$
		$s_A L^A_r = \text{const}$

Supersymmetry	Multiplets	Extra Constraints
(1,1)	$(\phi^i, \lambda^i_+, \lambda^i_-, \ell^i)$	$[\nabla_+, \nabla_-] = W$
	$(W^a, \chi^a_+, \chi^a_-, F^a_{\ddagger=})$	$[\nabla_\ddagger, \nabla_=] = F_{\ddagger=}$
		$[\nabla_+, \nabla_+] = 2i\nabla_\ddagger$
		$[\nabla_-, \nabla_-] = 2i\nabla_=$
		$\mathcal{L}_a u_{bc} = -f^d{}_{ab} u_{dc} - f^d{}_{ac} u_{bd}$
		$\mathcal{L}_a v_{bc} = -f^d{}_{ab} v_{dc} - f^d{}_{ac} v_{bd}$
		$\mathcal{L}_a z_b = -f^d{}_{ab} z_d$
		$\mathcal{L}_a h = 0$
(2,1)	$(\phi^i, \lambda^i_+, \lambda^i_-, \ell^i)$	$[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_-] = W_p$
	$(W^a_0, W^a_1, \chi^a_{0+}, \chi^a_{1+}, \chi^a_-, F^a_{\ddagger=}, f^a)$	$[\nabla_\ddagger, \nabla_=] = F_{\ddagger=}$
		$[\nabla_{p+}, \nabla_{q+}] = 2i\delta_{pq}\nabla_\ddagger$
		$[\nabla_-, \nabla_-] = 2i\nabla_=$
		$\nabla_{1+}\phi^i = J^i{}_j \nabla_{0+}\phi^j$
		$J^j{}_i \partial_j u^0_{ab} = -\partial_i u^1_{ab}$
		$J^j{}_i \partial_j z^1_a = -\partial_i z^0_a$
		$\mathcal{L}_a u^p_{bc} = -f^d{}_{ab} u^p_{dc} - f^d{}_{ac} u^p_{bd}$
		$\mathcal{L}_a z^p_b = -f^d{}_{ab} z^p_d$
		$\partial_i h = J^k{}_i \partial_k h^1$
(4,1)	$(\phi^i, \lambda_{p+}, \lambda_-, \ell)$	$[Q_-, Q_-]_+ = 2iP_=$
	$(W^a_p \cdot \chi^a_{p-}, \chi^a_+, F^a_{\ddagger=}, f^a_r)$	$[Q_{p+}, Q_{q+}]_+ = 2i\delta_{pq} P_\ddagger$
		$\nabla_{r+}\phi^i = J_r{}^i{}_j \nabla_{0+}\phi^j$
		$f^d{}_{ab} u^p_{dc} + f^d{}_{ac} u^p_{bd} = 0$
		$f^d{}_{ab} z^p_d = 0$
		$\partial_i h = J_r{}^k{}_i \partial_k h^r$
	$(r = 1, 2, 3)$	$(p, q = 0, 1, 2, 3)$

Chapter 6

Vortices and Equivariant cohomology

6.1 Introduction

Vortices are the instantons of two-dimensional gauge theories coupled to sigma models. Bogomol'nyi type of bounds for both abelian [48] and non-abelian vortices [49, 50] have been investigated in the context of linear sigma models. To describe them, it is instructive to begin with a toy example.

Let Ξ be the usual two-dimensional spacetime, which after a Wick rotation we can take to be Euclidean, $\Xi = \mathbb{R}^2$ with metric $\delta^{\mu\nu}$. The sigma model fields are maps $\phi : \Xi \to M$ to a sigma model manifold M, which in this introduction we take to be $M = \mathbb{R}^2$. The gauge group G acts on M with isometries, for simplicity we take G to be abelian.

The Yang-Mills-Higgs action is

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}^2 x \left(\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi^i \nabla_\nu \phi^j + \frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda}{8} (\phi^2 - 1)^2 \right) \tag{6.1.1}$$

where $\nabla_\mu \phi^i = \partial_\mu \phi^i + A_\mu \cdot \phi^i$ is ths usual covariant derivative, and $\phi^2 = \delta_{ij} \phi^i \phi^j$. The final term is the Higgs self-interaction term, and $\lambda \geq 0$ is constant.

This action admits finite action, solotonic configurations [61], and these configurations are precsiely those that saturate the following bound, due to Bogomol'nyi [48]. We rewrite (6.1.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \Big(& \delta_{ij}\delta_{\mu\nu}(\epsilon^{\mu\rho}\nabla_\rho\phi^i \pm \epsilon^i{}_k\nabla_\mu\phi^k)(\epsilon^{\nu\sigma}\nabla_\sigma\phi^j \pm \epsilon^j{}_l\nabla_\mu\phi^l) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu} \mp \epsilon_{\mu\nu}(1-\phi^2))(F^{\mu\nu} \mp \epsilon^{\mu\nu}(1-\phi^2)) \\ & + \Big[\frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(1-\phi^2) \pm \epsilon_{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{ij}\nabla_\mu\phi^i\nabla_\nu\phi^j\Big]\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.2}$$

where ϵ is the alternating tensor. This expression is obtained by completing squares and collecting the remaining terms together in the square brackets above. Finiteness of the action (6.1.1) requires that

$$|\phi| \to 1, \qquad \nabla\phi \to 0 \qquad \text{as } |x| \to \infty \ , \tag{6.1.3}$$

and with these boundary conditions, using a partial integration, the action (6.1.2) may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \Big(& \delta_{ij}\delta_{\mu\nu}(\epsilon^{\mu\rho}\nabla_\rho\phi^i \pm \epsilon^i{}_k\nabla_\mu\phi^k)(\epsilon^{\nu\sigma}\nabla_\sigma\phi^j \pm \epsilon^j{}_l\nabla_\mu\phi^l) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu} \mp \epsilon_{\mu\nu}(1-\phi^2))(F^{\mu\nu} \mp \epsilon^{\mu\nu}(1-\phi^2))\Big) \\ \pm 2\int \mathrm{d}^2x\, F_{12} \ . & \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.4}$$

The last term is a topological charge proportional to the first Chern character, which is an integer N (assuming sufficiently uniform limits in (6.1.3)). N is also called the *vortex number* and solutions with $N > 0$ are called N-vortex solutions.

Because the other integrands are non-negative, we have that

$$S \geq \pi|N| \tag{6.1.5}$$

because we may always choose the signs in (6.1.4) so that the topological charge is positive. For $N \geq 0$, there is equality if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{\mu\rho}\nabla_\rho\phi^i + \epsilon^i{}_k\nabla_\mu\phi^k &= 0 \\ F^{\mu\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu\nu}(1-\phi^2) &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.6}$$

The appearance of the alternating tensor ϵ^{ij} suggests that we should consider M as a Kähler manifold, which implies that we should consider models with (2,0) supersymmetry. We would also like to derive a bound for those gauge theories introduced in the previous chapter. This will be done in the remainder of the chapter.

6.2 A bound for vortices in the (2,0) model

In this section we shall establish bounds for vortices for non-linear sigma models considered in the previous chapter. For this we shall consider the Euclidean action of the (2,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model without Wess-Zumino term. The sigma model target space M is Kähler with metric g, complex structure J and associated Kähler form Ω_J. After a Wick rotation the two-dimensional spacetime is $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the standard Euclidean metric. The relevant part of the bosonic Euclidean action of a (2,0)-supersymmetric gauge theory coupled to a sigma model is

$$S_E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(\frac{1}{2} g_{ij}\delta^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi^i\nabla_\nu\phi^j + \frac{1}{2}u_{ab}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^b_{\lambda\rho}\delta^{\mu\lambda}\delta^{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{4}u^{ab}\nu_a\nu_b\big) \ . \tag{6.2.1}$$

Next we introduce I a constant complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a Kähler manifold. The associated Kähler form Ω_I is the volume form of $\mathbb{R}^2$. In such a case the Euclidean action (6.2.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} S_E = \int & \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big[\frac{1}{4}u_{ab}\big((\Omega_I\cdot F^a \mp \nu^a)(\Omega_I \cdot F^b \mp \nu^b\big) \\ & + \frac{1}{4}g_{ij}\delta^{\mu\nu}(I^\rho{}_\mu\nabla_\rho\phi^i \mp \nabla_\mu\phi^k J^i{}_k)(I^\sigma{}_\nu\nabla_\sigma\phi^j \mp \nabla_\nu\phi^\ell J^j{}_\ell)\big] \\ & \pm \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}((\Omega_J)_{ij}\nabla\phi^i\wedge\nabla\phi^j + \nu_a F^a) \end{aligned} \tag{6.2.2}$$

where $\Omega_I\cdot F = (\Omega_I)^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$, $\nu^a = u^{ab}\nu_b$, $u_{ab} = u^0_{ab}$ and $u^{ac}u_{cb} = \delta^a{}_b$ ($u_{ab} = u_{(ab)}$). The above expression for the Euclidean action has been constructed from (6.2.1) by completing squares and collecting all the remaining terms which organise themselves in the last term of (6.2.2).

The last term in (6.2.2) is the topological charge,

$$\mathcal{Q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega_J \ , \tag{6.2.3}$$

where the form

$$\omega_J = (\Omega_J)_{ij} \nabla\phi^i \wedge \nabla\phi^j + \nu_a F^a \tag{6.2.4}$$

is the *equivariant extension of the Kähler form* Ω_J of the sigma model target space M, see section 4.3.1. That ω_J is closed follows from dimensional grounds. In fact (4.4.4) easily generalises to show that ω_J is closed as a two-form on any manifold N for any map ϕ from N into the sigma model manifold M and for any choice of connection A.

The Euclidean action of the (2,0)-supersymmetric two-dimensional sigma model is bounded by the absolute value of the topological charge $\mathcal{Q}$, $S_E \geq |\mathcal{Q}|$. This is because it is always possible to choose the signs in the Bogomol'nyi bound above such that the topological term is positive. If the topological charge is positive, then the bound is attained if

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_I \cdot F^a - \nu^a &= 0 \\ J^i{}_j \nabla_\mu \phi^j - \nabla_\nu \phi^i I^\nu{}_\mu &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.2.5}$$

In two-dimensions, the curvature F is a (1,1)-form. Choosing complex coordinates $(z, \bar{z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ with respect to the complex structure I, it is always possible to arrange using a (complex) gauge transformation that $A_{\bar{z}} = 0$. Choosing complex coordinates in the sigma model target space M as well, the second BPS condition becomes $\nabla_{\bar{z}}\phi^\alpha = 0$ which means that the map ϕ is holomorphic from the spacetime $\mathbb{R}^2$ into the sigma model manifold M.

A special case of this bound arises for gauge theories couple to linear sigma models for which the sigma model manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with the Euclidean metric and equipped with a constant compatible complex structure J. This case includes the Nielsen-Olesen vortices [47]. (For these, existence of a solution was shown in [51] and the moduli were studied in [62], [49] and more recently in [63], see also [64]). The case with a single complex scalar has been analysed in [48]. Choosing complex coordinates $\{q^\alpha; \alpha = 1, \dots, n\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{d}s^2 &= \sum_\alpha \mathrm{d}q^\alpha \mathrm{d}q^{\bar{\alpha}} \\ \Omega_J &= -i \sum_\alpha \mathrm{d}q^\alpha \wedge \mathrm{d}q^{\bar{\alpha}} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.2.6}$$

Next consider the abelian group $U(1)$-action $q^\alpha \to e^{iQ_\alpha t} q^\alpha$ which generates the holomorphic Killing vector fields

$$\xi = i \sum_\alpha Q_\alpha \left(q^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\alpha} - q^{\bar{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\bar{\alpha}}}\right) \ . \tag{6.2.7}$$

The moment map is (5.3.19)

$$\nu = -\sum_\alpha (Q_\alpha q^\alpha q^{\bar{\alpha}}) - \Lambda \ , \tag{6.2.8}$$

where Λ is a (cosmological) constant. This is an example of a $(2,0)$-supersymmetric gauged linear sigma model with gauge group $U(1)$ of the type considered in [35]. The topological charge is

$$\mathcal{Q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{d}^2 z \left(\sum_\alpha (\nabla_z q^\alpha \nabla_{\bar{z}} q^{\bar{\alpha}} - \nabla_{\bar{z}} q^\alpha \nabla_z q^{\bar{\alpha}}) + \nu F_{z\bar{z}} \right) \tag{6.2.9}$$

where $\nabla_z q^\alpha = \partial_z q^\alpha + i A_z Q_\alpha q^\alpha$, $\nabla_z q^{\bar{\alpha}} = \partial_z q^{\bar{\alpha}} - i A_z Q_\alpha q^{\bar{\alpha}}$, $\nabla_{\bar{z}} q^{\bar{\alpha}} = (\nabla_z q^\alpha)^*$ and $\nabla_{\bar{z}} q^\alpha = (\nabla_z q^{\bar{\alpha}})^*$, and $F_{z\bar{z}} = \partial_z A_{\bar{z}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} A_z$. To compare the bound above (6.2.2) with that of vortices in [35], we observe that *after some integration by parts* we have

$$\mathcal{Q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d^2 z \left(\sum_\alpha (\partial_z q^\alpha \partial_{\bar{z}} q^{\bar{\alpha}} - \partial_{\bar{z}} q^\alpha \partial_z q^{\bar{\alpha}}) - \Lambda F_{z\bar{z}} \right) + \text{surfaces} \tag{6.2.10}$$

The first term in the above expression is the topological charge expected for the vortices (instantons) of ungauged two-dimensional sigma models. The same topological charge also appears in the kink solitons of three-dimensional non-linear sigma models [65]. The last part in the above expression involving the cosmological constant and the Maxwell field is the usual degree of an abelian vortex. The relation between the topological charge $\mathcal{Q}$ in (6.2.9) and the degree of an abelian vortex involves integration by parts. Under certain boundary conditions the two topological charges are the same. However as we have shown, the bound that involves the equivariant extension of the Kähler form generalizes in the context of gauge theories coupled to non-linear sigma models.

6.3 A bound for vortices in the (4,0) model

A bound similar to the one we have described in the previous section for the Euclidean action of (2,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model can also be found for the Euclidean action of (4,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model. The Euclidean action of the (4,0)-supersymmetric gauged sigma model with vanishing Wess-Zumino term is

$$S_E = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{d}^2x \, \big(\frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \delta^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \phi^i \nabla_\nu \phi^j + \frac{1}{2} u_{ab} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^b_{\lambda\rho} \delta^{\mu\lambda} \delta^{\nu\rho} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{3} u^{ab} \nu^r_a \nu^r_b\big) \tag{6.3.1}$$

The sigma model target space is hyper-Kähler with metric g, hypercomplex structure $\{J_r : r = 1,2,3\}$ and associated Kähler forms Ω_{J_r}. After a Wick rotation the two-dimensional spacetime is $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the standard Euclidean metric. Let I be a compatible constant complex structure such that $\mathbb{R}^2$ is a Kähler manifold with associated Kähler form Ω_I. In the same ways as before, Euclidean action can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_E = \int \mathrm{d}^2x \, [&\frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{3} u_{ab} (a_r \Omega_I \cdot F^a \mp \nu^a_r)(a_r \Omega_I \cdot F^b \mp \nu^b_r) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{3} \delta^{\mu\nu} g_{ij} (a_r I^\rho{}_\mu \nabla_\rho \phi^i \mp \nabla_\mu \phi^k J^i_{r\,k})(a_r I^\sigma{}_\nu \nabla_\sigma \phi^i \mp \nabla_\nu \phi^\ell J^j_{r\,\ell})] \\ &\pm \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{r=1}^{3} a_r \omega_{J_r} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.3.2}$$

where $\{a_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ is a constant vector with length one, $\sum_{r=1}^{3}(a_r)^2 = 1$, $u_{ab} = u^0_{ab} = u^0_{ba}$, $\nu^a_r = u^{ac}\nu_{rc}$, $u^{ac}u_{cb} = \delta^a{}_b$ and

$$\omega_{J_r} = (\Omega_{J_r})_{ij}\nabla\phi^i \wedge \nabla\phi^j + \nu_a F^a \tag{6.3.3}$$

is the equivariant extension of the Kähler form Ω_{J_r}.

The strictest bound is attained whenever the unit vector $\{a_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ is parallel to the vector of the topological charges $\{\mathcal{Q}_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_r = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega_{J_r} \tag{6.3.4}$$

and the sign is chosen such that the topological term in the bound is positive. If the inner product of $\{a_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\{\mathcal{Q}_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ in (6.3.2) is positive, we have that

$$S_E \geq \sqrt{\mathcal{Q}_1^2 + \mathcal{Q}_2^2 + \mathcal{Q}_3^2}\ . \tag{6.3.5}$$

This bound is attained whenever

$$\begin{gathered} a_r \Omega_I \cdot F^a - \nu^a_r = 0 \\ J_r{}^i{}_j \nabla_\mu \phi^j - a_r \nabla_\nu \phi^i I^\nu{}_\mu = 0\ . \end{gathered} \tag{6.3.6}$$

Using a rotation in the space of three complex structures, we can arrange such that $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = a_3 = 0$. In such case, the last equation in (6.3.6) implies that

$$\nabla_\mu \phi^i = 0\ . \tag{6.3.7}$$

This in turn gives

$$F^a_{\mu\nu}\xi^i_a = 0 \tag{6.3.8}$$

Therefore either ϕ takes values in the fixed point set M_f of the group action of G in M or the curvature F of the connection A vanishes. In the latter case, the first equation in (6.3.6) implies that $\nu_r = 0$ and these are the vacua of the theory. If these are no non-trivial flat connections and $M_f \cap$

$\nu_1^{-1}(0)\cap\nu_2^{-1}(0)\cap\nu_3^{-1}(0)=0$, then the space of solutions is the hyper-Kähler reduction $M//G$ of M and it is a hyper-Kähler manifold. On the other hand if ϕ takes values in M_f, then the second equation in (6.3.6) implies that ϕ is constant. Substituting this in the first equation in (6.3.6) implies that ϕ is in $M_f\cap\nu_2^{-1}(0)\cap\nu_3^{-1}(0)$. In addition we have that

$$\Omega_I\cdot F^a-\nu_1^a=0\ . \tag{6.3.9}$$

This is the Hermitian-Einstein equation in two dimensions.

The first condition in (6.3.6) implies that the curvature F is a (1,1)-form. Choosing complex coordinates $(z,\bar z)$ on the two-dimensional spacetime associated with the complex structure I, it is always possible to arrange using a gauge transformation such that $A_{\bar z}=0$. Choosing complex coordinates in the sigma model target space M as well, it is easy to see that the second BPS condition implies that the map ϕ is holomorphic from the spacetime into the sigma model manifold M.

6.4 Kähler manifolds and non-abelian vortices

The bounds that we have described in the previous sections can be generalized as follows. Consider two Kähler manifolds (N,h,I) and (M,g,J) of dimensions $2k$ and $2n$, and Kähler forms Ω_I and Ω_J, respectively. Next allow M to admit a holomorphic G-action with associated killing vector fields ξ and moment map ν. In our conventions $i_\xi\Omega_J=-d\nu$. Next consider the functional

$$S_E=\int_N d\mathrm{vol}(N)\big(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi|^2+\frac{1}{2}|F|^2+\frac{1}{4}|\nu|^2\big)\ , \tag{6.4.1}$$

where $|\nabla\phi|^2=g_{ij}h^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi^i\nabla_\nu\phi^j$, $\nabla_\mu\phi^i=\partial_\mu\phi^i+A^a\xi^i_a$, $|F|^2=u_{ab}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^b_{\rho\sigma}h^{\mu\rho}h^{\nu\sigma}$, $|\nu|^2=u^{ab}\nu_a\nu_b$ and u is a fibre inner product on the gauge bundle which we can set $u_{ab}=\delta_{ab}$.

The functional S_E can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_E = &\int_N d\mathrm{vol}(N)\big[\frac{1}{4}|\Omega_I\cdot F\mp\nu|^2+|F^{2,0}|^2+\frac{1}{4}|I\nabla\phi\mp J\nabla\phi|^2\big] \\ &\pm\frac{1}{(k-1)!}\int_N \omega_J\wedge\Omega_I^{k-1}-\frac{1}{(k-2)!}\int_N u_{ab}F^a\wedge F^b\wedge\Omega_I^{k-2} \end{aligned} \tag{6.4.2}$$

where we have chosen the normalisation $d\mathrm{vol}(N)=\frac{1}{k!}\Omega_I^k$, $\Omega_I\cdot F=\Omega_I^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$, $F^{2,0}$ is the (2,0) part of the curvature F that corresponds to the splitting $\Omega^2(M)=\Omega^{2,0}(M)\oplus\Omega^{1,1}(M)\oplus\Omega^{0,2}(M)$ and

$$\omega_J=(\Omega_J)_{ij}\nabla\phi^i\wedge\nabla\phi^j+\nu_aF^a \tag{6.4.3}$$

is the equivariant extension of the Kähler form Ω_J. (The inner products are taken with respect to the Riemannian metrics h and g.) The rest of the notation is self-explanatory. We remark that if Ω_J represents the first Chern class of a line bundle, i.e. the Kähler manifold is Hodge, then ω_J can be thought of as the equivariant extension of the first Chern class (see [39]).

If u_{ab} is a constant invariant quadratic form on the Lie algebra of the gauge group G, it is clear that the functional S_E is bounded by a topological term $\mathcal{Q}$ which involves the equivariant extension of the Kähler form and the second Chern character of the bundle $P\times_G\mathfrak{g}$, where P is a principal bundle of the gauge group G and G acts on $\mathfrak{g}$ with the adjoint representation. In particular we can write

$$\begin{aligned} S_E = &\int_N d\mathrm{vol}(N)\big[\frac{1}{4}|\Omega_I\cdot F\mp\nu|^2+|F^{2,0}|^2+\frac{1}{4}|I\nabla\phi\mp J\nabla\phi|^2\big] \\ &\pm\frac{1}{(k-1)!}\int_N \omega_J\wedge\Omega_I^{k-1}-\frac{8\pi^2}{\lambda(k-2)!}\int_N ch_2\wedge\Omega_I^{k-2}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.4.4}$$

where λ is an appropriate normalisation factor involving the ratio between the fibre inner product on $P\times_G\mathfrak{g}$ and u; where G is simple. It is worth pointing out that the term involving the second Chern character is not affected by the choice of sign in writing (6.4.2). Therefore there are three cases to consider the following: (i) there is no choice of sign such that the topological charge $\mathcal{Q}$ is positive. In such a case the bound cannot be attained. (ii) There is a critical case in which for one sign the topological charge is negative while for the other is zero. This case implies that the Euclidean action vanishes and so every term should vanish. Solutions exist for $F = \nabla\phi = \nu = 0$. (iii) For one of the choice of signs the topological charge is positive. Suppose that $\mathcal{Q}$ is positive in (6.4.2) for the first choice of sign. In such case the bound is attained provided that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} F^{2,0} &= 0 \\ \Omega_I F^a - \nu^a &= 0 \\ I^{\nu}{}_{\mu}\nabla_\nu\phi^i - J^i{}_j\nabla_\mu\phi^j &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6.4.5}$$

hold. The first equation implies that F is a (1,1)-form. The last equation in (6.4.5) implies that the maps ϕ are holomorphic. Finally the middle equation is the standard non-abelian vortex equation. If the term involving the moment map is constant, then the resulting equation is the Hermitian-Einstein equation.

6.5 Hyper-Kähler manifolds and non-abelian vortices

Let (N, h, I) be a Kähler manifold of dimension $2k$ with associated Kähler form Ω_I and (M, g, J_r) be a hyper-Kähler manifold of dimension $4n$ with associated Kähler forms Ω_{J_r}. Next allow M to admit a tri-holomorphic G-action with associated killing vector fields ξ moment maps ν_r. In our conventions $i_\xi\Omega_{J_r} = -\mathrm{d}\nu_r$. Next consider the functional

$$S_E = \int_N d\mathrm{vol}(N)\big(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|F|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{r=1}^{3}|\nu_r|^2\big) \ , \tag{6.5.1}$$

where $|\nabla|^2 = g_{ij}h^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\phi^i\nabla_\nu\phi^j$, $\nabla_\mu\phi^i = \partial_\mu\phi^i + A^a\xi^i_a$, $|F|^2 = u_{ab}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^b_{\rho\sigma}h^{\mu\rho}h^{\nu\sigma}$, $|\nu_r|^2 = u^{ab}\nu_{ra}\nu_{rb}$ and u is a fibre inner product on the gauge bundle which we can set $u_{ab} = \delta_{ab}$.

The functional S_E can be rewritten as follows:

$$S_E = \int_N d\mathrm{vol}(N)\big[\frac{1}{4}\sum_{r=1}^{3}|a_r\Omega_I \cdot F \mp \nu_r|^2 + |F^{2,0}|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{r=1}^{3}|a_r I\nabla\phi \mp J_r\nabla\phi|^2\big]$$
$$\pm \frac{1}{(k-1)!}\int_N \sum_{r=1}^{3} a_r\omega_{J_r}\wedge\Omega_I^{k-1} - \frac{1}{(k-2)!}\int_N u_{ab}F^a\wedge F^b\wedge\Omega_I^{k-2} \tag{6.5.2}$$

where $d\mathrm{vol}(N) = \frac{1}{k!}\Omega_I^k$, $\{a_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ is a constant vector of length one, $\sum_{r=1}^3 (a_r)^2 = 1$, $\Omega_I \cdot F = \Omega_I^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$, $F^{2,0}$ is the (2,0) part of the curvature F and

$$\omega_{J_r} = (\Omega_{J_r})_{ij}\nabla\phi^i \wedge \nabla\phi^j + \nu_{ra}F^a \tag{6.5.3}$$

is the equivariant extension of the Kähler form Ω_{J_r}. (The inner products are taken with respect to the Riemannian metrics h and g.) The rest of the notation is self-explanatory.

It is clear that the functional S_E is bounded by a topological charge $\mathcal{Q}$ which involves the equivariant extensions of the Kähler forms Ω_{J_r} and, if u is a constant invariant quadratic form on $\mathfrak{g}$, the second Chern character of the gauge bundle $P \times_G \mathfrak{g}$. It is worth pointing out that the term involving the second Chern character is not affected by the choice of sign in writing (6.5.2). Therefore as in the Kähler case, there are several cases to consider but we shall not repeat the analysis. Suppose that both $\mathcal{Q}$ and the inner product of the vector $\{a_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ with $\{\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_r : r = 1, 2, 3\}$ are positive in (6.5.2), where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_r = \frac{1}{(k-1)!}\int_N \omega_{J_r}\wedge\Omega_I^{k-1}\ . \tag{6.5.4}$$

Then the bound is attained provided that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} F^{2,0} &= 0 \\ a_r\Omega_I F^a - \nu_r^a &= 0 \\ a_r I^{\nu}{}_{\mu}\nabla_\nu\phi^i - J_r{}^i{}_j\nabla_\mu\phi^j &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6.5.5}$$

hold. The first equation implies that F is a (1,1)-form. It is always possible with a rotation in the space of complex structures of the hyper-Kähler manifold to set $a_1 = 1$ and $a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Then last equation in (6.5.5) implies that

$$\nabla_\mu \phi^i = 0 \ . \tag{6.5.6}$$

This in turn implies that

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} \xi^i_a = 0 \ . \tag{6.5.7}$$

Therefore either the connection A is flat or the maps ϕ take values in the fixed set M_f of the G-group action on M. In the former case, in the absence of non-trivial flat connections, the moduli space of solutions to these equations is the hyper-Kähler reduction $M//G$ of G and it is a smooth manifold provided that the level set does not intersect M_f. In the latter case, the maps ϕ are constant and the two remaining equations in (6.5.5) are the Hermitian-Einstein equations for the connection A; see [45] and references therein.

One can also consider the case where (N, h, I_r) is a hyper-Kähler manifold while (M, g, J) is a Kähler manifold which admits a G-holomorphic action of isometries. This case can be treated as that considered in the previous section involving only Kähler manifolds. A Kähler structure on N can chosen with respect to any complex structure which lies in the two-sphere of complex structures of N.

Chapter 7

Conclusions

We have gauged the supersymmetry of the most general $N = 1$ supersymmetric one dimensional sigma model; we have investigate the dynamics of the theory by deriving the Hamiltonian and the first and second class constraints. We have then quantised the theory using the Dirac method and checked that the Dirac operator squares to the Klein Gordon operator.

We have commented that the zero modes of the Dirac operator do not exist if the target manifold M is compact, without boundary and with positive scalar curvature. It would be interesting to investigate further the relationship between the geometry of the target manifold and existence of zero modes of the Dirac operator.

We have constructed the actions of two-dimensional (p,0)- and (p,1)-supersymmetric gauge theories coupled to sigma model matter with Wess-Zumino term. We have also given the scalar potentials of these theories. Our method of constructing these theories relies on a superfield method. Then we have shown that the Euclidean actions these theories admit vortex type of bounds which generalise to higher dimensions.

The gauge theories that we have constructed are not the most general ones. It is known for example that the (1,1)-supersymmetric sigma model admits a scalar potential which is the length of a killing vector field [11].

Our superfield method cannot describe such a term. There are also other possibilities, for example the sigma models with almost complex manifolds as a target space as well as those associated with $(p, 0)$ fermionic multiplets for which the supersymmetry algebra closes on-shell [44, 8]. Other models of interest that we have not described here are those with $(p, 2)$, $p = 2, 4$, and (4,4) supersymmetry. All the above models can be described using (1,0) superfields. This method has been used before, see [9, 10, 42]. This means that the action of such models can be written in terms of (1,0) superfields and the additional supersymmetries can be implemented by requiring invariance of the action under additional suitable transformations. The (2,2) and (4,4) supersymmetric gauge theories have been described using other methods in [35] and [66].

The gauge theories coupled to sigma models which we have described with $(p, 1)$ supersymmetry have soliton type of bounds in addition to the vortex type of bounds that we have described. For the former bounds the energy of these models can be written as a sum of squares and a topological term. This is very similar to bounds of (ungauged) sigma models [52] and so we have not described them here. It would be of interest to investigate the solutions of the vortex equations we have presented for different types of moment maps. It may be that for a suitable choice, the vortex equations can be solved exactly.

Bibliography

[1] M. Gell-Mann and M. Levy, "The axial vector current in beta decay," *Nuovo Cim.* **16** (1960) 705.

[2] J. S. Schwinger, "A theory of the fundamental interactions," *Annals Phys.* **2** (1957) 407–434.

[3] K. Meetz, "Realization of chiral symmetry in a curved isospin space," *J. Mathematical Phys.* **10** (1969) 589–593.

[4] L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia, and P. S. Howe, "A locally supersymmetric and reparametrization invariant action for the spinning string," *Phys. Lett.* **B65** (1976) 471–474.

[5] S. Deser and B. Zumino, "A complete action for the spinning string," *Phys. Lett.* **B65** (1976) 369–373.

[6] B. Zumino, "Supersymmetry and Kähler manifolds," *Phys. Lett.* **B87** (1979) 203.

[7] L. Alvarez-Gaume and D. Z. Freedman, "Geometrical structure and ultraviolet finiteness in the supersymmetric sigma model," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **80** (1981) 443.

[8] C. M. Hull and E. Witten, "Supersymmetric sigma models and the heterotic string," *Phys. Lett.* **B160** (1985) 398–402.

[9] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, "Massive sigma models with (p,q) supersymmetry," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11** (1994) 515–532, `hep-th/9307066`.

[10] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, "Massive (p,q) supersymmetric sigma models revisited," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11** (1994) 2163–2180, `hep-th/9406015`.

[11] L. Alvarez-Gaume and D. Z. Freedman, "Potentials for the supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **91** (1983) 87.

[12] L. Brink, S. Deser, B. Zumino, P. Di Vecchia, and P. Howe, "Local supersymmetry for spinning particles," *Phys. Lett.* **B64** (1976) 435.

[13] L. Brink, P. Di Vecchia, and P. Howe, "A lagrangian formulation of the classical and quantum dynamics of spinning particles," *Nucl. Phys.* **B118** (1977) 76.

[14] P. Howe, S. Penati, M. Pernici, and P. Townsend, "Wave equations for arbitrary spin from quantization of the extended supersymmetric spinning particle," *Phys. Lett.* **B215** (1988) 555.

[15] E. A. Ivanov, S. O. Krivonos, and A. I. Pashnev, "Partial supersymmetry breaking in $N = 4$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **8** (1991) 19–40.

[16] A. I. Pashnev and D. P. Sorokin, "On $N = 4$ superfield description of relativistic spinning particle mechanics," *Phys. Lett.* **B253** (1991) 301–305.

[17] E. E. Donets, A. Pashnev, J. J. Rosales, and M. M. Tsulaia, "$N = 4$ supersymmetric multidimensional quantum mechanics, partial SUSY

breaking and superconformal quantum mechanics," *Phys. Rev.* **D61** (2000) 043512, `hep-th/9907224`.

[18] R. A. Coles and G. Papadopoulos, "The geometry of the one-dimensional supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **7** (1990) 427–438.

[19] G. W. Gibbons, G. Papadopoulos, and K. S. Stelle, "HKT and OKT geometries on soliton black hole moduli spaces," *Nucl. Phys.* **B508** (1997) 623–658, `hep-th/9706207`.

[20] G. W. Gibbons, R. H. Rietdijk, and J. W. van Holten, "SUSY in the sky," *Nucl. Phys.* **B404** (1993) 42–64, `hep-th/9303112`.

[21] F. De Jonghe, K. Peeters, and K. Sfetsos, "Killing-Yano supersymmetry in string theory," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **14** (1997) 35–46, `hep-th/9607203`.

[22] J. Michelson and A. Strominger, "The geometry of (super)conformal quantum mechanics," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **213** (2000) 1–17, `hep-th/9907191`.

[23] S. Hellerman and J. Polchinski, "Supersymmetric quantum mechanics from light cone quantization," `hep-th/9908202`.

[24] A. Maloney, M. Spradlin, and A. Strominger, "Superconformal multi-black hole moduli spaces in four dimensions," `hep-th/9911001`.

[25] C. M. Hull, G. Papadopoulos, and P. K. Townsend, "Potentials for $(p, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ supersymmetric sigma models with torsion," *Phys. Lett.* **B316** (1993) 291–297, `hep-th/9307013`.

[26] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, and L. Lusanna, "Supersymmetries and the pseudoclassical relativistic electron," *Nuovo Cim.* **A35** (1976) 377.

[27] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, and L. Lusanna, "Classical scalar and spinning particles interacting with external Yang-Mills fields," *Nucl. Phys.* **B124** (1977) 93.

[28] P. Claus *et al.*, "Black holes and superconformal mechanics," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 4553–4556, `hep-th/9804177`.

[29] R. Kallosh, "Black holes and quantum mechanics," `hep-th/9902007`.

[30] J. A. de Azcárraga, J. M. Izquierdo, J. C. Perez Buéno, and P. K. Townsend, "Superconformal mechanics, black holes, and nonlinear realizations," *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 084015, `hep-th/9810230`.

[31] A. Strominger, "Open p-branes," *Phys. Lett.* **B383** (1996) 44–47, `hep-th/9512059`.

[32] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, "Intersecting M-branes," *Phys. Lett.* **B380** (1996) 273–279, `hep-th/9603087`.

[33] A. Hanany and E. Witten, "Type IIB superstrings, BPS monopoles, and three-dimensional gauge dynamics," *Nucl. Phys.* **B492** (1997) 152–190, `hep-th/9611230`.

[34] E. Bergshoeff and P. K. Townsend, "Solitons on the supermembrane," *JHEP* **05** (1999) 021, `hep-th/9904020`.

[35] E. Witten, "Phases of n = 2 theories in two dimensions," *Nucl. Phys.* **B403** (1993) 159–222, `hep-th/9301042`.

[36] D. Tong, "NS5-branes, T-duality and worldsheet instantons," *JHEP* **07** (2002) 013, `hep-th/0204186`.

[37] C. M. Hull and B. Spence, "The gauged nonlinear sigma model with Wess-Zumino term," *Phys. Lett.* **B232** (1989) 204.

[38] C. M. Hull, G. Papadopoulos, and B. Spence, "Gauge symmetries for (p, q) supersymmetric sigma models," *Nucl. Phys.* **B363** (1991) 593–621.

[39] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott, "The moment map and equivariant cohomology," *Topology* **23** (1984), no. 1, 1–28.

[40] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, "Equivariant cohomology and gauged bosonic sigma models," `hep-th/9407149`.

[41] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, "Gauged Wess-Zumino terms and equivariant cohomology," *Phys. Lett.* **B341** (1994) 153–159, `hep-th/9407196`.

[42] G. Papadopoulos and P. K. Townsend, "Solitons in supersymmetric sigma models with torsion," *Nucl. Phys.* **B444** (1995) 245–264, `hep-th/9501069`.

[43] P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, "Ultraviolet behavior of two-dimensional supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models," *Nucl. Phys.* **B289** (1987) 264–276.

[44] P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, "Further remarks on the geometry of two-dimensional nonlinear sigma models," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **5** (1988) 1647–1661.

[45] G. Grantcharov, G. Papadopoulos, and Y. S. Poon, "Reduction of HKT-structures," *J. Math. Phys.* **43** (2002) 3766–3782, `math.dg/0201159`.

[46] S. Cordes, G. W. Moore, and S. Ramgoolam, "Lectures on 2-d Yang-Mills theory, equivariant cohomology and topological field theories," *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **41** (1995) 184–244, `hep-th/9411210`.

[47] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, "Vortex-line models for dual strings," *Nucl. Phys.* **B61** (1973) 45–61.

[48] E. B. Bogomol'nyi, "Stability of classical solutions," *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24** (1976) 449.

[49] S. B. Bradlow, "Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **135** (1990), no. 1, 1–17.

[50] S. B. Bradlow and O. García-Prada, "Non-Abelian monopoles and vortices," `alg-geom/9602010`. Prepared for Conference on Geometry and Physics: Quantum Invariants and Low-Dimensional Topology, Aarhus, Denmark, 18-27 Jul 1995.

[51] C. H. Taubes, "Arbitrary N - vortex solutions to the first order Landau- Ginzburg equations," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **72** (1980) 277.

[52] E. R. C. Abraham and P. K. Townsend, "Q kinks," *Phys. Lett.* **B291** (1992) 85–88.

[53] L. Jacobs and C. Rebbi, "Interaction energy of superconducting vortices," *Phys. Rev.* **B19** (1979) 4486–4494.

[54] P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, "Anomalies in two-dimensional supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **4** (1987) 1749–1766.

[55] G. Papadopoulos, "Conformal and superconformal mechanics," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** (2000) 3715–3742, `hep-th/0002007`.

[56] J. W. van Holten, "$D = 1$ supergravity and spinning particles," `hep-th/9510021`.

[57] K. Sundermeyer, "Constrained dynamics with applications to Yang-Mills theory, general relativity, classical spin, dual string model," *Lect. Notes Phys.* **169** (1982) 1–318.

[58] S. J. Gates and L. Rana, "A theory of spinning particles for large N extended supersymmetry," *Phys. Lett.* **B352** (1995) 50–58, `hep-th/9504025`.

[59] C. M. Hull, "Gauged heterotic sigma models," *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A9** (1994) 161–168, `hep-th/9310135`.

[60] P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, "Twistor spaces for HKT manifolds," *Phys. Lett.* **B379** (1996) 80–86, `hep-th/9602108`.

[61] A. Jaffe and C. Taubes, *Vortices and monopoles.* No. 2 in Progress in Physics. Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 1980. Structure of static gauge theories.

[62] E. J. Weinberg, "multivortex solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau equations," *Phys. Rev.* **D19** (1979) 3008.

[63] N. S. Manton and J. M. Speight, "Asymptotic interactions of critically coupled vortices," `hep-th/0205307`.

[64] A. Losev, N. Nekrasov, and S. L. Shatashvili, "Freckled instantons in two and four dimensions," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **17** (2000) 1181–1187, `hep-th/9911099`.

[65] M. J. Duff and C. J. Isham, "Soliton and vortex type solutions in nonlinear chiral theories," *Nucl. Phys.* **B108** (1976) 130.

[66] S. J. Gates Jr., M. T. Grisaru, M. E. Knutt, S. Penati, and H. Suzuki, "Supersymmetric gauge anomaly with general homotopic paths," *Nucl. Phys.* **B596** (2001) 315–347, `hep-th/0009192`.

[67] J. Bagger and E. Witten, "The gauge invariant supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model," *Phys. Lett.* **B118** (1982) 103–106.

[68] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[69] S. B. Bradlow, “Vortices in holomorphic line bundles over closed Kähler manifolds,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **135** (1990) 1–17.

[70] H. Cartan, “La transgression dans un groupe de Lie et dans un espace fibré principal,” in *Colloque de topologie (espaces fibrés), Bruxelles, 1950*, pp. 57–71. Georges Thone, Liège, 1951.

[71] H. Cartan, “Notions d’algèbre différentielle; application aux groupes de Lie et aux variétés où opère un groupe de Lie,” in *Colloque de topologie (espaces fibrés), Bruxelles, 1950*, pp. 15–27. Georges Thone, Liège, 1951.

[72] R. Casalbuoni, “Relativity and supersymmetries,” *Phys. Lett.* **B62** (1976) 49.

[73] M. Cvetic and A. A. Tseytlin, “Sigma model of near-extreme rotating black holes and their microstates,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B537** (1999) 381–394, `hep-th/9806141`.

[74] E. E. Donets, A. Pashnev, V. O. Rivelles, D. Sorokin, and M. Tsulaia, “$N = 4$ superconformal mechanics and the potential structure of AdS spaces,” *Phys. Lett.* **B484** (2000) 337–346, `hep-th/0004019`.

[75] A. Frydryszak, “Lagrangian models of particles with spin: The first seventy years,” `hep-th/9601020`.

[76] S. J. Gates, C. M. Hull, and M. Roček, “Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B248** (1984) 157.

[77] J. P. Gauntlett, C. Kim, K. Lee, and P. Yi, “General low energy dynamics of supersymmetric monopoles,” *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 065020, `hep-th/0008031`.

[78] C. M. Hull and B. Spence, "The geometry of the gauged sigma model with Wess-Zumino term," *Nucl. Phys.* **B353** (1991) 379–426.

[79] C. M. Hull, "The geometry of supersymmetric quantum mechanics," `hep-th/9910028`.

[80] I. Jack, D. R. T. Jones, N. Mohammedi, and H. Osborn, "Gauging the general sigma model with a Wess-Zumino term," *Nucl. Phys.* **B332** (1990) 359.

[81] L. C. Jeffrey and F. C. Kirwan, "Localization and the quantization conjecture," *Topology* **36** (1997), no. 3, 647–693.

[82] L. C. Jeffrey and F. C. Kirwan, "Localization for nonabelian group actions," *Topology* **34** (1995), no. 2, 291–327, `alg-geom/9307001`.

[83] Y. Karshon, "Moment maps and non-compact cobordisms," *J. Differential Geom.* **49** (1998), no. 1, 183–201, `dg-ga/9701006`.

[84] M. Nakahara, *Geometry, topology and physics.* Adam Hilger Ltd., Bristol, 1990.

[85] C. R. Nappi and E. Witten, "A WZW model based on a nonsemisimple group," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 3751–3753, `hep-th/9310112`.

[86] D. I. Olive and P. C. West, eds., *Duality and supersymmetric theories.* Publications of the Newton Institute. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[87] G. Papadopoulos, "The Wess-Zumino term on manifolds with group actions," *Class. Quant. Grav.* **7** (1990) L41–L42.

[88] G. Papadopoulos, "Topology, charged particles and anomalies in quantum mechanics," *Phys. Lett.* **B248** (1990) 113–118.

[89] G. Papadopoulos, “Geometric quantization, chern-simons quantum mechanics and one-dimensional sigma models,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **8** (1991) 1311–1326.

[90] G. Papadopoulos, “Topology, unitary representations and charged particles,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992) 491–508.

[91] R. Rohm and E. Witten, “The antisymmetric tensor field in superstring theory,” *Ann. Phys.* **170** (1986) 454.

[92] L. V. Rozhanskii and A. A. Tseytlin, “The one loop string contributions to the beta function of the string sigma model and the effective action,” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **48** (1988) 965–966.

[93] A. A. Tseytlin, “Sigma model approach to string theory,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A4** (1989) 1257.

[94] A. A. Tseytlin, “A class of finite two-dimensional sigma models and string vacua,” *Phys. Lett.* **B288** (1992) 279–285, `hep-th/9205058`.

[95] A. A. Tseytlin, “Conformal sigma models corresponding to gauged Wess-Zumino- Witten theories,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B411** (1994) 509–558, `hep-th/9302083`.

[96] A. A. Tseytlin, “Extremal black hole entropy from conformal string sigma model,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B477** (1996) 431–448, `hep-th/9605091`.

[97] E. Witten, “Global aspects of current algebra,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B223** (1983) 422–432.

[98] E. Witten, “Current algebra, baryons, and quark confinement,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B223** (1983) 433–444.

[99] E. Witten, “On holomorphic factorization of wzw and coset models,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992) 189–212.

[100] E. Witten, "Two-dimensional gauge theories revisited," *J. Geom. Phys.* **9** (1992) 303–368, `hep-th/9204083`.

[101] S. Wu, "Cohomological obstructions to the equivariant extension of closed invariant forms," *J. Geom. Phys.* **10** (1993), no. 4, 381–392.

[102] B. Zumino, "Supersymmetric sigma-models in 2 dimensions,". Talk given at the Particle Physics and the Early Universe Conference: In Celebration of the Centenary of the Discovery of the Electron: Conference on Structure of the Microwave Background, Cambridge, England, 7-11 Apr 1997.

[103] H. J. de Vega and F. A. Schaposnik, "A classical vortex solution of the Abelian Higgs model," *Phys. Rev.* **D14** (1976) 1100–1106.